UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

TAREK MEHANNA,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEORGE A. O'TOOLE, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DAY THIRTY-FIVE JURY TRIAL

John J. Moakley United States Courthouse
Courtroom No. 9
One Courthouse Way
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
Friday, December 16, 2011
9:16 a.m.

Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR
Cheryl Dahlstrom, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporters
John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse
One Courthouse Way, Room 3510
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
(617) 737-8728

Mechanical Steno - Computer-Aided Transcript

1	APPEARANCES:
2	OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
3	By: Aloke Chakravarty and Jeffrey Auerhahn, Assistant U.S. Attorneys John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse
4	Suite 9200 Boston, Massachusetts 02210
5	- and - UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
6	By: Jeffrey D. Groharing, Trial Attorney National Security Division
7	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20530
8	On Behalf of the Government
9	CARNEY & BASSIL By: J.W. Carney, Jr., Esq.
10 11	Janice Bassil, Esq. John E. Oh, Esq. 20 Park Plaza
12	Suite 1405 Boston, Massachusetts 02216
13	- and - LAW OFFICE OF SEJAL H. PATEL, LLC
14	By: Sejal H. Patel, Esq. 101 Tremont Street
15	Suite 800 Boston, Massachusetts 02108
16	On Behalf of the Defendant
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
∠J	

1	<u>INDEX</u>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. AUERHAHN
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	

```
(The following proceedings were held in open court
before the Honorable George A. O'Toole, Jr., United States
District Judge, United States District Court, District of
Massachusetts, at the John J. Moakley United States Courthouse,
One Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts, on December 16,
2011.
         The defendant, Tarek Mehanna, is present with counsel.
Assistant U.S. Attorneys Aloke Chakravarty and Jeffrey Auerhahn
are present, along with Jeffrey D. Groharing, Trial Attorney,
U.S. Department of Justice, National Security Division.)
         THE CLERK: All rise for the Court.
         (The Court enters the courtroom at 9:16 a.m.)
         THE CLERK: For a continuation of the Mehanna trial.
Please be seated.
         THE COURT: Good morning.
         MR. CARNEY: Good morning, your Honor.
         MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Good morning.
         THE COURT: Mr. Carney?
         MR. CARNEY: I'm just waiting for your Honor.
         THE COURT: Thank you very much. That's very old
school of you.
         There are a couple of items -- I was told there's an
issue about two exhibits?
         MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor --
         THE COURT: 312 and 324?
```

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

00:30 20

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: -- yes. The understanding is that -- between the parties that English-language transcripts were aids for the jury and are not going to go back to the jury deliberation room.

00:32 20

00:31 10

Exhibits 312 and 324 are translations of primarily Arabic-language telephone calls, and consequently, it's our understanding that those -- because they're not merely aids but they are the only way that the jury will be able to understand what was on those calls -- would go back to the jury. That's Exhibits 312 and 324. They were authenticated and introduced.

I would add that 324 was actually published to the jury; 312 was not published to the jury.

MS. BASSIL: Yes, your Honor. My understanding was that transcripts were not going back to the jury. 324 was played; 312 was never played. So I would suggest to the Court that where 312 was never played, there's no reason why a translation of it would go to the jury. They never heard the phone call at all.

THE COURT: Well, if they want to hear it, they will, I guess. I mean, they can play it. No, I think the rule was, as stated, that if it was all English, or almost all English with an occasional Arabic phrase, some of which became very familiar to the jury anyway, the transcripts would not go, but to the extent that it was necessary to translate something that on the tape was Arabic -- and I've looked at these two. I

1 think there's enough there that those should be in evidence. So 312 and 324 I understand are in and will stay in, was the 2 controversy. The other matter is the clerk just gave you a verdict 5 form. You'll see it. I resolved the issue contrary to the defense argument. I think a general verdict is sufficient. Subject to that issue, are there any other issues with 7 8 the form of the verdict slip? MR. CARNEY: No, your Honor. And we've also reviewed 00:33 10 the amended indictment and agreed that it's accurate. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: No issues with the indictment or the 13 jury slip. 14 One, because this is my last opportunity to ask it, I 15 think with regards to these transcripts that are going back to the jury with regards to the consensual recordings and the 16 telephone calls, in the list that the defense sent us last 17 18 night, it looked like there are only two of the consensually 19 recorded transcripts that were being excluded from the admitted 00:33 20 exhibits, but I think there should be four. And just to tally with Mr. Lyness, I believe 455, 457, 21 22 459 and 461 are the transcripts of the consensual recordings. 23 I think there are only two on the list that defense said, and 24 that may have just been inadvertent. 25 THE COURT: That are not going back?

```
1
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: That are not going back, correct.
                  THE COURT: Did you hear the numbers?
     2
     3
                  MS. BASSIL: Does it really matter?
                  THE COURT: They're coming out, anyway, so I guess
     4
     5
         that's --
     6
                  Did you get the numbers?
     7
                  THE CLERK: No, I was getting these.
                  THE COURT: Would you state the numbers again?
     8
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: 455, 457, 459 and 461. Those have
     9
00:34 10
         been ID'd and previously admitted and they're coming out.
    11
                  MR. LYNESS: 455, 457, 459 and what was the other
    12
         number?
                                    4-6-1.
    13
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
    14
                  THE COURT: Those are the transcripts of the body
    15
         wire, basically?
    16
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The body wire.
                  THE COURT: Okay. Those are the preliminaries that I
    17
               If there's nothing else, we'll bring out the jury.
    18
         had.
    19
                  THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
00:36 20
                   (The jury enters the courtroom at 9:22 a.m.)
                  THE CLERK: Be seated.
    21
    22
                  THE COURT: Good morning, jurors.
    23
                  THE JURORS: Good morning.
    24
                  THE COURT: I've two major responsibilities in a trial
    25
         such as this. The first is almost over, and that is to preside
```

over the case to make whatever procedural or evidentiary rulings are necessary in the course of the trial. And you've seen we've been doing that. The other major responsibility is at this stage of the proceedings to give you what we call these instructions in the principles of law that pertain to the matters you've heard about and about which you will have to make some decisions.

00:38 20

00:37 10

So I'm going to give you these instructions about the law that applies to these matters. And you can think of this as sort of a short course in all the law you will need to know in order to decide the issues in this case. You don't have to resort to any other ideas you may have from other sources about what the law is or might be with respect to these issues, but take it that what I will tell you is a complete and accurate summary of the principles of law that are to be applied in the case.

It's my duty to set forth these principles fully and accurately, without regard to any personal or private views I might have about the wisdom or prudence of these principles, or whether there might be different or additional ones that could be applied, but rather, to tell you what the law is with respect to these matters.

And you have a similar duty to accept and faithfully apply the principles sensibly, also without regard to any personal or private views you might have about the wisdom or

prudence of the principles or whether there might be different or additional ones that could be applied, but accept it that these are the principles that apply to these matters. Consider the instructions, as I say, sensibly, as a whole, and apply them faithfully.

00:39 20

00:39 10

Now, I'm going to talk about two general areas, and I'm actually going to divide my time in doing it. First, now, I'm going to talk about the principles that relate to the particular offenses, or crimes, that are charged by the indictment in this case; that is, I will tell you what the government is required to prove in order to convict the defendant of the charges that are made against him.

After I've done that, the lawyers will have their opportunity to present their closing statements to you. I think it will be helpful for you in listening to the closing statements to have understood from me what the principles are that relate to the proof of the charges. After the lawyers' closing statements, then I'll have some more to say to you about the manner in which you'll think about the evidence, discuss it, and come to some judgments about it.

Now, because some of the offenses that are at issue in this case are rather involved, let me begin by giving you a kind of introduction to federal criminal law. As I'm sure you probably understand, federal criminal law consists of laws enacted by Congress that define certain acts as criminal. In

enacting a criminal statute, Congress specifies what act or acts constitute the particular crime. And where at a trial it is shown that the defined conduct has occurred, in fact, the crime has been committed, and where the defined conduct has

not, in fact, occurred, the crime has not been committed.

Typically, the language of a federal criminal statute follows a common pattern or formula like this: Whoever does such and such shall be punished. Let me give you a silly hypothetical example to illustrate the grammar of federal criminal statutes. The statute might say, "Whoever knowingly eats ice cream without using a napkin shall be punished." I deliberately use a silly example because I want you to focus on the structure of things rather than the substance. So we might call that crime "knowingly eating ice cream without a napkin."

In seeking to determine whether someone has committed the crime, we would look at whether the evidence established what the person had done, whether the person had done those things necessary as outlined in the statute to constitute the crime. And in this example there would be three things that would have to be shown: The person knowingly ate ice cream without a napkin. If those three things were established, then the government would have proved the crime. If all of them are not established -- one or more is not established -- then the crime has not been proven.

Now, I'm going to tell you we call those necessary

00:41 10

00:41 20

facts to be proven "the elements of the offense." And I'm going to tell you what the elements of each of the charged offenses are in just a minute, but I want to extend the example in just a couple of ways to illustrate some other things about the structures of these statutes.

00:42 10

00:43 20

Sometimes Congress may want to be sure that a particular term used in a statute is understood in a particular way, and so it may include a full or partial definition of that term; for example, in our little illustration, the statute might say, "The term 'ice cream' shall include any frozen confection containing cream, eggs and sugar, regardless of flavor, but shall not include frozen yogurt." So when Congress provides a specific definition, then that definition is what controls for the purposes of the statute. When Congress does not provide a specific definition of terms in the statute, the general rule is that words are to be understood in accordance with their ordinary and usual meaning.

Now, sometimes, even when Congress has not included a definition, the courts, in applying the statute, will have developed and applied a definition, and you'll see that occurs in some cases, particularly both in the illustration and in real statutes, with respect to the term "knowingly." And I'll explain that later.

Now, sometimes a criminal statute will provide for alternate ways in which the offense could be committed. To

return to our example, the statute might say, "Whoever knowingly eats ice cream without a napkin, or provides ice cream to another without also providing a napkin, shall be punished." In this formulation there are two ways the statute might be violated: First, it could be proved that the person knowingly ate ice cream without a napkin; and, secondly, it could be proved that the person provided ice cream to someone else without providing a napkin. Either would suffice to constitute the crime if proven. But in such a case because the verdict of the jury must always be unanimous as to the elements of the offense, it would be necessary for the jury all to agree that one or the other version had been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, and to be unanimous as to that.

00:44 10

00:45 20

As another point, sometimes a federal criminal statute will contain what we call a "jurisdictional element." As I'm sure you know, the federal government has those powers that are granted to it by the Constitution. The federal government's power to enact a criminal statute is limited to those matters within its proper jurisdiction; for example, the Constitution grants the federal government power to regulate interstate commerce, and so it can enact criminal laws that pertain to the regulation of interstate commerce.

But eating ice cream might not have any national or interstate interest, and so in order to reach particular conduct that may be federal or non-federal, the Congress may

prescribe what we call a "jurisdictional element" to bring it within the federal jurisdiction. And so the statute might say, as some federal statutes do, "Whoever, having obtained ice cream that has traveled in interstate commerce, eats that ice cream without a napkin, commits the offense." So tying it to the federal power is sometimes a jurisdictional element, and in at least one of these statutes you will see one of those.

00:46 10

00:46 20

So I use this sort of oversimplified stick-figure kind of illustration because I want you to see the patterns that can occur in the statutes that are at issue in this case. And I hope that this now will prepare you to hear and understand the instructions about those particular statutes.

Before I get to them, there's one other general matter that I want to address, and that relates to the difference between a substantive offense and a conspiracy offense and the law that generally applies to conspiracies.

The law recognizes a distinction between what we call a "substantive crime" and a "crime of conspiracy." A substantive crime occurs when a person alone or with others commits the exact act or acts declared to be a crime by the statute. So in our little example, knowingly eating ice cream without a napkin would be a substantive crime if a person committed those acts.

It can also be a crime to conspire or agree with one or more other persons to work together to commit a substantive

1 of 2 co 3 It 4 co 6 co 6 co 8 g: 9 co 9

offense, and that's the crime of conspiracy. When proven, conspiracy is a separate crime from the substantive offense. It might be the objective of the conspiracy. Four of the seven counts in this indictment present allegations of the crime of conspiracy in various forms under various statutes. In each case, the conspiracy is alleged to have had as its object the commission of certain identified substantive crimes. So let me give you these instructions generally about the crime of conspiracy that will apply to the several counts subject to some further refinement as we talk about each count.

A criminal conspiracy is an agreement by two or more persons to act together to do an unlawful act or to accomplish an unlawful purpose. By "unlawful," I mean something the law forbids. As I've said, joining in a criminal conspiracy is a separate and distinct crime from any crime which may be the object of the conspiracy. The gist of the crime of conspiracy is the actual agreement by the conspirators to disobey the law and, thus, accomplish their unlawful purpose.

In order to prove the crime of conspiracy, it is not necessary for the government to prove that the conspiracy succeeded, whether the objective was achieved, or the intended substantive crime actually committed. What must be proved is the fact of the agreement and a defendant's intentional participation in that agreement or conspiracy.

So to prove the crime of conspiracy, the government

00:48 10

00:48 20

1 must prove at least two -- at least the following two propositions beyond a reasonable doubt: first, that there was 2 an agreement as specified in the relevant count of the 3 indictment, and not some other agreement, that existed between 5 or among at least two people; and, second, that the defendant intentionally joined in that agreement with the intention of 7 helping to achieve its unlawful purpose. So the existence of an agreement and the defendant's intentional participation in that agreement: Those are the two elements of conspiracy, 00:49 10 generally.

In some cases, and I'll detail this later, it may be necessary for the government also to prove a third element; namely, that some member of the conspiracy took some affirmative step towards fulfillment of the purpose of the objective of the conspiracy. That will vary with the particular statute at issue.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

00:50 20

So to prove a conspiracy, the government must prove an agreement actually existed. It's not enough to show that people behaved similarly or that they're associated in some way with each other. Proof that people associated with each other does not, by itself, show that they had agreed to commit any particular acts, although, of course, you may consider the fact and manner of an association in determining whether there was a conspiracy between the people, but the evidence must show that the members of the alleged conspiracy in some way came to an

actual understanding or agreement among themselves that they would work cooperatively and jointly to accomplish a joint unlawful plan. There must have, in fact, been some kind of agreement.

00:51 20

00:51 10

It's not necessary for you to find that on any particular occasion the members of the conspiracy all got together and entered into some express or formal agreement; the agreement may have been tacit, or unspoken. Sometimes the way people conduct themselves may permit an observer to infer from their conduct that they have, in fact, agreed to work together to accomplish an objective.

Similarly, it's not necessary for the government to prove that the coconspirators agreed to every specific detail of their conspiracy, or they agreed specifically how exactly they would go about accomplishing the purpose. It is sufficient if it's shown that the members of the conspiracy came to a common understanding to act in a joint or cooperative way to violate the law.

Indeed, because criminal conspiracies may be secretive by their very nature, you might consider that an agreement to pursue a criminal purpose may not necessarily be formed in the same open way that an agreement would be formed among people who are pursuing lawful pursuits.

It's not necessary to show that each member of a conspiracy knew or dealt with all the other participants. In a

secret or surreptitious agreement the participants -- some of the participants may purposely keep their distance from one another. Various members may play different, and not necessarily equal, roles. One member may or may not know exactly how other members will go about fulfilling their respective parts in the conspiracy while still knowing that there are others who are working toward the objective of the agreement.

00:52 10

00:53 20

Now, the indictment in the various counts sets forth the time periods during which the various conspiracies charged are alleged to have existed. It's not necessary for the government to prove that a defendant was a member of the conspiracy during the entire period alleged in the indictment; it is sufficient to prove that he was a member of the conspiracy at some point during its existence. The indictment uses the phrase "in or about" in alleging times. The government's not required to prove events occurred exactly on the dates alleged; it is sufficient if the proof is that the events occurred reasonably near the dates as alleged.

Now, if you conclude a conspiracy existed, you must consider whether the defendant knowingly and intentionally joined that conspiracy. A person acts knowingly and intentionally if he acts voluntarily, of his own will or volition, and not because of a mistake or accident. In order to prove a defendant guilty of the crime of conspiracy, the

government must prove the defendant had both the intent to join in the conspiracy -- to become part of the agreement -- and the intent that the underlying criminal purpose of the conspiracy be accomplished.

1

2

5

6

7

00:54 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

00:55 20

Innocent association with others -- merely being present, for example, when others are committing a crime -- is not enough to convict a person of knowing and intentional participation in a conspiracy. I've talked about "intention." What a person intends is a matter of what's in the person's mind. Sometimes a person may say what he intends, but sometimes what a person intends may be a matter of inference. Obviously, there's no way to look directly into somebody mind to see what's on the screen in there as an intention. Proof of knowledge or intent, therefore, is often a matter of inference from the way a person acts or behaves through a course of events, as well as from all other pertinent circumstances as you may find them in the evidence. So you may consider, and you may infer, though you are not required to do so, that a person ordinarily intends the natural and probable consequences of what he does.

Note that there is a difference between a person's intent and a person's motive. Intent refers to the state of mind with which a person performs an act, whether they act as purposeful and intended. Motive refers to the reason why a person may do an intentional act. A person's motive as opposed

to intent is not material to whether a crime has been committed. And intentionally committing a wrongful act cannot be justified by a good motive.

00:56 20

00:56 10

So these instructions now about the crime of conspiracy will apply generally to the several conspiracy counts in the indictment. Let me now turn to those several charges and let me summarize quickly the crimes that are charged in each of the seven counts.

Let me just say here, you will have a shortened version of the indictment sufficient to set forth for you what is charged in each of the counts. This is for your reference so you'll know what the charges are. The indictment, of course, is not evidence. It doesn't prove anything. It's simply a way of proposing the question. But this will tell you what the question is: Has the defendant committed the crime alleged in Count 1, 2, and so on. And you'll have that for reference.

So you'll see, when you look at that, that Count 1 charges the defendant with the crime of conspiracy, conspiring with Ahmad Abousamra and others to provide material support or resources to a designated foreign terrorist organization, namely, al Qa'ida.

Counts 2 and 3 are related. Count 2 charges the defendant with a conspiracy with Abousamra and others to provide, or alternately, to conceal material support or

resources, intending for such support or resources to be used in preparation for or in carrying out either of two specified crimes; that is, specified in the indictment, either a conspiracy to kill in a foreign country or the murder of a U.S. national in a foreign country.

By the way, as a matter of construction, when the indictment uses the word "and," it is generally taken as being capable of being understood as "and/or." So if you see "and" in the indictment, it can mean either "and" or "or."

So Count 2 charges the conspiracy. Count 3, related to the Count 2, charges the defendant with the substantive offense of providing or concealing material support or resources, intending them to be used for preparation in the identified crimes.

Count 4 charges the defendant with a conspiracy with Abousamra and others to murder a person or persons outside of the United States. Count 5 charges a conspiracy with Abousamra and others to make false statements in a matter that is within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the federal government; specifically, the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Counts 6 and 7 are related to Count 5, that conspiracy to make false statements, because Count 6 and 7 each allege a specific instance of a false statement made to the FBI.

That's a summary of the charges. So let me go through each one of them and tell you what the elements are that need

00:57 10

00:58 20

to be proven to prove the defendant guilty of these offenses.

00:59 20

00:59 10

Count 1 of the indictment charges that between approximately 2001 and June 17, 2010, which is the date of the indictment, the defendant being a United States national, conspired with Abousamra and others to provide material support and resources to al Qa'ida, a designated terrorist organization, all in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2339B. That section makes it a crime to provide material support or resources to a foreign terrorist organization or to conspire with one or more persons to do so.

So for you to find the defendant guilty of the crime of conspiracy, which is alleged in Count 1, the government must prove two propositions beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the conspiracy specified in Count 1 of the indictment existed, including the objective of the conspiracy, between the defendant and at least one other person; and second, that the defendant willfully joined in the conspiracy with the intention of helping to achieve its unlawful purpose. Those are the fundamental elements of conspiracy.

Now, the unlawful object of the conspiracy, what we might call the "underlying offense," is alleged to be the crime -- the substantive crime -- of providing material support or resources to a designated foreign terrorist organization. So to understand whether the conspiracy charge is proved, whether the defendant was part of a conspiracy to do that, you

have to understand what it means to do that and what the elements of that underlying substantive offense are. Now, the defendant is not charged with the substantive offense, but with conspiring to commit the substantive offense, but you still need to know what the elements of that are.

01:01 20

01:00 10

So to prove the substantive offense of providing material support or resources to a foreign terrorist organization, it would be necessary for the government to prove the following things: that a person, one, knowingly, two, provided material support or resources to a particular organization -- here, al Qa'ida -- or knowing either, A, that al Qa'ida had, in fact, been designated by the Secretary of State as a foreign terrorist organization, or, B, that al Qa'ida engaged or engages in terrorist activity, or, C, that it has engaged or engages in terrorism. And it must know one of those three things. Additionally, the government would have to prove the defendant was a United States national when he participated in the conspiracy. That's an illustration of a jurisdictional element, as I was talking about earlier.

Now, a person acts knowingly, as I've said, if he acts voluntarily and purposefully with an awareness of the pertinent actual facts and not out of ignorance or mistake or accident.

To provide material support is to furnish or supply.

"Material support and resources" is an important term in the statute. It includes services, money, training, expert

advice and assistance or personnel. "Material support and resources" does not include medicine or religious materials. The material support or resources need not themselves be directly related to terrorist activity; for example, not part of the evidence in this case, but just to illustrate, furnishing office space to a terrorist organization, otherwise an innocent act, could suffice as material support within the meaning of the statute provided all the other elements were established.

01:02 10

01:03 20

"Training," as used in the statute, means instruction or teaching designed to impart a specific skill as opposed to general knowledge. "Expert advice or assistance" means advice or assistance derived from scientific, technical or other specialized knowledge. In this case to convict the defendant of conspiring to provide material support and resources in the form of expert advice or assistance, that expert advice or assistance would have to have been provided after October 26, 2001.

Services may be a form of material support and resources. "Services" is not a defined term in the statute; it is to be given its ordinary and usual meaning. To convict a defendant of having conspired to provide services, such services would have to be provided after December 17th, 2004. "Personnel" means one or more persons, possibly including the defendant himself, to work under the foreign terrorist

organization's direction or control, or to otherwise organize, manage, supervise or direct the operations of the organization.

01:04 10

01:05 20

Now, this is important. Persons who act independently of a foreign terrorist organization to advance its goals or objectives are not considered to be working under the organization's direction or control. A person cannot be convicted under this statute when he's acting entirely independently of a foreign terrorist organization. That is true even if the person is advancing the organization's goals or objectives. Rather, for a person to be guilty under this count, a person must be acting in coordination with or at the direction of a designated foreign terrorist organization, here, as alleged in Count 1, al Qa'ida.

You need not worry about the scope or effect of the guarantee of free speech contained in the First Amendment to our Constitution. According to the Supreme Court, this statute already accommodates that guarantee by punishing only conduct that is done in coordination with or at the direction of a foreign terrorist organization. Advocacy that is done independently of the terrorist organization and not at its direction or in coordination with it does not violate the statute.

Put another way, activity that is proven to be the furnishing of material support or resources to a designated foreign terrorist organization under the statute is not

activity that is protected by the First Amendment; on the other hand, as I've said, independent advocacy on behalf of the organization, not done at its direction or in coordination with it, is not a violation of the statute.

01:07 20

01:06 10

Now, the government alleges that the conspiracy charged in Count 1 involved the intended provision of more than one form of material support and resources. To convict the defendant under the statute you must unanimously agree as to the manner in which the defendant conspired to provide material support and resources, if he did so. You need not find that he provided support and resources in all the ways the government has alleged. It is sufficient if you agree that he did so in one way, but you must all agree to that one way.

As noted above, the government must prove that the defendant knew he was providing, or conspiring to provide, material support or resources to al Qa'ida and also knew one of the following: that al Qa'ida was, in fact, a designated foreign terrorist organization, or that it had engaged or was engaging in either "terrorist activity" or "terrorism." These are defined terms. "Terrorism" means premeditated, politically motivated violence perpetrated against non-combatant targets by sub-national groups or clandestine agents; and "terrorist activity" means any activity which is unlawful under the laws of the place where it is committed or which, if it had been committed in the United States, would be unlawful under the

laws of the United States, and which involves an assassination or the hijacking or sabotage of any aircraft, vessel or vehicle.

01:08 20

01:08 10

In sum, to prove the defendant guilty under Count 1, the government must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: that the agreement specified in the indictment existed between at least two people to provide material support or resources to al Qa'ida in violation of the statute; and, second, that the defendant willfully joined in that agreement with the intention of helping to achieve its unlawful purpose.

In Count 2 the defendant is accused of conspiring to provide or conceal material support and resources to terrorists in violation of Section 2339A of Title 18 of the U.S. Code. In particular, he is charged with conspiring with Abousamra and others to provide material support and resources, as I've defined those terms, or to conceal or disguise the nature, location, source or ownership of material support and resources, knowing and intending that such material support and resources were to be used in preparation for and in carrying out either of two identified crimes: first, conspiracy to kill, kidnap, maim or injure a person in a foreign country in violation of Section 956 of Title 18 of the code; or the extraterritorial, that is, in a foreign country, homicide of a U.S. national, in violation of Section 2332 of the U.S. Code —

of Title 18 of the U.S. Code. "Title 18" is the body of criminal law in the United States Code.

01:10 10

01:10 20

Now, I've again given you the law of conspiracy, and the same two elements apply: You must show the existence of the conspiracy and the defendant's intentional participation in the conspiracy alleged in the indictment. And like Count 1, we have to look at the underlying substantive offense that is alleged to be the objective of the conspiracy to see whether the conspiracy, as alleged, has been proven or not.

So let's look at the underlying offense which is the substantive offense prohibited by Section 2339A of Title 18. And that statute says that "Whoever provides material support or resources, or conceals or disguises the nature, location, source or ownership of material support or resources, knowing or intending that they are to be used in preparation for or in carrying out a violation of" -- and then it lists a number of statutes, but I've identified the two that are at issue here, anybody who does that or attempts or conspires to do that, commits the offense.

So a person can violate this statute in either of two alternate ways: The first way is to provide material support and resources knowing and intending that they be used for the forbidden purpose; and the second is by concealing or disguising the nature, location, source or ownership of material support and resources, again, knowing and intending

that they be used in a forbidden way.

01:11 10

01:12 20

So a person can violate the statute either by providing the material support or by concealing the material support with the necessary intentions. And as I've told you before, if there are alternate theories, the government must prove either or both to you so that you unanimously agree. Six of you can't think it's one and six think it's the other, and so on; it has to be a unanimous agreement as to which has been proven.

Now, I've explained "material support" and "resources" in connection with Count 1. I won't repeat that. Same definition. Since the objective of the conspiracy as alleged in Count 2 is the commission of a crime under Section 956 or Section 2332, let me tell you what has to be proven under those so you'll understand whether the conspiracy went to those purposes.

A conspiracy to kill in a foreign country under Section 956 of Title 18 requires proof of the following elements: the existence of a conspiracy to murder, kidnap or maim somebody outside the United States; second, a person's willful joining and participation in the conspiracy, intending to help achieve its objective; third, that person's participation in the conspiracy occurred while the person was within the jurisdictions of the United States; and, fourth, that an overt act, or an affirmative act or step, was taken by

at least one of the coconspirators within the United States.

So the conspiracy has in its objective the murder, kidnapping or my maiming of somebody outside the United States, that a person willfully joined in it intending to achieve its objective while within the United States, and then an overt act was committed within the United States.

01:14 20

01:13 10

Now, an overt act -- and this is a case where there's, in a conspiracy, the requirement of an affirmative overt act. An overt act is a step or action taken during the life of a conspiracy by one of the conspirators that is a step toward accomplishing the objective of the conspiracy. The overt act, or the step toward achieving the objective, need not be criminal in and of itself and may, in fact, be wholly innocent. So that's the 956 offense that's charged in Count 2.

The second one, under Section 2332, refers to the extraterritorial homicide of a U.S. national, and that would require proof of the following elements, again, as a conspiracy: the existence of a conspiracy, the object of which was to murder U.S. nationals in a foreign country; second, the person's knowing and intentional participation in that conspiracy intending to achieve that objective; and the person's participation in the conspiracy while outside of the United States, in contrast to the other one which required participation while in the United States; and, fourth, the commission of an overt act by one of the conspirators

specifically to accomplish the objective of the conspiracy here as alleged, particularly, an overt act to accomplish the murder of a U.S. national.

01:15 10

01:15 20

"Murder" is the unlawful killing of a human being with malice of forethought. "Malice of forethought" means the specific intent to cause death, specific intent to cause grievous bodily harm, or knowledge of a reasonably prudent person that in circumstances a particular act was very likely to cause death. A national of the United States is a citizen of the United States or a person owing personal allegiance to the United States.

So as to Count 2, the conspiracy to commit that offense, again, the elements of the conspiracy are the existence of the conspiracy, the defendant's willful and knowing participation in it. And the objective of the conspiracy, as alleged in Count 2, has to be one of those two identified statutes.

Count 3 is a substantive offense that is similar to the conspiracy offense alleged in Count 2, and Count 3 alleges that from in or about the spring of 2002 and continuing until about February of 2007 the defendant knowingly provided material support or resources, or concealed and disguised the origin, the source, location, et cetera, or attempted to do so knowing that they were intended to be used in preparation for the offenses as I've defined them under Section 956 and Section

2332. So this is the substantive version of the conspiracy alleged in Count 2.

Again, there are alternate ways of proving it, either by providing material support or concealing and, again, I remind you that either theory could be accepted, but it has to be accepted unanimously.

Now, Count 3, which charges the substantive offense, also charges that it could be committed in different ways. A substantive offense can be committed directly by the person himself doing the things that constitute the crime, but there are some other ways in which the offense can be proved, and a substantive offense can be proved against a person. One is, in addition to being guilty of the completed crime, the government could prove the person guilty of an attempt to commit the crime.

A person can be convicted of an offense, a substantive offense, including this one, not only when he succeeds in completing it, but when he attempts to do so but does not complete it. To prove an attempt -- the crime of attempt -- the government must prove, first, the defendant intended to commit the completed crime; and, second, that he engaged in a purposeful act that under the circumstances he believed to be amounted to a substantial step toward the commission of the completed crime consistent with his criminal intents.

A substantial step is an act in furtherance of the

01:17 10

01:17 20

commission of the crime. It's more than mere preparation and it's less than the last act necessary to complete the crime. So the "substantial" indicates the fact of attempt.

Now, it's not a defense to the unsuccessful completion of a crime that is attempted that it was either factually or legally impossible to commit the crime; in other words, a person has committed the crime of attempt if he satisfies the elements: that he had the intent to commit the crime and he took a substantial step toward it, even if he doesn't finish it, and even if it was impossible for him to finish it. So a classical example of this fact of a factual impossibility is a person tries to steal money from an empty safe. It will be impossible to complete the crime because there was no money in the safe, but the attempt, by cracking open the safe, was enough to convict the person of attempted larceny.

An alternate method of proof, another alternate method of proof, available under Count 3 is proof by aiding and abetting. The government may prove a person guilty of a crime either by proving the person directly or personally committed the acts that constituted the crime, or by proving the defendant willfully and intentionally assisted another person or persons to commit those acts. And that's what's called "aiding and abetting the commission of the crime by the other person."

In order to prove someone guilty of an offense by

01:18 10

01:19 20

aiding and abetting, the government must prove that some person committed the crime and that the defendant consciously shared that person's knowledge of the crime, intended to help bring it about, and took part in the endeavor in some way seeking to make it succeed.

01:20 10

01:21 20

It's important to keep in mind that it is not an offense for a person simply to be in the presence of somebody who's committing a crime or to know that somebody else is committing a crime. Even if you know somebody's committing a crime and take no steps to prevent it, you're not necessarily guilty of aiding and abetting. To be guilty by aiding and abetting, you must intend to help bring about the crime and take part in the endeavor in some way.

And there is one more method by which Count 3 could be proven, and that is if the offense was committed by a coconspirator of the defendant's. Count 2 alleges that the defendant was in a conspiracy to commit the offenses identified. Count 3 is that the defendant committed the identified offenses. If you find that he was part of the conspiracy, then you could find him guilty of the substantive offense as well if you find the following things: that somebody else who was a coconspirator committed the substantive offense in Count 3; that that person and the defendant were both members of the same conspiracy as alleged in Count 2; and if you found that to be true, that the coconspirator committed

the substantive crime in furtherance of the conspiracy -- was within the scope of the conspiracy to do that; that the defendant was a member of the conspiracy at the time that the coconspirator committed the act, and had not withdrawn from the conspiracy; and, lastly, that the defendant could reasonably have foreseen that his coconspirator would have committed the substantive offense.

01:22 20

01:22 10

I refer to whether the defendant had withdrawn from the conspiracy. A person may withdraw from a conspiracy by an affirmative act, but passivity or inaction is not sufficient to amount to a withdrawal from a conspiracy that a person has knowingly and intentionally joined.

So to recap, there are four ways that a person might be found guilty of the substantive offense in Count 3, and that is, providing or concealing material support to terrorism, in summary, and that is by doing it himself, by attempting to do it, by aiding and abetting somebody who did it, or because of the commission of the crime by a then-coconspirator of the defendant's. Those are alternate ways by which the government might prove that offense. But, again, as I say, if there are alternate choices, you must be unanimous as to the proof as to any one of them.

In Count 4 the government alleges that the defendant was part of a conspiracy to kill in a foreign country. This offense is based on Section 956 of Title 18. I've previously

told you about 956 as an objective of the conspiracy in Count

2. So I've already told you what those elements are. Let me
just recap them for you. Conspiracy to kill in a foreign
country under 956 requires proof that there was a conspiracy to
murder, kidnap or maim somebody outside the United States; that
the defendant willfully joined and participated in the
conspiracy intending to help achieve its objective; the
defendant's participation in the conspiracy occurred while he
was within the jurisdiction of the United States; and that
there was an overt act committed by at least one of the
coconspirators in the United States during the life of the
conspiracy. If the government proves all those matters, then
it will have proved the conspiracy alleged in Count 4.

01:24 20

01:23 10

Count 5 also charges a conspiracy. This conspiracy is alleged to be one with Abousamra and others to make false or fraudulent statements to the United States in the course of the business of the executive branch. This conspiracy is alleged in the indictment to have existed from about 2003 to the date of the indictment, June 17, 2010.

For you to find the defendant guilty of a conspiracy as alleged in this count, the government must prove three things: the existence of the conspiracy as alleged in the particular count; second, the defendant's willful and intentional joinder in that conspiracy with the purpose to achieve its objective; and, third, the commission of an overt

act or a substantial step towards achieving the objective of the conspiracy.

Now, here the objective of the conspiracy is alleged to be the unlawful making of false statements. Section 1001 of Title 18 states that whoever in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the government of the United States knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals or covers up by any trick, scheme or device a material fact, or makes any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or representation, commits the offense.

So to convict a person under this false statement statute, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt a person knowingly and willfully made a false statement, the person made the statement voluntarily and intentionally, the statement was material, and that the person made the statement in the course of a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the federal government, and here it is alleged to be the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

A false statement is an assertion that is untrue when made and known by the person making it to be untrue. A false statement is material if it has a natural tendency to influence or be capable of affecting or influencing a governmental function. The statement need not have actually influenced the actions of the governmental official, and government agents need not have been actually deceived by it in order for it to

01:25 10

01:26 20

be material; it is the tendency or the nature of the statement by which you're to judge whether it was material or not.

The phrase "in the matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch"; namely, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, means a matter or situation which the FBI had the power or authority to participate in.

Now, if you find the defendant guilty of this offense, conspiring to make false statements, if you find him guilty of that, then there's an additional matter for you to consider, and that is whether the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that this offense of conspiracy to make false statements involved international terrorism.

An offense involves international terrorism if, first, the offense involves violent act or acts dangerous to human life that are a violation of the criminal laws of the United States, or of any state, or that would be a criminal violation if committed within the jurisdiction of the United States or any state; second, that it appears to be intended to intimidate or coerce a civilian population or to influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion, or to affect the conduct of a government by mass destruction, assassination or kidnapping; and, third, that it occurs primarily outside the territorial jurisdiction of the United States, or transcends national boundaries in terms of the means by which the acts are accomplished, the persons they appear intended to intimidate or

01:27 10

01:27 20

coerce, or the locale in which the perpetrators operate or seek asylum.

01:28 10

01:29 20

So you're to consider whether the offense of conspiring to make false statements, if it occurred, occurred in a context that involved international terrorism. That's a separate consideration. It's not an element of the conspiracy charge; it's a separate element after you've found the conspiracy, if you do. So that's Count 5. That relates to a conspiracy to make false statements.

Each of Count 6 and 7 are substantive offense allegations of particular false statements. Count 6 charges that on or about December 16, 2006, the defendant made false statements to the FBI about the then-current whereabouts and activities of Daniel Maldonado.

In Count 7, the government alleges that on or about December 16, 2006, the defendant made false statements to the FBI about the purpose and intended destination of a trip he had taken in February 2004 to Yemen, and about whether he had received any assistance from anyone in connection with that trip.

So I've told you what the government must prove to find the defendant guilty of making false statements. Again, those are the defendant knowingly and willfully made the statement, that the statement was false, that it was material and it was made as to a matter within the jurisdiction of the

executive branch, specifically, the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

If you conclude the defendant is guilty of making a false statement in either of the instances alleged in Count 6 and 7, then you are to consider the same question with respect to international terrorism that arises under Count 5; that is, if he's guilty of making the false statement, and you've concluded that, then you turn to the question whether the offense involved international terrorism as I've defined that.

So those are the elements of the offenses that pertain. You can see they're complicated. Don't worry, we're going to give you a transcript of these instructions so that you can review them and think about them more carefully. We don't expect you to absorb it all at once, in one oral reading. We'll provide that to you.

That's the conclusion of the first part of my instructions. We'll now turn to the closing statements by counsel. And when they're finished, I'll have some more to say to you about your deliberations.

The order of presentation of closing arguments is that the government presents its statement first, followed by the defendant. The government then has an opportunity for a brief rebuttal, if it chooses.

So for the government, Mr. Auerhahn.

MR. AUERHAHN: Thank you, your Honor.

01:30 10

01:30 20

THE COURT: Will you be using any of the equipment?

MR. AUERHAHN: Yes. If we could have Mr. Bruemmer's

01:32 10

01:33 20

computer, please.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. This has been a long trial and you have heard a great deal of evidence. First, I want to thank you for your service as jurors. You serve the most important role in the criminal justice system because you, and only you, decide the facts in this case. And in applying the facts of the law, as the judge explains them, you and only you will decide whether the defendant, Tarek Mehanna, is guilty of the seven counts in which he is named in the indictment the grand jury returned.

As the judge explained to you in preliminary instructions when this trial began, the charges fall into two groups. The first group of four charges can loosely be described as the terrorism charges. The second group of three charges can be described as the false statement charges. However, these groups are not distinct. The charges are all related to each other. As I will explain to you as I go through this closing statement, the evidence proving these charges overlaps.

I will divide my statements into four or five sections. First, I will discuss the defendant's trip to Yemen in 2004 with Ahmad Abousamra. This trip was an attempt to provide support to foreign terrorists by providing themselves

as personnel to fight American forces in Iraq. If there were no other evidence of any actions by the defendant, this trip alone would be sufficient to prove the defendant guilty of Counts 1 through 4.

01:34 10

01:34 20

Second, I will discuss many of the activities in which the defendant was engaged after his return from Yemen, actions the defendant did with an intent to provide a different kind of support to terrorists in general, and to al Qa'ida, and the effort to recruit others.

Third, I will focus on some of the specific lies that are alleged in Counts 6 and 7, and the overarching conspiracy to lie that is contained in Count 5. These lies are also evidence of his efforts to advance the objectives of Count 1 through 4, as well as more narrowly, an effort to conceal material support he and Abousamra presided or attempted to provide.

This is an independent basis of a liability to Count 3, as the judge just told you, providing or attempting to provide material support to terrorists or conceal support.

This also establishes that he aided and is, therefore, guilty of the other terrorism offenses as well.

Finally, I will talk about Tarek Mehanna's motivations and actions. Although, as I have stated, the charges and evidence overlap, each charge has a distinct element, as the judge has explained to you. Some charge a substantive offense;

that is, the defendant did something. Others charge an agreement to commit a crime: The defendant agreed to do something. Finally, one also charges an attempt to commit a crime.

01:35 10

01:36 20

Throughout my closing I will discuss the testimony of the cooperating witnesses as well as the statements of the defendant in the chats and the emails and the consensual recordings. These different sources of evidence all corroborate each other and prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of all the charges.

I will not talk a lot about many of the photographs and videos and, of course, I will not refer to all of the chats that were read. Those exhibits help set the background, or canvas, on which the picture of the defendant can be drawn; that is, a man who was motivated by and admired the leaders of al Qa'ida and their successful attacks against the United States and her interests, and who desire to help fight against and kill American servicemen overseas, whether he could do it himself or convince others to do so.

I will refer to many exhibits because it is the evidence that proves the defendant's guilt. Because there is so much evidence, I am not embarrassed to say, I will rely on my notes as a crutch.

On February 1, 2004, three men boarded a plane at Boston Logan's Airport. They went with the singular purpose:

Their collective agreement was to go to Yemen to find paramilitary training so they could use that training to go to Iraq and fight and kill U.S. servicemen. When questioned by TSA officials, state police, CBP officials, they stuck to the story they had agreed to tell: They were going to Yemen to check out schools. They covered for each other and they assisted each other in furtherance of their common objectives.

01:37 20

01:36 10

In discussing Yemen, I want to start with the testimony of Jason Patrick Pippin, a/k/a Abu Omar, a/k/a Abu Muthanna, for several reasons: First, he only met Ahmad Abousamra. You've heard a lot about what Abousamra said and did which you can appropriately consider when evaluating the guilt or innocence of the defendant. So it is helpful to see the details of the interrelationship between the defendant and Abousamra, and how Abousamra, his coconspirator, spoke for and acted with the defendant. Second, other than the defendant Ahmed Abousamra, Pippin has the most detail about what they were looking for in Yemen — or should I say who they were looking to find and why.

In the fall of 2003, while living in California,
Pippin got a visit from Ahmad Abousamra, someone he only met
online. Abousamra told Pippin he wanted to go to Yemen to
receive paramilitary training so that he could go to Iraq and
fight against American forces. An admission of a desire to
commit murder overseas.

1 | 2 |

01:38 10

01:39 20

Abousamra knew, or learned, Pippin had received such training in Pakistan, from LET, Lashkar e-Tayyiba, in the '90s. Abousamra shared with Pippin his own experiences in Pakistan when he tried to get training in 2002 to get into Afghanistan to fight U.S. servicemen there. Abousamra told Pippin he had gone to Peshawar, sought out Afghan war veterans and attempted to get into Pakistan, but was turned back because he didn't have any training and because he was an Arab.

Abousamra told Pippin he had like-minded friends who were also Salafi-Jihadi, Abu Sabaayaa and Kareem, but he was vague on whether they were going with him. Pippin explained that they chose Yemen because many Afghan veterans from other countries had settled in Yemen after leaving Afghanistan because they could not return home.

You'll recall that Evan Kohlmann and the defense expert, Gregory Johnsen, stated the same fact concerning the presence of Afghan veterans in Yemen in the '90s at a time when Pippin was there.

Now, what exactly did Pippin tell Abousamra? Pippin told Abousamra that when he was in Yemen in the late '90s he stayed in Ma'rib, at the house of the brother-in-law of the head of the school in Ma'rib. The head of the school, Abul Hassan, was not Salafi-Jihadi; however, the relative at whose house the relative was staying was. In fact, he was an Egyptian and Afghan veteran.

1 Pippin believed that Afghan veteran would have contacts with al Qa'ida members who could find training camps. 2 Pippin described the man as a very large Egyptian in his 40s who owned a perfume shop. Note that fact. Pippin also gave 5 him a second contact, a teacher in another part of Yemen who was also Salafi-Jihadi and might have the right connections to 7 al Qa'ida. 8 On January 12, 2007, Kareem Abuzahra met with and recorded a conversation with the defendant. Exhibit 458. 9 01:39 10 Mehanna, for the very first time, told Abuzahra about what 11 happened in Yemen. Abuzahra asked, "How was Yemen?" 12 "MEHANNA: Oh, yeah. I never told you about Yemen. 13 "ABUZAHRA: No, we never -- we didn't have much of a 14 chance to talk when you came back. 15 "MEHANNA: We pretty much got screwed, man. We pretty much got screwed. Well, they showed me a picture of Abu Omar," 16 referring to the FBI interview when they showed him the DMV 17 18 photo from California of Abu Omar, or Pippin. 19 "ABUZAHRA: How'd you know it was him? 01:40 20 "MEHANNA: They said he lived in California. Blonde 21 hair, obviously." 22 Abuzahra knew that they had never met him, so asked, "How did you know him?" And Mehanna gave the answer, 23 24 suggesting that Abousamra gave him the details about his 25 meeting with Pippin.

1 2

01:41 10

01:41 20

Mehanna then went on to describe to Abuzahra what happened in Yemen. And I will discuss these details shortly, but first I want to pause for a moment. This conversation is significant for another reason. This part of this conversation also establishes that, as alleged in Count 7 and the conspiracy in Count 5, the defendant lied, and agreed to lie, to the FBI.

In December 2006 he told the agents of the JTTF that he did not know Pippin, a/k/a Abu Omar. That was a lie. He lied when he told them no one helped them in advance of their trip. He lied when he told them he did not even know anyone in California.

In January of 2007 the defendant then described to Abuzahra some of the details about the information from Pippin and what they did with it. The details Mehanna gave to Abuzahra are entirely consistent with the testimony you heard from Jason Patrick Pippin in this courtroom. Further, Mehanna's words establish that he did not go to Yemen for language or religious classes, but as Abousamra told Pippin, to find someone who could help them get to Iraq to find American soldiers.

Mehanna reminded Abuzahra that Pippin, quote, "He just gave us the names so we had to find them. We had to go around and literally ask people, you know, 'Do you know this person? Where is he?' And they're like, 'Yeah, they're in a town called Shihir.' 'Oh, really? Where's Shihir?' 'Two hours

1 away. If you go to Shihir and he's not there, you wanna go to Sanaa.' 'Where's Sanaa?' 'Twelve-hour bus.' 2 "And we went all over the country. We got stopped 3 like four times by these like bandit tribes." 4 5 Abuzahra asked, "Were they government officials?" 6 "MEHANNA: No, no, they were tribes. 7 "So finally, the only guy we found was an Egyptian. We found, him right? He's in Ma'rib where Abu Hassan's school 8 was." 01:42 10 Recall that when the defendant was interviewed by the 11 FBI in December 2006, the defendant identified the various towns in Yemen that he had visited, but purposely lied to the 12 13 FBI and never mentioned Ma'rib. Had he been to Ma'rib? Well, 14 he says so in this recorded conversation. 15 But that's not the only proof that he had been to Ma'rib and lied to the FBI. On March 30, 2006, Exhibit 690, 16 Mehanna told Mu'awiyah, "The place to go is Ma'rib. I was 17 18 there two years ago. It's like Afghanistan. Very, very old 19 school. Tribal place, mud huts. Many mujahideen live there. 01:43 20 The government has no control." But he never told the FBI he 21 went to Ma'rib, and he certainly didn't tell them that there 22 were many mujahideen there. 23 On May 22, 2006, Exhibit 696, Mehanna and Mu'awiyah 24 again discussed Ma'rib. Mehanna said, "It's a wild land, very

tribal, full of bandits and al Qa'ida. A lot of foreigners,

Egyptians from Jama'at al-Jihad who escaped Egypt in the '80s and came there but they were all fugitives and underground."

He never told the FBI he went to Ma'rib, and he most certainly never told the FBI that it's a wild land full of al Qa'ida, nor that that was precisely what he hoped to find: al Qa'ida.

During the recorded conversation with Abuzahra on January 12, 2007, the defendant also told Abuzahra about how he reacted to the disappointing news he received in Yemen that there was no one that could help him get training and get them into Iraq to fight.

"MEHANNA: I was in a very bad psychological state because Abu Omar kind of got our hopes up. 'Oh, they'll definitely help you get there.' And everyone -- half of them are in jail, half of them are on Hajj, and the only guy we find, he's Egyptian who's on the friggin' run."

Abuzahra asked, "How did you find him?" And Mehanna explained that they went to Ma'rib and, quote, "We go to Ma'rib with the plan of going to Abul Hassan's school and asking about this guy, and hopefully someone will find us."

Then, by chance, they were told by someone about the, quote, "Egyptian, and he used to own a perfume shop," just as Pippin had said. "I was, like, 'Take us to him right now.'

Just by chance he said that."

Abuzahra asked, "Where did you get that name from, someone you met before or was that some, um" -- Mehanna

01:43 10

01:44 20

responded, "Abu Omar gave it to us," Pippin.

01:45 20

01:45 10

Mehanna's words: "I was in a very bad psychological state because Abu Omar kind of got our hopes up. 'Oh, they'll definitely help you get there.'"

I read that again so I can ask you: Is there any contorted explanation that would support a finding that Mehanna went to Yemen for school?

Mehanna continued: "There were a couple of names."

Mehanna told Abuzahra about going to a house that, quote, "It's not even a house; it's a mud hut. The place is prehistoric.

So we're like, 'Where's your father?' He's like, 'We haven't seen him in three years, since September 11th.' I was like, 'Great.'"

Mehanna then describes how the driver had left them, so they asked if they could enter the house. They sat down and admitted the true reason why they had come there. Quote, "We told them exactly why we were here. So then he's like, 'Okay. I'll be right back.' He goes for, like, ten minutes, comes back out with his AK-47, and his father's behind him," in hiding, as the defense expert said with reference to al Qa'ida in Yemen.

"His father walks out behind him, his huge brother, long hair, long beard, turban. So we sat and talked to him and stuff, and he just said, like, 'All that stuff is gone. Ever since the planes hit the Twin Towers, and, you know, forget

about it.' So that was it at that point." 1 "ABUZAHRA: Yeah, but he said -- he's like just, 'Go 2 home'? 3 "MEHANNA: Yeah. I didn't snap at him, but afterwards 5 I told him, 'This is it? You know, I've left my life behind, you know, because Abu Muthanna didn't know what the heck he was 7 talking about?'" 8 Again: "All that stuff is gone since the planes hit 9 the Twin Towers." Is he talking about schools that are 01:46 10 renowned the world over for language and religion that were 11 still in Yemen after 9/11 or is he talking about camps which the defense expert testified were now gone post 9/11? 12 13 "I didn't snap at him, but afterward I told him, 'This 14 is it? You know, I left my life behind, you know, because Abu Muthanna didn't know what he was talking about?'" 15 Again, did he leave his life behind to spend a week or 16 two at language or religious schools or to fight jihad? 17 of this discussion, which exactly mirrors the information 18 19 Pippin provided to Abousamra and that Pippin told to you from 01:46 20 the witness stand, there's no mention or discussion of any 21 desire to attend religious or language schools, nor is there 22 any indication that the defendant's purpose was any different than Abousamra's. And recall the defense had conceded from day 23 24 one that Abousamra went to Yemen for training and to Iraq to 25 fight Americans.

_

a

01:47 10

01:48 20

Where in Mehanna's description to Abuzahra of what he and Abousamra did in Yemen is even the suggestion that he and Abousamra had different purposes or went to different places or had different experiences in Yemen? If Mehanna had gone for language schools, but simply tagged along with Abousamra while Abousamra searched for Pippin's al Qa'ida connections, why didn't he say to Abousamra, "All I wanted was a language school and look at the trouble you guys got me into. I never should have gone at the same time as you"?

But he didn't say that because he didn't just tag along; he and Abousamra had the same desire: to fight and kill Americans in Iraq. Doesn't this recorded conversation also confirm that, as Pippin and Abuzahra said, "The story about going to a language or Arabic schools, specifically, Dar al-Mustafa, or any other, for that matter, was nothing more than their cover story"? And all of the other witnesses who had varying degrees of information about the trip to Yemen, didn't all of them tell you that they were never told that Abousamra and Mehanna separated as they looked for different things in Yemen, or that either of them ever stated or suggested that they had gone for different purposes?

Before I talk about some of the information from the other witnesses, I want to mention one point about the so-called cooperating witnesses. In his opening, defense counsel told you that individuals whose photos were displayed

by Mr. Chakravarty in his opening would come in and testify. Here their photos are again.

01:49 20

01:49 10

According to defense counsel, every one of these people did something: went to a training camp, went to a foreign country to fight or did have direct contact with al Qa'ida or gave money to them. All of these people did something, and counsel stated, "They all have immunity so nothing will happen to them."

What on earth was he talking about? Every one of these people? What did young Aboubakr do? Did he ever get on a plane to receive training? Did he ever translate al Qa'ida media? He's not even correct when it comes to Maldonado who did fight, who did have contact with al Qa'ida. He didn't get immunity; he's serving a ten-year sentence. These so-called cooperating witness were served a subpoena that ordered them to come to this courtroom, and Judge O'Toole issued an order, on application of the United States, compelling them to answer questions.

Part of the order is protection that anything they say cannot be used against them. Although we recall it, using shorthand, an "immunity order," in fact, it is no such thing.

No one is immunized for any crimes; it simply means that nothing they say can be used against them. But most importantly, the only thing that will get them in trouble is lying. Then they can be prosecuted for perjury. There is an

even bigger hammer over Abuzahra's head. If he lies, everything he told the United States can be used to prosecute him.

Very similar to the description by Mehanna in the recorded conversation with Abuzahra, Hassan Masood testified to having received similar information from Mehanna about he and Abousamra having traveled around the country of Yemen trying to find the person who could help them. Mehanna told Masood that they were supposed to find someone who could get them into a training camp, but they failed.

Masood knew about the contact in California, but he did not know his name. Mehanna also told Masood, just as he told Abuzahra in the recorded conversation, that eventually they found a relative of the person they were looking for, but that person told them the camps weren't around anymore. Even Spaulding, who seemed to remember -- who couldn't seem to remember a lot in response to my questions, even what he had said the previous day, testified that Abousamra discussed visiting schools, not for their Arabic or their language curriculum but for the purpose of finding someone who could give him a contact to help him find training. When Abousamra talked about it to Spaulding, as he did to other witnesses, he spoke in terms of what he and Mehanna had done; he did not state or suggest or indicate that Mehanna's purpose was different from his own.

01:50 10

Abousamra was very specific with Spaulding, that he wanted to get training so he could go to fight Americans in Iraq. While Mehanna, according to Spaulding, was less specific, Mehanna did tell Spaulding that he did not continue to Iraq with Abousamra because he thought it would be a waste of time and he was disillusioned with what happened in Yemen. This mirrors what he told Abuzahra about being in a bad psychological state.

01:52 20

01:51 10

Now, if Mehanna had gone to Yemen to study classical Arabic or religious studies, why the disillusionment, as he told Spaulding, and what does this have to do with continuing on to Iraq? Obviously, because Mehanna and Abousamra's purposes were the same and they failed to achieve the objective of finding training in Yemen, which is precisely what he told Abuzahra on January 12, 2007. "I was in a very bad psychological state because Omar kind of got our hopes up.

'They'll definitely help you get there.'"

His hopes of getting there was ultimately to get to Iraq to fight. These are the words of the defendant: admitting to an intent to fight. Admitting to an intent with Abousamra to kill.

As I said, other witnesses told you the same thing.

Mehanna told Maldonado that they failed to connect with anyone
who could help them find training. They failed in their effort
to find training and then go into Iraq to fight Americans.

Maldonado testified that Mehanna never said anything to

Maldonado about wanting to go to Yemen to study Arabic or a

language -- I'm sorry. Arabic or Islam.

01:53 20

01:53 10

Masood also told -- was told that they intended to go to Iraq to fight Americans after receiving training in Yemen.

Masood testified that Mehanna specifically told him that

Muslims had an obligation to fight against the invasion of Iraq by U.S. forces.

Look at the chronology. Both men, Mehanna and Abousamra, left the UAE together and entered Yemen together on February 4th. They traveled around Yemen together, and they left Yemen together on February 11th, arriving in the UAE together the same day. On February 12th, Abousamra left the UAE with the intention of going on to Iraq. February 15th Mehanna left the UAE and returned to Boston later the same day.

Hassan Masood testified that upon his return, Mehanna told Masood that Abousamra was going to find a way to get into Iraq; he had not given up. When Mehanna arrived in the United States, as he told Masood and as the records of their passport show, Exhibits 476 and 477, he knew that Abousamra was no longer in Yemen. And as he told Masood, Abousamra was already on his way to or in Iraq. However, when questioned by the CBP officials and asked where his traveling companion was, he said he was still in Yemen.

Now, why did Mehanna do that? First, for the same

reason he later lied to the FBI about their purpose in Yemen: to advance their conspiracies and attempt to provide material support. But he lied for a different reason. He lied because he was part of a criminal agreement to lie to law enforcement, as alleged in Count 5, regardless of his own purpose in going to Yemen. Thus, his guilt on Count 5 is established by these lies independent of the issue of why he went to Yemen.

01:55 20

01:54 10

I'm not suggesting for a moment that there is any conclusion except that he went to Yemen to receive training and then going to Iraq in furtherance of the conspiracy as alleged in Count 1, 2 and 4, and the attempt to provide support as set forth in Count 3; however, even if you found his reason for going to Yemen was different than Abousamra's, he's still guilty of these same offenses.

First, he lied as part of his agreement with Abousamra and Abuzahra to lie; second, he lied to conceal or disguise the support that Abousamra was attempting to provide. During the judge's instructions, note that he said "conceal or disguise language is included in Count 2 and 3." And finally, he was aiding and abetting the crimes being committed by Abousamra.

The instructions from the judge included the fact that one who aids another to commit a crime is guilty as well.

Pippin recognized that fact when he admitted on cross-examination that he was providing information to

Abousamra so that Abousamra could receive military training and

then go to Iraq to fight and kill American servicemen. Pippin admitted that if he had gone to Yemen with Abousamra, he would have traveled around Yemen and introduced him to the people whose names he had given him.

01:55 10

01:56 20

In his opening, defense counsel stated that the timing of the trip was good for Mehanna because his parents were away and it was the semester break. Well, as you have seen from the records of defendant's school, Exhibit 799, it was not semester break but just shy of three weeks after classes had resumed when he went to Yemen, and two more weeks before he returned. He returned over a month after classes started.

If he were going to Yemen to study, does it make any sense that he would leave after his semester at pharmacy school had started? What was the urgency? Why not go during vacation? But if he's going for jihad and might never return, his class schedule at pharmacy school was not a consideration. The time was convenient because his parents weren't home and would not be able to stop him.

Every witness testified that there was a circle of friends with whom the defendant and Abousamra would discuss jihad. Even Spaulding testified there was a small circle with whom they would discuss the obligation for jihad, although Abousamra was less careful than the defendant.

Exhibit 655, February 28, 2006, Spaulding: "I always practice a little hiding of my beliefs around those I really

1 don't know; there is only a few people that I trust enough to be completely open with." 2 "MEHANNA: The only people I trust are you and Ahmad. "SPAULDING: I also trust Ali," referring to Ali 4 5 Aboubakr. "MEHANNA: Well, the younger they are, the bigger 7 their mouths are." 8 Further, every witness testified that Mehanna in 9 particular would not openly discuss the trip to Yemen. 01:57 10 Aboubakr said it was taboo. Spaulding said the discussions of 11 the details of the trip would be limited to a particularly small close -- circle of close friends. If he went for 12 13 studying, why was there concern about discussing it? Maldonado 14 testified that there was concern that the trip to Yemen would 15 cause him problems because the trip was intended to connect him to training and jihad. That's obviously the truth. There is 16 no other logical explanation for all the secrecy surrounding 17 18 Mehanna's trip to Yemen. And Mehanna was very concerned about 19 people knowing about the trip. 01:57 20 Exhibit 655, February 28, 2006, with Spaulding: "MEHANNA: Do you know the thing about where Muqbil is 21 22 from, how those bros went there? People that those bros don't 23 even know, know about that, which is not good." 24 Muqbil is a reference to Shaykh Muqbil from Yemen. 25 Obviously, the two bros that went there are Mehanna and

Abousamra. What else did he say? "People knowing is not good." Why is it not good for people to know he went to study and just study?

Mehanna also specifically stated that, "The fact that Bob," a reference to the FBI, "can ask a given number of people who might very well include hypocrites who can give him this info, is not good." Why would he be concerned that people might talk to the FBI if all he did was go study? Obviously, he didn't want people, or the FBI, to know because he went for training and jihad.

And by the way, why all the code if he only went to study? Spaulding testified that Mehanna was very concerned about an individual named Ahmad Abu Dawoud and his interest in Mehanna's Yemen trip. You'll recall the chats with Spaulding about Abu Dawoud, Exhibit 664, July 7, 2006.

"MEHANNA: Abu D knows about Abu Sab and Abu Fadl's trip to the YMCA.

"SPAULDING: Does he know about al-Fadl's additional field trips to P-Town?"

"Abu Sab" is obviously Abu Sabaayaa, and Mehanna is talking in the third person. Again, why the code if he went to learn? "Al-Fadl" is Abousamra and "YMCA" is more code for Yemen.

Spaulding's reference to "al-Fadl's additional trips to P-Town" is a coded reference to Abousamra's two trips to

01:58 10

01:59 20

Pakistan in an effort to get into Afghanistan to fight. The

two trips -- the trips to Pakistan by Abousamra, and the one by

Abousamra and Mehanna to Yemen, are all spoken of in the same

way because they were all for the same purpose: Jihad;

therefore, there was concern for people knowing about it.

Trips for studying Arabic or language would not be discussed in

this manner.

Mehanna goes on to tell Spaulding, "Sab's never confirmed with Abu D if this was true. He just said, 'What the heck is he talking about?'" But Abu D isn't stupid.

"SPAULDING: Does al-Fadl know?

02:00 20

01:59 10

"MEHANNA: I don't want to freak him out."

Mehanna had a similar discussion with Ahmad Rashad on April 15, 2006, Exhibit 562. When Rashad told Mehanna that "Abu Dawoud, he knows your story," Mehanna told Rashad that "I didn't tell anyone about it, but people that I never heard of know about it." He also asked Rashad, "Who told you? It's for my own safety. Man, I got to know who knows. It's for my own safety." Again, why is this a matter of a safety if Mehanna's trip was to visit Arabic or religion schools?

On February 25, 2007, Kareem Abuzahra express a similar concern about who knew about their trip to Yemen. It is clear from the conversation that the concern was that people knew they went to Yemen for training; the trip was in furtherance of their plan to participate in jihad.

1 "ABUZAHRA: Well, see, the problem now we have that I see, that Danny -- I don't know what he knows. And this is 2 where you have to help me out. "MEHANNA: Dan knows everything. 5 "ABUZAHRA: You told him everything? 6 "MEHANNA: I didn't tell him jack; Ahmad told him." 7 Here the defendant essentially authenticates Maldonado's testimony about the fact that Abousamra explicitly 8 told him why Mehanna and Abousamra went to Yemen; that is, for 02:01 10 training and jihad. 11 "ABUZAHRA: See, the problem is this: My wife, 12 generally speaking, knows; my parents, generally speaking, 13 know; my brothers, generally speaking, know, but I never told a 14 single person whatever happened, and any time they bring it up, 15 I always say 'just to study.' "MEHANNA: I don't think Ahmad sat down and said, 16 'Look, we went to this place to fight.' I think he just told 17 them, 'We went to this place.' And Ahmad specifically, 'I got 18 19 into this place.' 'Why were you there?' I don't think Ahmad 02:01 20 sat down and had to explain things to him." 21 What did the defendant say? "I don't think Ahmad sat 22 down and said we went to this place to fight," and quoting Abousamra, "I got into this place." Once again, Mehanna makes 23 24 it clear that we, he and Abousamra, went to Yemen for the same 25 purpose to fight, and Abousamra alone continued on to Iraq.

```
1
                   "ABUZAHRA: Did Ahmad tell him why or is he just
     2
         assuming?
     3
                              I don't think so. You don't really, like,
                   "MEHANNA:
         have to sit down and explain these things to people like Dan.
     5
         It's kind of like -- it's like I was in Afghanistan. It was
         kind of like, 'What were you doing there?'"
     7
                  A lot can be left unsaid, but people know the reason
     8
         they went.
                  On January 12, 2007, Mehanna expressed similar concern
         to Abuzahra about what Hassan Masood knew.
02:02 10
    11
                   "ABUZAHRA: What I'm worried about is Hassan. He was
    12
         in like he was --
    13
                             Oh, yeah, they asked me, 'Who drove you
                   "MEHANNA:
    14
         guys to the airport?' Well, let's assume they don't know he
    15
         took us. I told them I don't remember who took you, and he's,
         like, 'Really? You don't remember?'
    16
                   "ABUZAHRA: It's not the issue of that, but like
    17
         he -- he basically knew everything. And who else knows?
    18
    19
         sure you guys talked to Danny and the other Dan. Did you tell
02:02 20
         them anything or would you just kind of --
    21
                   "MEHANNA: I didn't tell them any details but we
    22
         just -- they're not idiots, you know."
    23
                  Abuzahra returned to the question of who knew the real
    24
         purpose of their trip to Yemen during the conversation on
    25
         February 25, 2007.
```

```
1
                   "ABUZAHRA: Do your parents know everything?
                   "MEHANNA: Everything in terms of like when we left?
     2
                   "ABUZAHRA: Yeah.
     3
                   "MEHANNA: Nobody doesn't know, man.
     4
     5
                   "ABUZAHRA: No, no, but, like, I'm saying, my parents,
     6
         my wife, my brothers.
                   "MEHANNA: Like I didn't sit down and give them an
     7
     8
         itinerary of, 'I did this and I did that,' but generally,
         overall they know I didn't go there to graze goats."
02:03 10
                  He didn't go there to graze goats, and he didn't go to
    11
         study Arabic or religion.
    12
                  Abuzahra then asked Mehanna what Abousamra had told
    13
         his parents.
    14
                   "ABUZAHRA: I'm saying, was it just an assumption or
         did he actually tell his dad?
    15
                   "MEHANNA: You don't tell your parents 'I'm going to
    16
         fight jihad'; you just tell them, 'I'm leaving,' and they know
    17
    18
         why you left.
    19
                   "ABUZAHRA: No, no, no, but he probably didn't even do
02:03 20
         that. But, I mean, when he came back did he ever?
    21
                   "MEHANNA: I don't know, man. I don't know.
    22
                   "ABUZAHRA: Yeah, no, because you can also -- you can
    23
         say there's an assumption, you know what I mean? Was it just
    24
         making this assumption?
    25
                   "MEHANNA: But there is -- there are assumptions that
```

are 50/50 and then there are assumptions that are like, like the sky is blue. Nobody told you it's blue, but you can see very well that it's blue."

02:04 10

02:05 20

More than beyond a reasonable doubt: "You don't tell your parents you're going to fight jihad."

Abuzahra expressed his concern. "The only people I'm concerned about are people that I know because it's like -- because if Ahmad told somebody something specifically, 'I went to Yemen to go to a training camp,' if Ahmad said that to somebody --

"MEHANNA: No, I don't think Ahmad said that to anyone. Like I said, the only -- the farthest it goes is just, like, okay, you know they know that you know we went to this place, and there they're just making an automatic deduction from this. I don't think it's that kind of situation where Ahmad had to sit down and explain, 'Look, we went there for this, get it through your mind.' I don't think it was like that.

Abuzahra stated a concern that Abousamra told someone specifically, "I went to Yemen to go to a training camp."

Mehanna responded that he did not believe Abousamra explained to anyone explicitly "we" went there for this. Abuzahra uses the word "I," giving Mehanna the opportunity to separate himself from Abousamra. But why lie to Abuzahra who knew the truth? Mehanna spoke in terms of "we." "We went for this";

that is, to Yemen for a training camp. There should be no doubt, reasonable or otherwise, the defendant and Abousamra went to Yemen for the same purpose, and that purpose was to get training with the agreed intention to continue to Iraq to fight and kill American servicemen.

That fact alone makes the defendant, Tarek Mehanna, guilty of four of seven counts of the indictment. And if you further find that he lied and agreed to lie about the purpose to the FBI and to law enforcement, that makes him guilty of six of the seven counts.

When the defendant returned from Yemen, he neither gave up his desire to fight jihad nor his desire to support al Qa'ida. He now did so in a different manner than on the front lines of battle. In every organization or corporation — take, for example, a car manufacturer — there's those who work on the assembly line making the product: there are those who work in the front office or human resources doing the hiring, or in advertising seeking new employees and advertising their product. Al Qa'ida is no different. They have those who fight on the front lines, those who run the organization, those who work in the media department, and those who make the media available to a wider audience.

That is the new role Mehanna took on, in the media department. It sounds so benign, "media department," but it isn't benign. As you heard, al Qa'ida Central has as Sahab,

02:06 10

The Cloud, as an official media department. Each of the al Qa'ida affiliates had a separate media department. Evan Kohlmann and Dr. Sageman both testified to this. There's nothing benign about efforts to recruit more fighters, more suicide bombers and more support.

02:07 10

02:07 20

The defendant was a recruiter, in a large sense. And he was also a recruiter one-on-one with individuals he tried to influence. He utilized the tools that al Qa'ida provided, including the videos such as "State of the Ummah." Remember the chats from Exhibit 296 between the defendant and Abu Dujana from July of 2004 that was read by Detective Dearsley from New Scotland Yard. The defendant identified "State of the Ummah" as his favorite video. "That's what started it all."

And Mehanna also worked to provide al Qa'ida more material for further recruiting. According to Maldonado, the jihadi videos they watched together were intended to inspire and incite. Ali Aboubakr similarly understood that the jihadi videos were intended to inspire them to reach the level of the mujahideen and to fight jihad.

Aboubakr testified that he looked up to Mehanna and quickly learned what Mehanna wanted to hear: The way to get Mehanna's approval was to state a desire to fight jihad.

Aboubakr admitted he was embarrassed by the beliefs he used to have, and when asked whether those beliefs were taught by Mehanna he stated, "There was certainly help along the way."

Aboubakr was interested in 9/11 and the mujahideen, but he read books such as the 9/11 Commission Report. See Exhibit 629 dated May 14, 2006.

02:09 20

02:08 10

And when Aboubakr told Mehanna he read *The Oath*, a book by a surgeon of Chechnya -- Exhibit 617, March 22, 2006 -- Mehanna sent Aboubakr links to "Diverse Operations in Iraq" and "Fatima's Fiancé," about a suicide bomber, as well as the GUH video, and a tribute to the 9/11 hijackers.

Mehanna was careful in how he would seek to indoctrinate people into the Salafi-Jihadi mindset. As Mehanna explained to Daniel Spaulding on July 4, 2006, "Obviously, I'm not going in with my 'I Love Shaykh Osama' shirt on. You got to get that concept into them slowly and wisely, lay down the basic... Then when the time is right, switch it over. But you go in there day one with the guns blazing, you won't accomplish anything."

From the witness stand Spaulding reluctantly explained that jihad was a controversial topic so you can't just start talking about jihad and the obligation: necessity to fight. Spaulding testified that the jihadi videos were part of the process of creating enthusiasm for jihad and the mujahideen. Again, both experts agreed that the intent of the videos was to recruit new members.

In addition, Mehanna used the al Qa'ida tools in combination with the progression of scholars with different

levels of radicalism. On February 1, 2006, Mehanna explained to Spaulding how he was working on Abu Dawoud who was "starting to see things the right way." This was before they became suspicious of Abu Dawoud. Mehanna also told Spaulding that he was "working on Hamza P and Insaf myself." Mehanna further told Spaulding that "I lent him 'State of the Ummah' CD. After he saw it, he told me things are clearer now."

02:10 20

02:10 10

Spaulding was a good student who followed the example of his teacher Mehanna. On January 31, 2006, Spaulding told Mehanna he had been working on him and Insaf. "Those Anwar al-Awlaki lectures go a long way." You remember the video of Awlaqi which the defense played during the testimony of Dr. Marsh.

This was part of their one-on-one recruitment of others as personnel for al Qa'ida and terrorists. But the defendant was also involved in recruitment more globally through the internet. Several witnesses testified about "Tibyan Publications." Spaulding testified that the defendant was valued and appreciated for his translation services in online forums such as Tibyan, and Mehanna was providing material support in the form of services and expertise.

Spaulding testified that Tibyan had an extremist jihadi slant, and Maldonado described TP as being dedicated to jihad. Similarly, several witnesses, including Pippin and Kohlmann, testified that it was a forum dedicated to jihad.

Pippin testified that most of the materials being translated were coming from two websites, and Evan Kohlmann identified those two al Qa'ida websites.

02:11 10

02:12 20

TP was also a place where supporters of jihad worked together in order to promote these subjects by producing translations of al Qa'ida propaganda, guide books, and other materials. Kohlmann testified that Tibyan came to the attention of al Qa'ida, and they thereafter coordinated with al Qa'ida. Dr. Sageman described it a little differently. He testified that people like Tsouli set up websites, and in 2004-2005, al Qa'ida piggybacked on these sites, precisely the time when Mehanna was involved as a translator and administrator of Tibyan.

Tibyan released materials such as the "Expedition of Umar Hadeed" that was translated by the defendant as a production of the media department of al Qa'ida in Iraq.

Again, even Dr. Sageman testified generally that the videos were "to spread the message of al Qa'ida."

During his opening, defense counsel giving you "the rest of the story" stated that Mehanna was kicked off Tibyan because he was too moderate. Well, let's discuss that for just a moment. First, all of the chats you've seen, all of the Tibyan posts and private messages, where's a single one that says he was booted for being too modest? Perhaps, you ask, it's the discussion that defense counsel read more than once

about you can't kill all Americans simply because they're taxpayers. Okay. Let's look at that one more carefully. First, "moderate" seems to be a relative, not an absolute, term.

02:12 10

02:13 20

On March 9, 2005, Exhibit 420, someone named "Terror Threat" quotes the defendant. "Similarly, any American or other Westerner who was in the peninsula doing any type of work that is not contributing to the war effort against

Muslims...then I do not agree with targeting them and killing them simply because they are Americans. In contrast, a man such as Paul Johnson was helping in the maintenance and repair of American Apache helicopters. This is, to anyone who has sight with which they can see or a brain with which they can think, a totally different story."

I won't read Terror Threat's posts, but the defendant's response to Terror Threat when he says, "The Americans live in democracy. This is a common argument that is used to justify things like this, and this is what I have a problem with, that simply because the person is an American, and America is at war with Muslims, then that means you can kill him. I used to believe this, but after long reflections and thought, I have come to the conclusion that this is an incorrect concept."

"I used to believe this." He admits that he used to believe that because America is at war with Islam, that means

you can kill every American. Perhaps the defendant was referring to what he believed in 2003, when he and Abousamra and Abuzahra were discussing the feasibility of domestic attacks.

The defendant goes on to make clear when it comes to U.S. servicemen in a Muslim country -- precisely what he is charged with conspiring to do in this indictment -- fighting them is correct. Those who fight us, not those who carry the same nationality as those who fight us. See also Exhibit 419 wherein the same discussion takes place.

Referring to fighting against those outsiders in the Arabian Peninsula, Mehanna states, "If we are speaking about the American military presence in the peninsula, or any other hostile forces or people, then I wholeheartedly agree."

Mehanna also specifically stated his support for "the case with the attack of '96 when U.S. marine military barracks were struck killing over a dozen Marines."

Mehanna supported the killing of not just the men and women in uniform, but also anyone -- even a civilian -- who aids the war effort, like Paul Johnson, a civilian contractor who was kidnapped and beheaded by al Qa'ida in the Arabian Peninsula. This is moderation?

We're reminded of Exhibit 685, a chat between the defendant and Ihab on June 28, 2006, wherein they were discussing a scholar and Mehanna's previously expressed

02:13 10

02:14 20

statements concerning the statements of the scholar. Mehanna explains, "At that time I remember saying the killing of the innocent is unlawful, but I never said who is considered innocent," and then he inserts a smiley face. Killing of servicemen was supported by Mehanna; killing of civilians who helped the war effort is also proper and was supported by Mehanna.

02:15 20

02:15 10

And Paul Johnson was a contractor in Saudi Arabia, a country that was never invaded by the United States, but where U.S. servicemen were placed to protect the kingdom against Saddam Hussein, who had just taken over Kuwait.

The charges in the indictment concern the defendant's agreement to kill U.S. servicemen overseas, and his agreement and attempt to provide material support to further such crimes, and his agreement to provide material support to al Qa'ida. It does not require proof and agreement or desire or attempt to kill everyone who's in America regardless of where they reside or what they are doing.

After the many 2004 posts about the subject where

Mehanna was so -- allegedly called a moderate, Mehanna was not

kicked off Tibyan; in fact, his role as translator for Tibyan

had yet to begin. The discussion in Exhibit 420 was in March

of 2005. The next month, Aboo Khubayb al-Muwahid invited

Mehanna to join Tibyan as a translator, Exhibit 414, the same

Aboo Khubayb, whose true name was Ehsanul Sudequee from

Atlanta, who was chatting with Younis Tsouli, a/k/a Irhaby007, when New Scotland Yard kicked in Tsouli's door in October 2005, just about seven months later.

Tsouli, who in October 2005 was building a You-Bomb-It website to post varying versions al Qa'ida media in different formats. Tsouli was building this site on the same server space that hosted the mirror, the Tibyan website, Irhaby007.CA. Mehanna accepted Sadequee's invitation, and the same day, April 4, 2005, Aboo Khubaby al Muwahhid introduced Mehanna to the TP community as a translator. And then the floodgates opened.

Exhibit 253, an email three days later from Mehanna to Khubayb, with "The ruling regarding killing oneself to protect information" written by two men, including Ayman Zawahiri, then number two in al Qa'ida, evidence of his desire and intent and agreement to support al Qa'ida. And this was one of the projects Sadequee listed in the message asking Mehanna to join the translation effort.

Exhibit 248, an email from Mehanna to Sadequee on April 21, with the attached "Such are the messengers tested" by Abu Musab al-Zarqawi, the shaykh of the slaughterers, the leader of al Qa'ida in Iraq. On May 8, 2006, during a chat with Ahmad as-Sarayri, Mehanna referred to Zarqawi and stated, "The slaughterer is the shaykh of us all."

And you will also recall the real sadness Mehanna and his conspirators, such as Abousamra, felt and expressed in June

02:16 10

02:17 20

of 2006 when Zarqawi was killed, although the defendant's friend Edgar took comfort in the fact that Zarqawi had been martyred and had earned the 72 virgins in paradise.

02:18 20

02:18 10

During the chat with Edgar on June 8, 2006, Mehanna admitted, "What makes me sick is me." Edgar responded, "Your life isn't over, Akhee. Hope for the best and prepare, prepare, prepare," to which Mehanna responded, as he often did, "Take it easy online," inserting a wink, smiley face.

On April 22, 2005, Mehanna sent another email to Sadequee with two photos of Zarqawi to be included in the video. These photos were, in fact, later included in the video that was released by Tibyan on behalf of al Qa'ida. And there were more emails in May, and into June, containing other projects that later became official releases by Tibyan.

Also in June 2005, Mehanna started posting excerpts of "39 Ways to Serve and Participate in Jihad," a document authored by an al Qa'ida leader. In August 2005, Tsouli and Waseem Mughal chatted about the release of an AQ video and audio, and they also discussed the request from al Qa'ida in Iraq to contact Tibyan, and "ask the guys to work on translating Tharwat al-Sanaan," al Qa'ida's official online book.

In October 2005 Mehanna received a private message from another administrator, Abu Mahmoud al-Muraabit, who is also known as Sbualy and Abu Mu'ndhir. The message contained

the as-yet unreleased original Arabic version of the "Expedition of Umar Hadeed," also known as the GUH video, which the defendant and Abu Mu'ndhir later edited and subtitled and released as a production of the media department of al Qa'ida in Iraq.

02:19 10

02:20 20

A week later, on October 10, 2005, Muraabit Mu'ndhir sent another message to Mehanna and told him, "The Cloud People asked if you could translate the message to Curryland from al-Doctoor. As you know, "The Cloud" is as-Sahab, the official media of al Qa'ida; "Curryland" is a code for "Pakistan," and "al-Doctoor" is Dr. Ayman al-Zawahiri; again, direct evidence of Mehanna's agreement to provide support to al Qa'ida.

In April 2005, with the encouragement and assistance of Abu Mu'ndhir and Ibn Umar of Tibyan, Mehanna completed the translation of "39 Ways." It was released as an at-Tibyan Publication. Why did the defendant do all this translation and editing and distribution? Evan Kohlmann explained the importance of the media effort to al Qa'ida organizations. Both Kohlmann and Mr. Johnsen, the defense expert on Yemen, stated the importance of propaganda to the efforts to obtain new recruits.

Mr. Johnsen admitted that in talking about al Qa'ida in Yemen, he had written, "The more successful and vocal the group is, the more recruits want to join." Kohlmann also testified about the wider audience.

It is obvious that these terrorist organizations believe that media is important. In truth, did you need an expert to tell that, that organizations advertise? And obviously, al Qa'ida believes media is important because al Qa'ida and each of its affiliates, as I've already said, each have a media wing.

But the defendant also talked about why he worked on editing and translating and distributing. It was to provide

But the defendant also talked about why he worked on editing and translating and distributing. It was to provide material support in the form of himself as personnel as part of the media wing, to provide service and expert assistance, and to try and provide others as personnel.

Exhibit 523, during a chat with Abu Mu'ndhir on April 9, 2006, just after finishing "39 Ways," the defendant, referring to "39 Ways," said, "I hope the book makes an impact."

Exhibit 536, "Mehanna: I am kind of worried that many brothers read this stuff about over there that we do, and that subconsciously think they've done their part, like read the "Ruling of Martyrdom Operations," and then go eat pizza."

Exhibit 50, a chat between the defendant and Abu Mu'ndhir.

"ABU MU'NDHIR: We're pretty popular so we have to continue this.

"MEHANNA: Yup, maybe one day there will be an at-Tibyan brigade.

02:20 10

02:21 20

"ABU MU'NDHIR: That's what me and Khubayb were talking about. We just need an arm wing now."

02:22 20

02:21 10

If you recall, "Khubayb" is Sudequee. Abu Mu'ndhir and Sadequee and the defendant used military terms like "brigade" and "armed wing" because their motive is to help al Qa'ida obtain fighters.

And concerning the work he did on the GUH video on January 31, 2006, during a chat with Daniel Spaulding, Spaulding told the defendant that another website was making money on his project, the TP release, by selling copies of the GUH video. Mehanna stated, "Who cares, man, as long as the message gets out." And what was the message of GUH? Martyrdom operations against U.S. forces in Iraq and the call by the martyrs to other young Muslims to come and join the fight in Iraq.

I want to spend just a short amount of time discussing lies from the JTTF, or Joint Terrorism Task Force, on December 12, 2006, both the lies that relate to the trip to Yemen as set forth in Count 7, and in particular, those that relate to Maldonado, the basis of Count 6.

The evidence of the lies about Maldonado could not be more straightforward. On December 12, 2006, Maldonado called Mehanna. During the call, Mehanna acknowledged that he knew where Maldonado was because he looked up the country code.

Mehanna's toll records, Exhibit 742, show you the calls were

from Somalia, country code 252.

02:23 10

02:23 20

Of all the people on the planet who Maldonado could have called, the one person he chose was Mehanna. That should tell you a lot. There's no question that Maldonado, who admitted he was the defendant's best friend, knew the defendant was someone who was committed to fighting jihad. Maldonado testified that he was disappointed that Mehanna didn't come. But did the defendant not go to Somalia because he didn't want to participate in jihad or the opportunity just simply wasn't there?

During the call with Maldonado, Exhibit 301 and 303, Mehanna certainly asked a lot of questions about the details of how to get to Somalia; the name of the airline, even asking Maldonado how to spell it; cost of a flight; whether he needed a visa; where Maldonado was; what he was doing, which demonstrated a real interest. But when did he have a chance to come? Within a week the Ethiopians invaded and the door closed.

The day after the call from Maldonado on December 13, 2006, during a recorded conversation with Kareem Abuzahra and Ahmad Abousamra, the defendant told his friends that Dan moved to Somalia and had called Mehanna. Mehanna also told his friends that "I looked up the country code on the internet and I saw Somalia." Three days later Mehanna was interviewed by members of the Joint Terrorism Task Force. He was asked about

the trip to Yemen, and he lied. He lied about why he went to Yemen. He lied about why Abousamra went to Yemen. He lied about why Abousamra went to Iraq. He lied about whether he knew anyone in California, and he lied about whether anyone helped them in advance of their trip.

Some of these specific lies are alleged in Count 7, and some are more generally relevant to the conspiracy alleged in Count 5. And as stated before, some are relevant to proving his concealment of the support that Abousamra tried to provide, as well as his assistance to the other conspiracies.

Mehanna was also interviewed about Maldonado. There's no question he knew Maldonado was not in Egypt, yet he lied and said that's where he was. He lied when he said he had spoken with Maldonado a couple of weeks earlier from Egypt. Maldonado was in Somalia and they had spoken three days earlier. You heard the phone call. He lied when he said Maldonado was working on a website. He knew Maldonado was in Somalia receiving training and involved in fighting. Some of these lies are proved by the calls from Maldonado, and some are proved by statements Mehanna made to witnesses, as well as statements on a recorded conversation with Abuzahra.

On February 25, 2007, Mehanna explained to Abuzahra that Maldonado had used cryptic language during the call. "He just said in a way, he was like, 'I'm making peanut butter and jelly sandwiches.' I even told him, I was like, 'Dan, don't

02:24 10

02:25 20

say stuff like that on the phone because it's a definite that, you know, they're watching me and you.' I told him, 'Don't say stuff like that.' I told him, 'Just turn things around.' I told him, 'All I want to do is get married. If you can get me married there, find me a Somali wife and a place to live and eat, that's all I want.'"

So don't be fooled by Mehanna's changing the subject to wife and marriage. Mehanna admitted to Abuzahra that he raised that subject "just to turn things around."

That's the same tactic he used during a chat with Aboubakr on March 22, 2006. If you recall when Aboubakr said, "Let's go donate blood over the summer," as Aboubakr testified meaning, "Let's go fight," they talked about the difficulty of keeping that kind of information from their parents. Mehanna admitted, "I tried, and they found out about it. So it's not easy to keep them out of the picture." Mehanna then asked Aboubakr, "But seriously, if I try to go again, you would come? Aboubakr said, "Yeah, dude. I'm serious." And then Mehanna talked about going to Yemen to live and to find an apartment and a wife, masking the true purpose of their chats just as he admitted he had done in his call to Maldonado.

Back to the conversation February 25th when Abuzahra asked Mehanna, "Well, my question -- if they tell Dan what this PB&J means, what's Dan going to say?"

"MEHANNA: I don't know. Like there wasn't any

02:25 10

02:26 20

specific thing, just meant in general like I'm here fighting."

02:27 10

02:27 20

Mehanna knew where Maldonado was and he understood what he was doing. He understood in general he was fighting. He also knew he had a big problem.

"My other problem is this: that when the FBI asked me where Dan was, I told them he was still in Egypt, and he called me the day before from Somalia, so that's very bad. I don't know how the heck I'm going to explain that one, what to do with the phone call, man. That's a big problem."

He also knew that what he had done, which was lie to the FBI, was a crime. He's right. It's charged in Count 6.

Mehanna told Abuzahra, "He never specifically said on the phone he's -- "I never said on the phone that you're in Somalia."

"I don't ever remember if he said the word 'Somalia' on the phone or not, but that's a problem, because, like, lying to them in and of itself is a crime."

Before I leave this discussion of the lies, I want to make one final point. All those questions defense counsel asked Special Agent Davis who testified about the December 2006 interview about what did you know already about the trip to Yemen and where Maldonado was and what he was doing, as the judge explained to you, those questions are irrelevant because materiality means, objectively speaking, could they have influenced the investigation, not that they, in fact, disrupted the investigation.

2 3

02:28 10

02:28 20

Mehanna lied because he had important information he did not want the FBI to have. And again, ladies and gentlemen, when considering why he lied about the trip to Yemen, he didn't do it just because he was a member of a conspiracy to lie. He also was intent on concealing Abousamra's attempt to provide material support and to aid other crimes in which Abousamra was involved.

You heard a lot about various concept of Islamic religion such as Aman, as well as the obligation to defend Muslim lands from invaders. None of that is relevant to your decision of whether or not the defendant committed a criminal act under U.S. law. This case is not about the Islamic nation in the year 1500; this case is about the actions and agreement and intent of the defendant, Tarek Mehanna, in the period after approximately 2001.

The question before you is whether the defendant knowingly violated one or more U.S. criminal statutes. The question is not whether the defendant was a member of al Qa'ida, but whether he agreed to provide material support to it. The question is not whether he agreed with everything al Qa'ida did or its leader said, but whether he agreed to provide material support to it. That's Count 1.

At a certain level al Qa'ida is not relevant to any of the other counts except insofar as al Qa'ida was part of the terrorists to whom the defendant agreed to provide support as alleged in Count 2, or attempted to provide support in Count 3.

The defendant himself provided a response to all this effort by the defense to show how Tarek Mehanna disagreed with al Qa'ida on certain issues. First, Mehanna read the message of Osama bin Laden as a message targeting military.

If you recall Exhibit 423, March 11, 2005, when he posted his chat with Abu Dujanah. In addition, in the same chat, he again admits, "I had that opinion for years." In any event, even if he did disagree with some of the actions or views of al Qa'ida, does this mean that a person will not agree to provide support to al Qa'ida simply because he does not agree with all matters?

What did the defendant himself say about this? On April 11, 2006, "In any case, Akhee, just because one may support and respect Osama bin Laden, does not mean by default he has to support and agree with every single attack or operation that happens in the world."

He provided a similar explanation to Taimur on February 27, 2006. Referring to Osama bin Laden, the defendant stated, "I don't agree with everything he does. Every human makes mistakes, so you don't agree with everything anyone does."

THE COURT: Mr. Auerhahn, be conscious of the time, please.

MR. AUERHAHN: Okay. Now, as I stated earlier,

02:30 20

02:29 10

1 defense counsel painted a picture for you of a man that his parents wanted him to become but not the man he had become. 2 3 Who is Tarek Mehanna? The defense suggested that Mehanna was all about religion and prayer and scholars and learning. No 5 one has denied he was a scholar, and at a certain level very interested in his traditions; however, in those hundreds of 7 pages of chats so much of what interested and motivated him was jihad and mujahideen and the damage they inflicted on U.S. soldiers, particularly Iraq, the same place he and Abousamra wanted to go to join the fight. 02:31 10

As Mehanna told Insaf on May 12, 2006, "These infidels, many of them are at war with a Muslim country. It's on my mind 24-7." He admired and sent links to some of the most radical jihadi scholars, such as Anwar al-Awlaki. For example, he sent the link to Rashad concerning Awlaki's interpretation of "Constants on the Path to Jihad." He sent the same link to Umar Kalil.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

02:31 20

Mehanna most admired those who combined the best characteristics as a mujahid and a scholar. Mehanna viewed the leaders of al Qa'ida as modern-day companions of the profits. He described Osama bin Laden and Ayman Zawahiri and Abu Musab Zarqawi in this manner in a chat on June 13, 2006.

In April someone named Mu'awiyah told Mehanna, "Akhee, may our end be in martyrdom. This world is accursed." Mehanna did not disagree but warned his friend, "Remember, I am being

watched."

02:33 20

02:32 10

These chats demonstrate, as previously discussed,

Mehanna was not a martyr. He had no interest in peaceful

demonstrations. As he stated in a TP post, "I don't agree with

demonstrations of this type." As he told Taimur, "RPGs,"

rocket-propelled grenades, "speak louder than words."

The defendant was concerned about possible arrests but did not give us his desire to go to the front lines. On April 2, 2006, Rashad told him about someone he met who was a veteran of jihad. Mehanna was suspicious of the man and warned Ahmad Rashad to be careful, but could not resist asking, "If it's possible to meet him, I would like to speak to him. He might help."

Abousamra also had not given up his desire to go to the front lines, and even suggested that they try Pakistan again. On April 1, 2006, he told Mehanna, "I was thinking about calling Abu M from P-Town." And this was obviously a reference to the man Abousamra met in Pakistan named "Abu Majid," the man who Abousamra hoped would get him into a training camp and, ultimately, into Afghanistan to fight.

Abousamra explained that "I just need to get his number." Apparently, Abousamra misplaced Abu Majid's number and told Mehanna, "Maybe I can get it from Verizon."

Unfortunately for Abousamra, he, unlike the federal government, didn't have subpoena power. You have received the toll records

from Verizon, and they show the calls to Pakistan as well as the records to Pakistan Airlines, which also lists Abu Majid's name and number. Unfortunately for Abousamra, he reported back he couldn't get the tolls [sic].

02:34 20

02:33 10

On April 24, 2006, Abousamra told Mehanna, "I'm thinking if the HNN thing for learning works, we might as well study, even if it's not guaranteed." Mehanna did not understand Abousamra's cryptic statement about HNN. Abousamra clarified, "At least we can find some over there, HSN's uncle." You will recall that Hassan Masood's uncle was the founder of Lashkar e-Tayyiba, or LET.

Now Mehanna understood and said, "Oh, I'd rather not.

Unless it is something relatively certain, it is not worth it."

He still wanted to go, but not unless they had some assurances that their efforts would be successful.

I want to spend just the last few minutes reading some of the exchange of emails between Duaa, the potential bride, and Mehanna, Exhibit 778 and 779 -- I'm sorry, 778 and 777.

Duaa's question to her potential husband: "As for my husband, frankly, I'm not trying to grow old with him or live with him for 20, 30 years; I don't seek to become one of those old couples who end up looking alike after being together so long. To be honest, in my little dream world, ideally after we have our fourth child, I want him to go off and I don't want to see him ever again." Wow.

1 2

O

O

02:35 10

02:35 20

"I refuse to marry a man who loves his family more than he loves the obligations upon him and more than he loves the Ummah. I have been proposed to by several brothers on the method who have been disappointing in that, despite their professed love for jihad, they give more priority to, say, raising children that grow up to be scholars. There are also many brothers who aren't doing anything at all in terms of physical or logistical preparation, and they make supplication to Allah to make them of the noble mujahideen and the martyrs without striving to even facilitate that for themselves to whatever degree possible at the moment. Both are unacceptable to me."

Here's how the defendant responds: "I'm counting the days until I can step on a plane out of this country for good. Your conditions are exactly the conditions that I put forth for any woman I would want in my life, and they were engraved in my heart years before I even heard of you. In fact, just so you are aware how serious I am in this regard to the third condition that you mentioned, without getting into much detail, know that a short while back I went for an interview and was rejected by that company. I was sent back because I had no references to vouch for me, as they don't just hire anyone off the street. So in summary, I hope you're assured as to whether my future plans are in tune with yours."

He cryptically referenced his efforts to join the

"company," which is a reference to al Qa'ida, and assured her his desires to fight jihad and die a martyr were genuine. In an email to Duaa dated September 20, 2006, referring to Yemen, he told her, "Do not tell your mother I was there as it had to do with that job interview. If you already told her, then please let me know ASAP so I can tell you a reason just in case."

02:36 20

02:36 10

Note first he doesn't want Duaa to tell her mother about Yemen. If he went to study, why not? If he went to Yemen to study, would he not urge Duaa to tell her mother that he had studied classical Arabic at one of the finest and well-renowned schools in Yemen to impress her with his credentials as an Islamic scholar?

Mehanna explains why he doesn't want his potential future mother-in-law to know, because it had to do with that "job interview," as he said in the earlier response to her questions, "with that company," which, of course, is a reference to his having tried to fight with al Qa'ida. He had told his potential bride, but didn't want her mother to know. And he also said, "So I can tell you a reason." Not the reason, but "tell a lie like I told everyone else."

Tarek Mehanna never abandoned his desire to fight

Americans in Iraq or Afghanistan, and he never regretted his

efforts to go to Iraq with Abousamra in 2004. As he told

Abuzahra during the recorded conversation on January 12, 2007,

when he spoke about their trip to Yemen to find training camps, "I don't know, man. I don't regret doing this at all, but one thing I definitely learned was don't be like -- like a little kid with a new toy just, like, trusting what anyone tells you and that's it. Just go and just leave everything behind. You know, Abu Muthanna had a few names, and that was cool."

02:38 20

02:37 10

When Abuzahra expressed his view that what he did was wrong, Mehanna disagreed.

"ABUZAHRA: Yeah, well, we're stuck here, but it's like the thing is okay. Like, if you think of it, what we did was completely kind of like..."

Mehanna corrects him. "The way we did it was wrong; not what we did." Mehanna had no regrets. Finally, he had done what he had been urging others to do for years: He got up from the seat in front of his computer and he went off to fight jihad against the Americans. But that's what I'm saying. The way we did it was very hasty and very immature but not the idea of it. "I can't disagree with something like that and I didn't regret it for a second. I mean, how could you? Honestly?

Man, like honestly."

"ABUZAHRA: Do you regret the guy couldn't get us any good names?

"MEHANNA: But still, those were the best two weeks of my life. Like I just for once, I'm not, like, sitting on my butt being a hypocrite telling people to do something I'm not

1 doing. From that point of view it couldn't get any better than 2 that." In discussing the evidence in this case, I have tried to weave together the testimony of the witnesses with the 4 5 stored chats and emails and recorded statements of the defendant. But I suggest to you, ladies and gentlemen, that if 7 you strip away the witness's testimony and focus on the words of the defendant, you will find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant went to Yemen for training and jihad, intending 02:38 10 and agreeing to fight and kill U.S. servicemen. He agreed and 11 attempted to provide support to terrorists and to al Qa'ida, 12 and he agreed to and did lie to law enforcement, and he is 13 quilty as charged. Thank you. 14 And I apologize, Judge, for going too long. THE COURT: We'll take a brief recess, about 15 15 minutes, and then we'll resume. And, please, no discussion of 16 the matter during recess. 17 18 THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and jury. 19 Court will take a brief recess. 02:39 20 (The Court and jury exit the courtroom and there is a 21 recess in the proceedings at 11:25 a.m.) 22 (Court and jury in at 11:46 a.m.) 23 THE COURT: Are you using these tripods? 24 MS. BASSIL: I am, your Honor. And I will also need

25

the ELMO and screens turned on.

THE COURT: Let me just tell the jurors that I think the defense counsel are dividing the argument. Miss Bassil will be first, followed by Mr. Carney.

MS. BASSIL: That's correct.

03:02 10

03:03 20

Good morning. Well, we're finally here. It's taken a long time. I really want to thank each and every one of you. I know this has been hard: the early morning drives, some of you came a pretty far distance, and then going to your jobs afterwards. And you've had pretty good humor about it even though the trial has been a lot longer than we thought it would be.

As the judge indicated, Jay and I are going to split up this argument. I'm going to talk a shorter period of time. And we don't get the last word. The prosecutors do. So if we forget something, please, it's not because it's not important. We just didn't have time.

I want to talk about our case, what you heard most recently, and the experts that we called. Why did we call experts to the stand to testify? We have no burden in this case. We don't have to prove anything to you. The burden is on the government to prove that Tarek Mehanna is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

He is innocent. The judge will talk to you about the presumption of innocence. When I was in law school, I had a professor that used to talk about it and describe it as a

cloak, a cloak of innocence. And I always liked that image. I always thought of it like a cloak, tied at the neck, buttons down the front. And the prosecutors can remove that only with evidence, solid evidence, that proves beyond a reasonable doubt.

We have pictures of people.

THE COURT: You want the ELMO? You'll have to give me the stage directions.

MS. BASSIL: Those are our people. Tarek Mehanna is in the middle, and he's surrounded by the witnesses we called.

So why do we call experts? Because we needed to. You didn't have a context in this case. You didn't have a place to put this information. Let me give you an example. If you saw your boss, or an older man, with a beautiful young girl, you might think a few things. But if you knew it was his granddaughter, you wouldn't. You wouldn't jump to a conclusion.

And that's a lot of what the prosecutors did here.

They cherry-picked pieces of evidence. They threw up a piece of a chat here, a photograph there, instant messages. They threw up one piece of a Tibyan post but not another. They never gave you the whole story of who Tarek Mehanna was and what he was about. And that distorted the facts in this case. They can't fool you. You've spent too much time here. I certainly hope they can't scare you.

_

03:04 10

03:04 20

1 We called experts because we wanted you to understand.
2 And we had said this in the beginning: Don't worry about these
3 names; don't worry about these concepts. You'll learn them.

03:05 10

03:06 20

And I'll bet you have. We wanted you to hear words and understand what words like "Jihad" and "martyrdom" meant and, yes, "Osama bin Laden" and even "al Qa'ida," because these are words. We didn't want you to be scared by them even though that's what the prosecution wanted. I was scared by those words until I learned what all of them meant.

Context is everything, everything, in this case.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is not fear. It's not guesswork. It's thoughtful consideration of solid evidence, of facts, of proof.

Let's look at these witnesses. Let's start with something very simple: the computer, the computer. What did the government give you? Well, what was actually on his computer was tiny pictures, tiny pictures, thumbnail pictures. That's the true size of the pictures they blew up. Why did they show picture after picture of the World Trade Center and of Osama bin Laden? To square you? To make you think that this is what Tarek Mehanna was obsessed with? To let you think that it's okay to convict him? Heck, he had bad pictures on his computer.

Look at what Mark Spencer said, our computer expert. He said they are thumbnails. They weren't even downloaded.

They were in the space in his computer that is inactive. When he went on a website, whether it was CNN or NBC or the New York Times or the Boston Globe, if it was on that page, it was downloaded.

03:07 10

03:08 20

Do any of you read things on a computer? Do you know -- did you know until this trial -- that things you didn't put in your computer go in there? Take a look at the instant messages if you want. There are hundreds of links to CNN and the BBC and the New York Times and other mainstream media. And where there were pictures on the page he opened, it went in his computer. That's their size. That's their true significance.

And look at what else Mark Spencer said: The magazine by al Qa'ida that Evan Kohlmann made so much about? It was sent to Tarek, but he never even opened it. He never unzipped the file. And they knew it. They knew it and they wanted to fool you.

Let's look at the other experts, what the government wants you to think and what the truth was that they gave you. They say Tarek went to Yemen to attend military training camp with the intention of going to Iraq to kill U.S. soldiers.

Gregory Johnsen said there were no training camps in Yemen from November of 2001 until February 2006 when al Qa'ida resurged with a prison break. At the time that Tarek was there, the back of al Qa'ida had been broken. The leader had been killed.

Doctor Sageman confirmed there were no sightings. He read al

Qa'ida obituaries. There were no sightings that people had training in Yemen during that time period. Training was in Pakistan or people went directly to Iraq.

03:09 10

03:10 20

Look at Gregory Johnsen. He's a Fulbright Scholar. He speaks Arabic; he speaks Persian; he speaks French. He spent years in Yemen. He did fieldwork. He knows the people. He knows the land. He knew what was going on. He's taught at the CIA Academy, the National Counterterrorism Center, the Department of Defense. He briefed the U.S. ambassador to Yemen. His opinion is trusted and respected by people who needed that information. And he's never even testified in court before. He's not a witness for hire.

They say Tarek's claim that he went to Yemen to look at schools was a cover story because his Arabic was so good he didn't need any help. Well, you heard Doctor Fadel. It's a life-long study if you want to be a religious man. By the way, his Arabic and his translations weren't that great.

They threw up instant messages that Tarek talked about Yemen and said there were people there with guns and camouflage jackets, wanting you to jump to the conclusion that it was a military camp. Gregory Johnsen told you how Yemen is a country where people are armed, tribal leaders. Some of them have tanks parked in their front yard. Is that amazing? It's just an amazing thing. And if it were a military camp, why would Tarek even mention it, that people carry guns and wore

camouflage jackets? It would be expected. It wouldn't be worth mentioning.

03:11 10

03:11 20

Remember the picture of Shaykh Muqbil's library that

Tarek sent to people in an email. What did he say in the

email? "Notice the simple and humble environment. Make Allah

make knowledge beloved to us and make easy for us the path to

attaining it and acting by it." And Gregory Johnsen told you

about Muqbil. And his student was Shaykh Hassan, the school

Tarek went to. And what did Gregory Johnsen tell you about

him? He was Salafi. He was apolitical. He rejected Osama bin

Laden's money, and he rejected Osama bin Laden. He said, "Of

all the people in the world, Osama bin Laden is the one I can

never forgive."

Jason Pippin gave them the name of a man who he thought might have been a mujahideen. He mentioned the name of the group the man belonged to. And Doctor Sageman told you that was the pacifist group. That was the group that had rejected al Qa'ida.

They say Tarek translated the Expedition of Umar Hadeed, and it was of critical and useful support to al Qa'ida. Doctor Connolly showed you that whoever did that final version on those subtitles was from the United Kingdom, the words like "queue," the words spelled in the British way. It was not someone born and raised in the United States, in the suburbs.

And Doctor Connolly was a professor, an author. He

was peer-reviewed. He is respected. He was not a witness for hire. And they attacked him. It was a small point. But what were they afraid of? That you would understand the context of these things? And the most important context of all is what Tarek Mehanna wrote, translated, and posted.

Now, the government gave you 40 witnesses and 29 days of testimony, almost six weeks. And they never sat down, they never brought anybody in who told you this is what al Qa'ida believes in. This is who they are. Why not? Is it because they didn't want you to think about it? Was it enough for them that Tarek -- because he expressed admiration for bin Laden, that he had pictures of him, that he smiled at the World Trade Center, that that's all you needed to know? Is that evidence? Is that proof beyond a reasonable doubt that he provided material support to terrorism? Or is it simply someone expressing their political opinion in a free country?

Let's look at the context provided by Doctor March and Doctor Fadel. Doctor March, master's and doctorate from Oxford, spoke a million languages including Arabic and Russian. And he had written a book about the rights and obligations of Muslims living in a non-Muslim land. He had never testified in court before. And when the government said, Why are you here? He said I consider it my patriotic duty. If I can help give information, give context, this is what I want to do.

And he talked about one of the most important points

03:12 10

03:13 20

in this case, something Mr. Auerhahn never mentioned: Aman, a protection for both Muslims and non-Muslims. And Tarek believed in this openly and passionately. He wrote about it before and after the dates of those instant messages. Daniel Spaulding said, He convinced me of it when I was -- when I had more extreme views. Abuzahra, Maldonado, Masood, they all said Tarek believed in this.

03:15 20

03:14 10

It's a pact of security. It's a treaty between a Muslim and the country that he lives in. It cannot be broken, not even by war. If you are a citizen of the United States, a Muslim, you cannot break that treaty even. If Muslims are attacked by the United States, you cannot kill American soldiers, you can't, not as long as you receive the protection and security of the United States. And there was never a hint that Tarek was going to renounce his citizenship or say I don't belong to the United States. Never a hint. His ticket to Yemen was round trip.

Plain and simple: Aman is the rule that would prevent Tarek Mehanna from attacking fellow Americans within the United States or outside of it. He simply could not go to Iraq to kill American soldiers. Oh, he agreed that when Muslims were invaded -- Chechnya, Afghanistan, even Iraq -- they could fight against people who invaded them. But he could not, and he told the people on Tibyan this. And they were very unhappy with it. And he became unpopular and disliked so much that he was thrown

off.

03:17 20

03:16 10

Let's talk for a minute about Doctor Fadel. He's a scholar. He has a Ph.D. He's a law professor at the University of Toronto. He's fluent in Arabic, Classic and Medieval. He knows the history of Islam. And he told you that Osama bin Laden and al Qa'ida had taken the rules, the law, the theology, and distorted and corrupted it, a law that had existed for 1,400 years.

Now, bin Laden, he was in some ways a mythic figure. He was a man -- you heard Doctor Sageman, some reporters wrote up this tiny battle he was in, and all of a sudden, he was the hero of the ages, that he -- he was a hero figure when Arabs had lost everything for 200 years. So, yes, Tarek Mehanna expressed admiration for him, but he didn't mean that he agreed with him. He said, Just because you can respect Osama bin Laden doesn't mean you have to agree with him.

I want to show you a chart. Miss Patel is going to put it up also on the screen so that you can look at it. I know the print is a little small, so that's why it's also on your screen. But let's just look at this quickly. Does Tarek Mehanna support the views of al Qa'ida? What were those views? Americans and allies should be killed everywhere and anywhere. Whether they're civilian or military, it doesn't matter. Did Tarek agree with that? No.

Paying taxes and living in a democracy makes you a

combatant in war. That's why al Qa'ida can kill you anywhere.

Did he agree with that? No. Non-Muslims can be killed if they
are in Muslim lands. They're fair targets. Tarek didn't agree
with that. He wrote about all of this.

03:18 20

03:18 10

You do not have to honor any agreement with non-Muslims because they are at war against Muslims. He said, Absolutely not. You follow through on your contracts. You follow through on your commitments.

An important point: Suicide bombings can be used at any time. Collateral damage, the killing of civilians, is acceptable. Tarek said no.

Anyone who does not agree with us is an apostate and he is excommunicated. We don't have to pay attention to them. Tarek said, I can't stand this. I can't stand this business of takfir and making this one an apostate and that one. It's for the clerics to do this, not ordinary people, not even Osama bin Laden. So, no, he didn't agree with that.

And they said, Everyone is obligated to fight in a military action. And you know that Tarek did not agree with that.

What were Tarek's views? What did he write about? Muslims can only fight in self-defense and only against those who fight them.

This business of taxes and democracy is a misunderstanding of America. I pay taxes. You want to kill

me, too?

03:20 20

03:19 10

Non-Muslims are protected by a promise of security. Pacts and agreements are to be honored no matter what.

Suicide actions are only on the battlefield and only as a last resort and civilians may not be killed. You heard Doctor Sageman, there were no suicide bombings in the Soviet-Afghanistan war.

You cannot declare someone an apostate because they disagree with you. And there are many other ways to help fellow Muslims without fighting.

Here's what it boils down to: The only idea that Tarek Mehanna had in common with al Qa'ida is that Muslims had the right and the obligation to defend themselves when they were attacked in their own lands. And we believe that. When the British came to reassert their hold over America -- let's face it, we were a colony -- we fought back. We rebelled. We defended our land.

Remember what Doctor Fadel said: Martyrdom is nothing knew. Nathan Hale, whose statue is outside of the CIA headquarters, said, "I regret I have but one life to give for my country."

How do we know this is what Tarek Mehanna believed?

Because he wrote it on Tibyan. This was password protected.

No government agent got on there. How do we know he believed it? Because he was kicked off for it. Did the government tell

you that he was removed from Tibyan? No, we did. Why didn't they tell you? Is this a game? This is not a game. It's someone's life. That's context. That's real meaning.

03:21 10

03:22 20

These instant messages that they barraged you with?

You heard Doctor Fadel: trash talk. How many of you have

posted things on Facebook, sent a text, sent an email, that

maybe you hope no one else is ever going to see? And don't

forget, we only have instant messages for a short period of

2006. We don't know what Tarek said in 2007, in 2008, 2009.

We do know that he matured. We do know that study and

scholarship became more important to him, more important than

talking trash, Jihadi talk and watching Jihadi videos, studying

Qur'an and Hadiths, buying and collecting books. This is what

became important.

Now, let's talk about the expert the government called and a bit about credibility. Evan Kohlmann. Did you find him credible? He's a great collector of videos, and as Doctor Sageman said, a good storyteller. He goes on chat forums. He watches videos. And he testifies over and over again but only for the prosecution and only for the fee that he demands. He doesn't have a Ph.D. He doesn't publish academically. He doesn't do fieldwork. He doesn't speak the language. He's never been to Pakistan or Afghanistan or any of those places.

Remember what Gregory Johnsen said, It is my ability to talk to people in their native language, my experience on

the ground that allows me to form opinions that people can rely on.

03:23 10

03:23 20

Evan Kohlmann was long on sweeping generalizations and short on facts. He said, Translating and editing and distributing videos is critical to al Qa'ida. Based on what? On what study? On what information? The website he uses, the Nine/Eleven Finding Answers, had more Jihadi videos on it than Tarek Mehanna had on his computer. You think the only people that go there are academics?

Did you hear one person say that videos recruit for al Qa'ida? That there is evidence of that? That there is proof of that? That there are studies of that? Not even Evan Kohlmann said that.

I would compare Evan Kohlmann to our experts and suggest that he comes up very short. He became hostile and argumentative and petty. He insisted that classified information is useless. And, of course, he knows this how? Since he can't see it? Who has relied on Evan Kohlmann? Not the CIA, not the Army, not the Secret Service. He doesn't even have the lowest level of security clearance. What does that tell you?

He's made an extraordinarily good living in the terror game. I calculated his income was close \$800,000 over five years between testifying and lecturing. And that was only 40 percent of his income. The full amount was 2 million.

Testimony is a cash cow for him. He can come in. He's given one piece of evidence. He can cut and paste a report he's written before. And he can spout generalities and exaggerations. Where is his study? Where is his basis? Where is his training?

03:24 10

03:25 20

You know, you are halfway to being as qualified as he is. If you sat in front of your computers for a number of years, you probably could become an expert on this. Just be aware of all the pictures that might float into your computer, into your inactive space. And you'd better pray that when you go on vacation, the government doesn't break into your house and steal your hard drive.

And, finally, Doctor Mark Sageman, there is an expert. He's written two books respected and adopted by the intelligence community and the counterterrorism community. He spent the last ten years trying to figure out who are these terrorists. He was in the CIA, running the war in Afghanistan because he couldn't bear the genocide. He knew Abdullah Azzam. He was there.

And he didn't sit back on his credentials. When September 11th happened, he went back to work. He was afraid that the people he had trained, were they his people; were they his guys? And he spent the last ten years researching, studying, traveling, interviewing, applying science to this. He studied every plot, every attack. He talked to neighbors

and prosecutors, defense attorneys, policemen, because he knew what you know now: Context is everything.

03:26 20

03:26 10

And we know why he's a real expert. Look at who listen to him: New York Police Department, the Secret Service, the FBI. The White House comes to him to assess threats to the President. The Army comes to him to assess threats to the military. He is the person our government goes to when they want to know who is a terrorist and who is just talking.

Ask yourselves: Do you think Marc Sageman would have testified if he thought Tarek Mehanna supported terrorism when he's never testified for the defense before? Why make such a fuss about scientific methodology? Because it's the only way to learn and to accurately understand. Ask a question; search for answers; reject the easy. That's what the government wants you to do. We're asking you to think about this. Be more thoughtful. Base your decision on objective facts.

And Doctor Sageman told you there are no studies, no proof, that watching videos means people commit acts of terrorism. Al Qa'ida might be making them, but they're — they're bankrupt, and they're close to dying out. Nasheeds are just songs, he said. Internet forums are not a recruiting tool. He said it's the mainstream media, when CNN and ABC and NBC, when they put up — when they show pictures of Abu Ghraib, when they talk about a 14-year-old who was murdered and her family murdered and her house burned down, that was — al

Qa'ida didn't even cover that.

03:27 10

03:28 20

He told you 39 Ways was not a training manual. Doctor Fadel told you it's full of Hadiths and quotes from the Qur'an. It's a religious discussion of ways to participate in Jihad when you aren't going. What did Doctor Fadel say? A standard prayer was: Pray for the mujahideen. Before Afghanistan, before any of this. Doctor Fadel said there is nothing in 39 Ways that mentions al Qa'ida. Doctor Sageman said the original writer was not al Qa'ida.

There are no emails, instant messages, recorded calls, anything, anything -- think about this -- that says or shows that somebody at al Qa'ida said to Tarek Mehanna, Would you translate this for us? There is nothing.

You heard Doctor Sageman tell you what training manuals were. They've been stolen from the U.S. Army. Did you see a single link to those manuals on Tarek's computer or a reference to them? Nothing. Doctor Sageman told you translating it is not essential. That magazine that Mr. Auerhahn showed you the instant message about, Tharwat Al-Sanam, it was never even translated to English.

Doctor Sageman told you people joined al Qa'ida before there were videos, before there was an internet. And after there was, they came from countries where there was little electricity, let alone internet connections.

People joined al Qa'ida, he said, after the United

States invaded Iraq. Remember, Iraq was the first and only country the United States has invaded that did not attack us first. It was a Muslim country, and it spurred people to go.

Al Qa'ida may continue to make videos, but Doctor Sageman said they're fewer and fewer. It's basically vanishing now, he said. It's bankrupt.

Now, we know Tarek isn't charged with being a terrorist. He's charged with providing material support to al Qa'ida. But, remember, it's the government who said, the very first thing they said, bin Laden put a call for help and Tarek answered. Did he? Or did he independently advocate for what he believed in? For what his religious study and his faith told him? That when Muslims are attacked, they can and should defend themselves.

The government didn't want you to understand this context. They just wanted you to be scared. You've all spent too much time. You all know too much. You're too smart for that.

So that's why we put on the experts. And those experts, what they had to say, each and every one of them provided doubt as to whether the government has proven its case. Those people, they are reasonable doubt.

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, may we be seen at sidebar before Mr. Carney starts?

THE COURT: All right.

03:29 20

03:29 10

1 (SIDEBAR CONFERENCE AS FOLLOWS: MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, Miss Bassil asked the 2 3 jurors to believe that Mr. Sageman testified because he believed that the defendant was not a terrorist clearly crossed 5 the line of both proper argument and evidence as well as explicitly crossed the threshold of what he was explicitly not 7 allowed to opine on. 8 We're requesting a curative instruction in addition to 9 the regular one that what the lawyers say is not evidence. To 03:31 10 reiterate, it's not their task to determine whether the 11 defendant is a terrorist but rather to determine the charges in 12 the indictment, which is whether he provided material support 13 to terrorists. 14 THE COURT: Well, okay. I agree that the remark was 15 inappropriate, but I think the general instruction at the end to put the arguments in proper perspective will be sufficient. 16 MS. BASSIL: Thank you. 17 18

THE COURT: Are you using those?

MR. CARNEY: Yes, your Honor.

19

21

22

23

24

25

03:31 20

THE COURT: Okay. Everybody is using them. right.

MR. CARNEY: Modern approach.

Good morning. I've been a lawyer a long time, but this is by far the longest case I've ever had as a trial. I suspect that you can say the same thing. And so I appreciate perhaps more than other people the sacrifices that you've made to be here. You know you could have got out of jury duty.

It's not a secret. Just answer some of those questions that you were asked in a different way, and it's go on with your life.

03:32 10

03:33 20

But each of you made the commitment to do that, and on behalf of Tarek and his family and myself, and I'm sure I speak for everybody, thank you so much for the sacrifice that you've made, the time you've given up, especially at this holiday period. You've, of course, got more to do and maybe the most important part of what you've got to do. But I just wanted to make sure you knew before you go any further how appreciative we are for everything you've done up to this point.

You might recall at the beginning of the case, during my opening statement, I asked the question: Who is Tarek Mehanna? And I showed you some pictures of him growing up, and I'm not going to show them to you again. But you remember what they were: Typical American kid, born in the United States, growing up, sitting on Santa's lap, learning how to play baseball, playing electric guitar like he's in a band, all those kind of things.

We put a lot of information in about Tarek through all the witnesses who knew him so well. I'm not going to repeat and belabor that. But what are some of the most important things? You recall that when he was in high school, as a

senior, he kind of rediscovered his religion: Islam. He wanted to know more about it. He also wanted to know more about his heritage as a Muslim.

03:35 20

03:34 10

And so he began having these meetings with friends on Friday nights. They'd go to the mosque, then they'd get together. What kind of kid spends these meetings primarily talking about the religion? Talking about the Qur'an and something that he read in it? Asking people, Can next week we talk about this particular Hadith? I bet people who were at the courtroom for the first time during this trial have no idea what a Hadith is. But imagine the next time that word comes up in your company and you go, oh, Hadith? What do you want to know? Because now you do know.

And what happened when Tarek learned about his heritage is about all the oppression that has occurred to people just because they're Muslim. The genocide that occurred just because they were Muslim, not a thousand years ago, 20 years ago, in Bosnia, the ethnic cleansing of wiping out Muslims just because they are Muslims.

The government called six witnesses who the prosecutor called cooperating witnesses. We'll talk about that word in a little bit. But all six of them presented by the government said they thought when 9/11 happened it was justified. One of them used the words "The United States deserved it." This isn't something that Tarek came up with alone.

1 2

•

03:36 10

You heard from these witnesses how the invasion of Iraq affected them, how the embargo in Iraq had led to the deaths of hundreds of children because they couldn't get the necessary medicine. You heard how they talked about the rape of the girl that's been mentioned, that in Iraq, United States soldiers, a rogue group, no doubt about it, raped a 14-year-old girl, then killed her and killed her parents and then set the house on fire to cover it up.

Janice showed you some pictures from Abu Ghraib where the United States, guarding those prisoners, did these atrocities designed to humiliate people and put those photos on the internet. Is anyone surprised why a Muslim who would view those people as brothers and sisters, fellow Muslims, would be upset? Would we be upset if the situation were reversed? You can answer that question in your own mind, and it will give you a lot of insight into why Tarek and his friends believed what they did about what was happening to their brothers and sisters.

Let me read you a quote. "But if you say, you can still pass the violations over, then I ask, hath your house been burnt? Hath your property been destroyed before your face? Are your wife and children destitute of a bed to lie on, or bread to live on? Have you lost a parent or a child by their hands, and yourself the ruined and wretched survivor? If you have not, then you are not a judge of those who have.

But if you have, and can still shake hands with the murderers, then you are unworthy of the name of husband, father, friend, or lover, and whatever may be your rank or title in life, you have the heart of a coward and the spirit of a sycophant."

03:39 20

03:39 10

Thomas Paine, during the Revolutionary times, one of the patriots in this revolution, in this country, speaking to his fellow colonists about the British. Undoubtedly, had the war turned out differently than it did, or if the British had caught this patriot, he would have been hung. But this is what we were saying about the British and about what people should do to resist what the British were doing.

You did hear endlessly presented by the prosecutor harsh words, crude words, angry words, by those six witnesses and by Tarek. And you also heard them say how, over the years, they matured, as they said Tarek matured, and how they grew up, how they looked at the world differently and how they regretted those harsh words. I don't say this to excuse the words that were said but just to explain why they were said.

But Tarek is not on trial for having made those statements. The hundreds and hundreds of instant messages that he would have with friends, when he would say things that are obviously embarrassing to have publicly stated in a courtroom. He's not on trial on that, although -- at least that's not what the Indictment says, although you might be hard-pressed to know that from the evidence presented repetitively by the prosecutor

trying to assassinate Tarek's character. But he, like his friends, matured from that time when they were in their early 20s.

03:41 10

03:42 20

What I want to focus on are the charges. Judge O'Toole told you that the lead allegation is that Tarek provided material support. And Judge O'Toole told you that the ways that are alleged include providing personnel, including the defendant himself; money, training, service, or expert advice and assistance. I want to touch on each of these.

The first is personnel. You can provide yourself as material support, and the government's allegation is Tarek

Mehanna went to Yemen to receive military training in a training camp with the specific intent to go on to Iraq.

That's what the allegation is about what Tarek did and why he did it.

Now, how do you, ladies and gentlemen, figure out if that's, in fact, the truth? Or whether the truth is what Tarek told people, as Ali Aboubakr testified here, that he went to Yemen to look at schools? How do you as jurors figure it out? Let's say you put your detective hats on. Well, you look at all the surrounding evidence to see which view is supported. For example, look who Tarek was, a person who every one of these five witnesses who knew him well said, he was always trying to figure out what does the Qur'an say? What do the Hadiths say? Here, I want to read this text. I want to

translate this classical Arabic text. I want to discuss it. I want to interpret it. There are people in every religion who do that. And Islam, Tarek was going to be one of them. So the idea that he would want to go to Yemen to look for a school or schools that he wanted to study at, once he was done getting his Ph.D., fits completely like hand in a glove of who this man was.

03:44 20

03:43 10

Janice touched upon the concept of Aman, this tenet, this holding, of Islam that says, If you are a citizen of a country that allows you to practice your religion, you are forbidden by the law of Islam to attack anyone from that country, whether you're in the country now or whether you're in another country where that -- where soldiers are.

You heard Daniel Spaulding talk about this. Abuzahra and Abousamra disagreed. They said, Aman doesn't apply. But Tarek convinced Daniel Spaulding that it did apply. There was no concept of breaking the pact. If Tarek felt so strongly about his religion -- and remember the people who would say, for Tarek, it's all about what does it compel me to do? What does it forbid me to do? What does it permit me to do? Aman definitely forbade him to go to Iraq and fight U.S. soldiers.

How could a people whose whole life, whose whole being, is so caught up in believing his religion, turn around and violate a fundamental precept like that? Does he sound like the kind of person or is that the kind of situation where

he would do that?

03:46 20

03:45 10

Remember what Professor March said: The only way that you can get around Aman is if you renounce your United States citizenship, if you formally say and take the steps, I am no longer a United States citizen. And not a single person, not a single chat, not a single phone call, not a single anything, ever suggested that Tarek even considered that for a nanosecond, renouncing his United States citizenship. Is that consistent with the government's theory?

How about going to the country? Abousamra had gone to Pakistan twice. Tarek didn't go with him. Abousamra, after going to Yemen, did go on to Iraq. Now, we heard from Abuzahra why he decided to turn around. His father apparently had a heart attack, he was told, and he wanted to be with him. And his two-month-old daughter, she needed his signature on an important legal document. So Abuzahra turned around and went back. Abousamra continued on to Iraq. If that were Tarek's reason for going to Yemen, then answer me: Why didn't he just continue on with Abousamra if that was his intent?

When his friend, Dan Maldonado, called him from Somalia and said, Please come here, Somalia, it's great, it's perfect, you'll love it, did Tarek go to Somalia? Maldonado did everything he could to get Tarek to come over, and Tarek didn't.

What about the fact that -- of schools in Yemen? Is

this a made-up fact? Remember Jason Pippin said he went to Yemen to attend these schools for exactly the same reason that Tarek was: to study Classical Arabic and Islamic law and jurisprudence. Now, to be candid, I don't think either of my kids would have put these schools on their list of top five they want to attend given how it was described by Pippin. But for someone who's really into this, it's heaven.

03:48 20

03:47 10

And what about Gregory Johnsen, the expert? He said exactly the same things. These schools are so renowned for teaching this that the State Department recommends that people go there to study these things.

Now, what about Yemen itself? You've heard there were no training camps there from November of 2001 to February of 2006. Don't you think that the federal government, with all its resources -- the FBI, the CIA, satellites, spies, everything they've got -- if there had been a single training camp in Yemen during that time period, from November of 2001 to February of 2006, don't you think the government would come in here and presented somebody who would say, Oh, yeah, there was a training camp there? But we didn't hear it.

What was Yemen to Tarek? It was a free trip, paid for by Abuzahra, so that he could go to Yemen and check out the schools, be away for about two weeks, and then come back to the United States and resume college. And that's exactly what he did. In fact, remember the conversation that Ali Aboubakr had

with Tarek. Tarek said, These schools are so amazing. When you're done with college, Ali, let's go to Yemen and study. We can eat, pray, read. It will be great. Let's go. Remember the enthusiasm that Ali talked about that was in Tarek's voice about going to Yemen, going back to Yemen to go to these schools?

03:50 20

03:49 10

When you look at all of these other facts, does that sound like the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that the reason Tarek went to Yemen was with the specific intention to go to a training camp and thereafter to Iraq to fight U.S. soldiers, or does it point in the opposite direction?

What do we have in this case? We have Kareem

Abuzahra. He says that Tarek went in order to go to fight in

Iraq. Kareem Abuzahra, I submit, is the most important

government case -- government witness in this case. I submit

that you have to believe Kareem beyond a reasonable doubt if

you're going to find Tarek guilty of providing material support

for going to Iraq because without his testimony, there's not

even a suggestion, I submit.

And let's look at Mr. Abuzahra. In fact, what I'd like to do at this point is look at all six of the witnesses presented by the government -- I'll call them the civilian witnesses -- because it gives you such insight into our government, into the prosecution, into how this case was built.

1 Okay. Kareem Abuzahra. What do we know about him? He's someone who has long been committed to wanting to fight in 2 Iraq or elsewhere. We know that when Abousamra went to 3 Pakistan, Kareem gave him 500 bucks to pass on to al Qa'ida there. We know that Kareem was serious about going to Iraq to 5 fight. He quit his job. He left the video. Wouldn't you like 7 to have been a fly on the wall when the wife, with young children, including a two-month-old, is told to look at a video and it's, Hi, honey, I'm in Iraq. I don't know if I'm coming back. 03:51 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

03:52 20

And let's look at what Kareem said on the stand, and not even bashfully, not even regretfully, about how he is willing to lie. I asked him: When do you lie? Well, if it's in my interest to lie, I lie. We heard some pretty remarkable things. He practiced lying. He would lie to his friends, and he was such a good liar that no one would be able to tell he was lying.

The FBI asks to interview him unexpectedly. No problem. Lying comes so easily to Abuzahra that he sits down with the FBI, tells them a nice story, and it's a complete, total lie. I asked him: Why did you do that? He said, Well, I wanted to tell a plausible story so I could stay out of jail. You'd lie to the FBI? Well, if it's not in my interest to be truthful, then I'm going to lie.

How about this: He told us that he prepped for his

court testimony by meeting with the prosecutors five or ten times, an average of four hours each time. So we're talking about, in order for Kareem Abuzahra to come in here and tell the truth, he's got to spend between 20 and 40 hours with this crew to make sure he knows the truth. That's their main witness right there.

03:54 20

03:53 10

Look what Kareem did. He's open. Hey, I went to Yemen, and then I was going to go to Iraq. Had I not got a word from my family, I probably would have gone to Iraq.

Remember, I asked him about the shopping mall. I said, This shopping mall attack, that was your idea, wasn't it, Kareem?

Pause. I don't remember. All right. I'll show you your grand jury testimony. It was your idea to shoot up a shopping mall?

I don't remember.

It was your idea to target Hanscom Air Force Base?

Pause. I don't remember. You're the only one in this crew who had any contact with Hanscom Air Force Base because you had gone on it to do some motorcycle training, right? Yeah. You knew where the guard shack was? You know everything -- not everything but a lot about the base. It was your idea? Show him an FBI report. I don't remember.

You're the one who came up with, Hey, why don't we shoot Condoleezza Rice or Attorney General Ashcroft, when Tarek wasn't even there? No, I think that one time Tarek was there. Did you tell the FBI previously that Tarek wasn't there? I

don't remember.

03:56 20

03:55 10

How about this: He's not ashamed to say, yeah, I went to New Hampshire to meet with Maldonado to see if I could get automatic weapons. And even if the guns had bodies on them, that wasn't a problem because I wouldn't have the guns that long.

Okay. This guy Kareem is admitting all of these crimes. And what happens? What does the government give him? They offer to give him a pass. I don't care what the prosecutor wants to call it, how he's going to say, oh, there's this technical thing where we're only saying we're not going to use his testimony against him. Well, we haven't given him complete freedom from prosecution. Really? They made this arrangement with him in 2006. You think it's about time that, if Kareem is going to be charged, if he is going to be charged, that we'd have seen it? No. What happened is: Kareem got the message and then he got the deal. Can you trust that person?

Then Kareem agreed to wear a wire. Imagine going to your friends. Imagine going to people that you know well and being able to record the conversations and lie so well that people don't suspect it? And they sent him to keep talking to Tarek, to get Tarek to say, I went to Yemen in order to go to Iraq to engage in fighting. And then he'd bring the tape back, and they'd send him back again. And then he'd bring the tape back, and they'd send him back again. As Kareem admitted, I

knew what they wanted me to get him to say. And I said, And you never were able to get him to say it, were you, in all these phone calls? No, I wasn't. Is Kareem Abuzahra someone you are going to trust?

03:57 10

03:58 20

Let's look at the second most important witness:

Hassan Masood. Here's a guy who has seen where the rubber meets the road when you're dealing with the federal government because, remember, his father, who was the Imam in a mosque, I think -- well, I don't remember exactly what town. But he was the Imam. He's like the Rabbi. He's like the Monsignor. And because of an error he made on an Immigration document, he was prosecuted. He was indicted. And remember what I asked Hassan: Who are your father's prosecutors? Mr. Chakravarty, Mr. Auerhahn. And after the father pleaded guilty, he was gone. Do you think Hassan needed to have any more example of the power of the federal government?

But it doesn't even stop there. What does Hassan say?

He said he testified at an Immigration hearing, and at that

hearing he lied under oath to the judge. And I asked him why

he did it. It's so that I could get a benefit; and if I can

get a benefit, I am willing to lie under oath to a federal

judge. I appreciate his candor. He got the message. He got

the deal. Are you going to trust him?

And isn't it interesting that Hassan is still with us.

And has there even been a peep about the prosecutors indicting

someone who admits that he lied under oath to a judge? Do you think someone who says, Yeah, I did that, do you think you might find that person indicted for a crime? No. You join the team, you get a pass. You say what they want you to say, you walk. You don't even get charged.

04:00 20

03:59 10

Danny Maldonado, he was someone who left the United States to go to Egypt with his wife and three young children, and he lived in Egypt for a year. Then he moved to Somalia. And he told you why. Somalia was an Islamic country, at peace, and he wanted to live there. And he moved there with his wife and children. Tarek said, Hey, man, I don't think you want to go to Somalia. You know, it's still a volatile area. But he went there because it was going to be a good place to raise his family.

And a few months after he got there, Ethiopia invades, war breaks out, and Danny Maldonado was pressed into service to the Army. Now, this was a Muslim-run country, headed by the Islamic Courts Union, not by al Qa'ida. This is not Danny Maldonado fighting the United States. This is not Danny Maldonado fighting for al Qa'ida. He's fighting for the country that he's living in. What happens after the fighting continues? Danny's wife dies of malaria.

And so what's the situation now with Danny Maldonado?

He is a widow. He's got three young children. Remember what

he said. The government decides if I can hug my children.

What would you do for the government if the government decided 1 if you can hug your young children? Dan's got four years left. 2 I don't blame him. He could say that Tarek Mehanna was involved with the Kennedy assassination if, in turn, he could 5 hug his children. Are you going to be able to trust him? 6 Ali Aboubakr, he's just angry that he's here. He's 7 anxious to get going to medical school. He's angry at Tarek for having brought him in here. But still he came in and confirmed, yes, Tarek not only told me about going to see 04:01 10 schools in Yemen, Tarek told me, When you're done graduating, 11 let's go back and go to those schools. What about Ali 12 Aboubakr? Same thing. He gets the message. He's on the team. 13 Jason Pippin, wasn't it interesting what he talked 14 about when the FBI went to see him in Finland and how he 15 described basically being held captive in the United States Embassy in Finland by the FBI who went to see him. Remember 16 how the prosecutors brought out -- the first thing they did is 17 18 apologize to him for what had happened to him previously. 19 Pippin is now in Canada, has family in the United States. 04:02 20 Pippin is someone who's admitted, I went to get training 21 myself. I went to Pakistan to fight. I did all this stuff. 22 But he got the message. He got the deal. Can you trust 23 everything he says? 24 And, finally, Daniel Spaulding, if I had to identify a

witness in this whole trial who's most like Tarek Mehanna, it's

25

Dan Spaulding. Let's talk a little bit about him. He's someone who came to Islam not as a child but someone who sought out a religion and chose Islam. And so he was very interested in learning about it.

04:03 10

04:04 20

What was notable about Dan Spaulding? He came in here without being prepped for 20 or 40 hours by the prosecutor. Did you see a little anger in the prosecutor's voice with Mr. Spaulding? You wouldn't meet with us. Well, I'm working two jobs. I'm married. I have kids. I live in New Hampshire. My life's kind of busy. You wouldn't meet with us. Well, didn't that suggest that maybe Danny Spaulding is really, truly telling the truth when he says what his memory is?

And he remembers about Kareem and the shopping mall and that Tarek said that the shopping mall idea would violate Aman. It could not be done. A Muslim who's allowed to practice his religion in the United States could not kill anybody in a shopping mall. You would be violating Islam. And Tarek also said, And that idea is so outlandish. That's what Dan Spaulding said.

Remember, I asked Dan a series of questions. Dan, did

Tarek ever say he supported al Qa'ida? Never. Did he ever

say, in your presence, in all of these sessions al Qa'ida is

doing an important job? No. Or that we should join al Qa'ida?

Never. Or we should advance their cause for al Qa'ida? No.

Or that we should coordinate with al Qa'ida? No. Tarek never,

ever said that in these small groups together, talking, where Dan Spaulding was present. All six of these witnesses got a pass.

04:05 10

04:06 20

And what about Mr. Big? What about Abousamra? He's allowed to leave the United States and never come back.

You saw by these witnesses the pressure that the United States Government can put on individuals and squeeze them until they get the message and reward them when they get the deal. Are those the witnesses you can trust? Are those the people that you are going to believe when you're deciding this case?

And look what happened to poor Dan Spaulding. After Tarek was arrested, he got the message all right. What quote did he put on the web forum? What did Danny Maldonado [sic] put? Let me read it to you. Someone on a web forum was talking about the pressure that can be put and is being put on Muslims all across the United States, surveillance, harassment, everything. And someone said, "Can't you just keep your hands clean? Kamar, do you live in the United States or have you ever had to deal with the Feds? As someone who has, I can tell you it has nothing to do with playing nice and keeping your hands clean. They are evil and malicious people who will go as far to get people to bear false witness against you if they want to arrest you."

And what happened to Dan Spaulding? He got the

message. He got it so loud and clear that he renounced Islam.

How scared would you have to be to decide that you are going to renounce your religion? If you answer that question, you'll understand the pressure these witnesses were put under.

Can you perhaps infer that Tarek resisted that pressure? Can you perhaps infer why he's sitting here and not there? Now, why would Tarek be concerned about what people think when they learn that he went to Yemen and Abousamra went to Yemen and then went to Iraq? Because he doesn't want to come to the attention and receive the kind of focus that people in the community were focusing -- were receiving.

And so is he ambiguous about what happened in Yemen? Is he trying not to talk about Yemen? Is he trying to change the subject about Yemen? Everything Tarek said that the prosecutor mentioned is consistent with Tarek going to Yemen to look at those schools and, finally, not sitting in front of a computer but doing something that will actually help him on the road to being a scholar. And he was thrilled. Yeah, he was dragged all across the country accompanying Abousamra.

And then the prosecutor says, Well, look at the statement that Tarek said to a woman he was interested in dating. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven -- eight experts on the jury about whether men puff up their background in order to impress women. Okay. Tarek's not the first and he's not the last. Look, even, what he said. I went and

04:07 10

04:08 20

interviewed with a company and was rejected. We know that's not even true. But when you're kind of sweet on someone or want to be sweet on someone, Hey, I was interviewed but I was rejected. Oh, great, let's get together. Gentlemen of the jury, I know I am not defending our gender, but sometimes you've got to speak the truth.

04:10 20

04:09 10

Okay. Did Tarek Mehanna reach an agreement to help Abousamra and Abuzahra go to Iraq? No. It was completely unnecessary. Abousamra knew how to get there. Did Tarek pay for the trip? No. Abuzahra paid for the trip, he told us. Did Tarek give any money? No. Any directions? No. Did he fly to California to get some tip? No. Did he give money, \$5,000, to someone who would help? No.

Tarek went along because he got a free trip to Yemen and he could do what he wanted to do in Yemen while the other two guys did what they planned to do. Imagine this: Two people are walking down the street. They join up. One says, Hey, where are you going? I'm going to a store. Oh, I'll walk with you. Oh, yeah. What are you doing? I'm going further down the street. I'm going to rob a bank. Oh, okay. All right. I'll walk with you. Does going to some place with someone, accompanying someone for your own reason, mean that you are helping them or supporting them or supplying personnel? Tarek never agreed to either go to Iraq and fight or help them go to Iraq and fight.

well, Tale around
Well, Tale around
How man
transla
that he
Talecia
person
long-ti
are the
tready t

04:12 20

well, Tarek was helping to recruit people in his own group and around the world. Let's look a little more closely at that. How many people agreed to join al Qa'ida because Tarek translated a document? How many people did we have testify to that here? How many people said, Tarek showed me a video, and I decided, hell, I'm on the next bus to Fallujah? Not a single person in this whole group except Abousamra, who was a long-time believer in this, did anything. None of them. Where are they now? I'm completing my Ph.D. program. I'm getting ready to attend medical school. Oh, I'm teaching in Canada. They're all doing things, except Dan Maldonado, who's just holding on, you know, finishing up his sentence.

Now, what about this claim by the prosecutor that,

Not a single one of these people was recruited or influenced to do anything by Tarek Mehanna. How about anyone else in the world? How about bringing someone in from England or anywhere in the world to say, I was influenced by Tarek?

What does it tell you that they couldn't get a single person?

How about this recruitment claim, good plan, good plan. Abousamra goes to Fallujah, the hottest battle zone in Iraq. Hey, I'm here. Okay. I'm young. I'm fit. I speak Arabic. And I am ready to go to the front lines. Great recruiting plan, huh? He has to turn around after two weeks and go home.

The videos. What did we hear from the witnesses about

why they watched videos? Did they say, Because it would want me to go to Iraq and get killed or wear a suicide vest. Man, it was. What did we hear from the witnesses? Three reasons:

Number 1, it gave us the other side's view so that we can learn what other people were saying that we weren't getting in the United States.

The second reason: We liked watching Muslims win for a change. You know, I don't know if this is going to get me in trouble. But it would be like, I suppose, a Native American watching a movie on General Custer and saying, Hey, it was nice to be on a winning side for once. And it's kind of what these Muslim kids felt.

And then, finally, one of the witnesses said, We liked violent movies. Sometimes we would get together. We wouldn't watch these videos. We'd watch regular movies but we liked violent movies, and that's why they watched these videos.

The prosecution showed hundreds of chats, and Tarek's strongest words were always in response to the rape of that 14-year-old girl. It's clear, looking at all of them, that's what he was outraged about.

What I love to see on this jury is its diversity in all respects, but one of those respects is age. There are jurors on your group who I know text and IM and are familiar with that and what's said on texting and what people send to each other on texting and what they say about friends, never

04:13 10

04:14 20

mind enemies, and all the crazy, nutty things that are said when people are texting back and forth just two people. I hope you will be able to explain to the other jurors why Doctor Fadel calls IMs trash talk.

04:15 20

04:15 10

Is there any evidence that Tarek gave any money to provide material support? I don't think we even heard that referenced by the prosecutor. Do any training? Not a word.

Let me talk for a moment about a material false statement. The word I want you to focus on, please, is "material." And I submit to you that the commonsense interpretation is: Will it affect an investigation given where the investigation was? Why was the FBI interviewing Tarek? I submit because they wanted to get leverage on him. They'd already done a sneak and peek. They'd already broken into the home of him and his brother and his parents and copied every computer that was there. They'd already wiretapped his phones. They'd already sent someone in wearing a wire to record conversations with him. But it wasn't enough. They needed more leverage on Tarek. Tarek didn't lie about Yemen, although other people did.

But in this long interview -- and I don't know if you would remember a TV show Columbo. At the end of the interview, when the FBI is finishing up, it's, like, Oh, one more thing. You know Danny Maldonado? Yeah. You know where he is? Egypt. Who wouldn't on the spur of the moment say something -- say,

hey, gee, I don't want to get my friend in trouble. But was that a material factor in the investigation or was that what I would suggest the gotcha question. Okay. We've now interviewed Tarek and we know he's not being truthful? Why? They either had an agent obviously in Somalia or they were tapping this phone call because three days later the agents had come to Tarek after Tarek had the phone call with Maldonado. I don't know if I said that correctly, but what I mean is that Tarek spoke to Maldonado, and three days later the FBI are on his doorstep asking him about where's Maldonado.

04:16 10

04:17 20

I'll tell you. Let's say that you jurors were interested in learning where is Janice Bassil. All right. I'm seeing about three-quarters of you taking a peek, making sure she's still there. And I say to you: She's back at the office. Okay? If your investigation is involved in where is Janice Bassil, is my statement going to affect your investigation? Are any of you going to sit there and say, Oh, darn, I thought she was sitting at the table over there, pretty in pink. But apparently she's at her office, and this is some imposter, you know? What is it?

I mean, there have been a number of times when I submit -- I don't say this lightly -- that the prosecutor has not appreciated your intelligence, your common sense, who you are as people. And when the FBI had the gotcha question, they felt they could put pressure on Tarek. And that's just what

they did.

04:19 20

04:18 10

Let me talk about two other things regarding this material support: service to al Qa'ida. Janice already touched upon it. I loved her analogy of, you know, the grandfather -- the boss who's walking with his granddaughter or daughter and how people jump to conclusions. Look how the government jumped on this -- on their conclusions.

Janice has already gone through how Tarek disagreed with almost every view of al Qa'ida except one, and that one was: An invading army should leave a Muslim country. Did Tarek believe that because he believed in al Qa'ida, or did Tarek believe that because of his religion, because that is what the Qur'an teaches?

The government talks about the Umar Hadeed video.

There's no evidence or instant message or any indication that

Tarek was asked to translate it. You notice what Professor

Connolly said, It was obviously written by someone in Britain.

Wa Yakoon. The government, once again, brings up, Tarek was asked to work on this video. But he didn't. And just because you ask someone to do something is not the equivalent of doing it.

And, finally, 39 Ways. You'll get to look at 39 Ways. I bet when you first heard that mentioned in the opening, it was kind of interesting: 39 Ways, it's a training manual for people who want to engage in this kind of fighting. And so

maybe they're going to say how to create a suicide vest to bomb

-- blow yourself up and other people or maybe how to sneak up

on someone and stab them with a knife or something else that

would be in a training manual. I think you already realize how

disappointed you're going to be.

04:20 10

04:21 20

Way No. 38, learn how to swim and practice archery.

Oh, good. Rule No. 22, be nice to widows. Okay, good. And you go through all of these things. And what it really is?

It's a document not written by someone with al Qa'ida but someone who knows of the Muslim obligation and duty to participate and serve in Jihad that is addressed to the people who know that they are not ever going to find themselves on a battlefield.

Awful lot of people like to watch war movies, and they know they are never going to sign up for the service. For the Muslims in this situation, this tells them what else they can do to fulfill their religious obligation. It's not a training manual. It's more of a religious document, filled with quotes from the Qur'an and the Hadiths.

Plus, you know, how else can you support Jihad? Well, if you're Muslims in a Muslim country and you've been invaded, we saw a 40th way that you can participate in Jihad. Invite the mujahideen to the White House. Remember that video we saw? Ronald Reagan surrounded by these guys in turbans saying, Well —— I can't do an imitation but, you know, I just want to say

how important the work that they're doing, and the United States is a hundred percent behind you folks. And the guys there, who, of course, don't speak English, are just sitting in the White House with the President of the United States and on camera. That was the 40th way to do it.

THE COURT: Mr. Carney.

04:23 20

04:22 10

MR. CARNEY: I don't mean to make light of it.

THE COURT: Be aware of the time.

MR. CARNEY: I am looking at the time.

I guess the clearest evidence that the government refuses to accept is that Tarek's views were such that he was even kicked off Tibyan. He was booted so that his password would no longer work. If you want to know whether he was providing service to al Qa'ida, that's all you need to know.

Finally, expert advice and assistance. Translating Arabic to English. If a person is in the United States and has a computer connected to the internet, you can see Osama bin Laden's speeches. You can watch al Qa'ida videos or any kind of videos if they're in the Arabic, but you can't understand them. And there's no suggestion that it is illegal to watch these videos or read these documents in the slightest. It's not. You just -- you can do it because it's one of the freedoms we have in this country.

But the federal government doesn't want you to read it. It's like one of the witnesses said: Our government is

acting like Iran or China or Libya before Gadhafi fell. When those countries don't want their citizens to hear other views in the world, like about the United States and how great we are and the freedoms we have, what do they do? They shut down the internet because they don't want people to see it.

It's the same thing as preventing people from translating a document that's legal to read, legal to see. And they're saying, Oh, you're providing help to a terrorist organization by translating it. What are they so afraid of?

And then the government -- here again, tell me if this is a little insulting to your intelligence. They call a witness, Evan Kohlmann, who's going to say, Translating videos and translating Osama bin Laden speeches and translating al Qa'ida documents are critical to getting the al Qa'ida word out. And then remember how we went through all that time with me saying -- Kohlmann saying, Next, next, next, because he was counting on his agency's website, NEFA, how many videos they had. I don't remember the exact numbers, but they had more than 30 al Qa'ida videos on their website that you could just click on, translated. They had 30 speeches or communiques by Osama bin Laden, translated. They had over 30 by his second in command, who's now first in command, translated. They had 30 by other al Qa'ida people. What level of hypocrisy are we dealing with? That Tarek does one video and one document, but Kohlmann can have 120 on the website and that's okay? There's

04:24 10

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

04:24 20

2122

23

24

25

no inconsistency there?

04:26 20

04:25 10

Members of the jury, the government said, in essence, you don't even have to rely on the witnesses. They knew I'd have a couple of things to say about the witnesses, about whether they are truthful and trustworthy. So I ask you to take up Mr. Auerhahn's challenge. Disregard the testimony of the six witnesses and see if the rest of the evidence proves their case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, what would you look for if you wanted to see some corroboration that the government is right? Well, I've got it right up here. Let's look at some things. Sorry. It's blocking your view, your Honor. And I'm talking faster.

THE COURT: Yes, please.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. Let's -- here's corroboration. Is it true that Tarek went to Pakistan? No. Is it true that he went to Iraq? No. Is it true that he went to Somalia? No. Is it true that prior to going to Yemen he had expressed no interest in Arabic and jurisprudence? No. He did express interest. Were there training camps in Yemen that they could go to? Nope. Is there any evidence that Tarek ever had direct contact with al Qa'ida? No. Is there any evidence whatsoever that he ever gave money to al Qa'ida? No. Is it true that he agrees with al Qa'ida on all of their issues? Was he kept on Tibyan? Is 39 Ways a training manual? Is it true that Tarek didn't believe in Aman?

Now, I've made eleven checks in the "no" box. Let me tell you this: If all of these boxes, or even most of them, were checked "yes," you know what the government would say? This is proof beyond a reasonable doubt. And you know what? They would be right. If all of these boxes were checked "yes" for this kind of corroboration that you would expect to have in this case, if their allegations were true, you would have every right saying, looking at this corroboration, that's proof beyond a reasonable doubt. So what impact does it have on you, jurors, that they're all checked "no"?

I'll use my last minute to tell you what I think is the most important phrase in this case, that the Congress and the United States Supreme Court and Judge O'Toole, pretty good company, all talk about "independent advocacy" and that if you are independently advocating for something, it doesn't fall within the statutes. It is not material support if you are independently advocating. It's about the First Amendment.

Government showed hundreds of IMs of things that were said, dozens of videos of things that were watched, so many documents that people had seen. Well, folks, that's what the freedom of speech is about. I'm not asking you to accept Tarek's beliefs. I'm not. But I am asking you to accept his right to independently advocate them.

And I'll end with this: It's a little ironic that on the day the front page of the Globe says, "We are leaving

04:28 10

04:29 20

Iraq," that one of the last items of unfinished business is the trial of this man for saying, in 2003, we shouldn't have been in Iraq in the first place.

04:31 20

04:30 10

When the founding fathers created our Constitution, they were brilliant. They were going to make the United States not make the mistakes that other countries had made. You were going to have the freedom of religion in the United States. You were going to have the freedom of speech in the United States. And you were going to have a trial by jury. So that unlike virtually every other country in the world at that time, where, if the prosecutor says someone is guilty, he's guilty.

What the founding fathers said is: We're not going to do that and especially in a highly-charged case. We're not going to let the government make the decision. We're going to give the power to you, the citizens, the jurors.

And I submit to you they believed, the founding fathers, that you would have the independence, the wisdom, and the courage to speak the truth. And I submit to you that if you return a verdict in this case confirming with one voice that the government has not proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt, those founding fathers will leap to their feet and say, We were right. We gave the power to the right people because then you will be able to do justice in this case by finding Tarek not guilty. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Jurors, it's about 1:15. We have had a

```
1
         buffet lunch brought into the jury room for you. We'll take a
         break now for about a half an hour so you can have lunch. Then
     2
         we'll come back. We have a couple more -- the government has
     3
         rebuttal, and then I have some more instructions. That will
     5
         take -- don't get too anxious about it. It will take about a
         half an hour, I think, not much more than that. I don't want
     7
         to continue on through the lunch period. So we'll take a break
         for lunch. Please, no discussion of the substance of the case
         during lunch.
04:32 10
                  Enjoy the lunch. The time is coming very soon when
    11
         you'll begin the deliberations but not yet. So we'll be back
    12
         at about quarter of 2.
    13
         (Luncheon recess taken at 1:17 p.m.)
    14
          (Court and jury in at 2:00 p.m.)
    15
                  THE COURT: All right. For the government's rebuttal,
    16
         Mr. Chakravarty.
    17
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Thank you, your Honor. Thank you,
    18
         ladies and gentlemen. We're finally at that moment. And I do
    19
         thank you on behalf of everyone on the government's trial team
05:16 20
         and echo what the defense has said and the judge has said.
    21
         This has been a long trial, at a very awkward time in
    22
         everyone's lives. So we appreciate the attention.
    23
                  I'm going to take the last few minutes, and it will
    24
         not be -- as you all know, I can talk for a long time.
                                                                  This is
    25
         not going to be one of those moments.
```

-

O

05:17 10

_ _

05:17 20

This is going to be my chance to refocus you on what the law and the evidence is in this case and to address some of these distractions which Mr. Carney and Miss Bassil just presented to you, which may bear on political issues, may bear on the relationship of Muslims to the federal government, may affect what the law should be. Those things are irrelevant.

What matters here is the Indictment and the facts that support the allegations in the Indictment. It's the evidence, not speculation as to what other reasons or what other motivations may have compelled the defendant to do what he did. But one thing is clear: that if he had been successful in completing what he had conspired to do, with Abousamra, with Abuzahra, with the folks at "Tibyan Publications" and others, that on this day when people are coming back from Iraq who have served the United States, there might be a few fewer of them. That's what this case is about.

It's not about disagreeing with the government. It's not about disagreeing with the war in Iraq or the policies that the United States might have in the Muslim world. It's about actively supporting those who were taking up arms to kill them. The Constitution doesn't protect that. These federal laws that you have in front of you are the laws enacted specifically to prevent that.

That's what the defendant conspired to do. That's why he's here. It's not because he's the last man standing or

because six other witnesses came in because we talked to them. Ask yourselves about those six witnesses. All these great promises that the government offered to them. Listen to -- remember their stories. They were parts of a tapestry that interlinked and not just to what they were saying amongst themselves but what the defendant said in his own words, on those consensual recordings and on the chats and on phone calls and everything else. This is one seamless story that is the truth. That's what a verdict means, and that's what you're asked to find: the truth, the facts, not what the law should be, not what the political message of this verdict will be, but, rather, did the defendant do the things that he's charged with doing?

05:19 20

05:18 10

At the beginning of this trial, I told you what the evidence would be. I told you the sources of the evidence, told you these lawful searches, the other ways that the government had collected the evidence. And I submit to you that after over a month that has come to pass. That cloak that Miss Bassil talked about, that cloak of innocence, that covers all of us in this country, has been lifted from this defendant. He no longer can hide in the secrecy of his conspiracy, can hide in the encryption and the coded language that got him through the last ten years. We now know what he did.

And he didn't do it by himself. There's no independent advocacy here, ladies and gentlemen. Did he go to

Yemen by himself? Did he and Abousamra walk down the street, as Mr. Carney asked you to believe, and Abousamra said, I'm going to go rob that bank, and he said, I just wanted to go to Dunkin' Donuts? Is that what we're talking about here, ladies and gentlemen?

05:20 20

05:20 10

They quoted Thomas Paine. Thomas Paine is the author of Common Sense. That is the most vital asset that you all have in assessing both what the arguments of counsel are as well as what the evidence is in this case. And that common sense, ladies and gentlemen, if you apply it to this case, will suggest to you that after months of talking about participating in Jihad, about trying to get training, about talking about shooting up a mall or doing other domestic attacks -- who talks about that, ladies and gentlemen? At what level do you have to get to where you can even contemplate let's go shoot something up? He wasn't absenting himself from those conversations. He was participating in those conversations. It doesn't matter who brought it up. It was the three of them. It was always the three of them.

And so when Abousamra says he wants to go rob a bank, ladies and gentlemen, what's the normal reaction there? Well, okay. You go about your business. I'm not going to go do that. That's not what happened in this case. The defendant, they all met at his house that night, Super Bowl Sunday. And after talking about it and after planning it, after going

across the country to meet with Abu Omar about finding specific people who they were going to go ask about when they were in Yemen so they could hook up with the people who were participating in Jihad, it's only after all that that they got on a plane and went halfway across the world for that common purpose.

05:22 20

05:21 10

And what did they find when they got there? They found what the defense experts themselves told you was there: that al Qa'ida had gone underground, that it wasn't easy to get training. Mr. Auerhahn read to you some of those consensual recordings where the defendant said the exact same thing. When he told the people in Ma'rib why he was there, the truth about why he was there, what did they say? First, they came out with an AK-47. And then they said, All that stuff is gone after 9/11, after the planes hit those towers.

It's not schooling. It's not trying to find a wife. It's not tourism. There's one reason why the defendant and Abousamra went on to Yemen. Ladies and gentlemen, the defendant's words, there are assumptions, some that are 50/50, and some that are, like, the sky is blue. No one told you that it was blue, but you can see very well that the sky is blue. Ladies and gentlemen, the sky is blue about the trip about Yemen. There should be no doubt as to what the truth is about why the defendant went there. It was the same reason that Abousamra went there, and in those consensual recordings or any

other time where they talked about it, you'll never once see the defendant varying from that. You'll never once see him saying he was going for one reason and I was going for another. That's provision -- or attempt to provide material support to terrorists.

05:23 10

05:23 20

Let's also talk about his translation activities, the things that he did online. There's a big difference here that the defense makes between Evan Kohlmann, who is a paid terrorism expert -- this is what he does for a living. He does research on these things. Of course, he has videos. He has videos because this is how -- you've heard both Doctor Sageman and Mr. Kohlmann say, This is how al Qa'ida spreads its message. This is how it communicates to the world. It has the logos on it. It says it's from al Qa'ida. This is how they operate.

The defendant himself was inspired by these videos. In that letter to his would-be girlfriend, which I encourage you to read when you're in deliberating, the defendant said, Then one day in Ramadan in 1999 a friend of mine showed me a video from Chechnya that he had obtained from a brother who had strove there, participated in Jihad there. This pointed me in the right direction. Since then, it's been an uphill struggle to purify myself.

He knew the videos worked because that's what inspired him. And in a conspiracy, ladies and gentlemen, it's what he

believed and his conspirators believed that is important, not whether any individual went over to Iraq to fight because of the defendant's translations, not whether he was ever able to personally convince somebody to get on a plane and go do something. It's whether that's what his objective was. It's whether that's what his intent was. That's what's different between when the defendant translates something that's produced by al Qa'ida, it's translated for al Qa'ida, it's distributed on behalf of al Qa'ida, that means that the defendant knows that he is coordinating with al Qa'ida to produce this message and get it out there, not -- we don't have to prove that somebody responded to that call like he did. That's coordination with, and that's the burden that we have proven in this case, ladies and gentlemen.

05:24 10

05:25 20

The Umar Hadeed video is an example of that. The Umar Hadeed video, which there is no doubt that the defendant translated. In his own statements in the chats, he said, Abu Sabaayaa translated it. You saw one earlier where he's talking to Daniel Spaulding about the fact that people are charging for his translation.

And translation is an important expertise. It is an important skill. We had translators come in and testify from that stand about their translations, about how important it is. Doctor Sageman mentioned it. Evan Kohlmann mentioned it. It is a service to those people just as it is to al Qa'ida because

that's what's spreads the message. That's what you need to get the message out.

05:25 10

05:26 20

When the people at "Tibyan Publications," which, as you all know, many of whom are in the United Kingdom, when they collaborated with and they sent things to request the defendant to translate it, he didn't say, Oh, this is for al Qa'ida. I don't want to do that. What he said was, Okay. And he translated them and then published them. And they were released by al Qa'ida in the Land of Two Rivers media wing. That is how the defendant viewed himself. That's what we have to prove in a conspiracy. What was the defendant thinking -- what was his intent when he did those things?

You know that Evan Kohlmann's intent was not to support al Qa'ida. You now know that the defendant's intent was to support the mission of al Qa'ida. That doesn't mean you have to support everything in al Qa'ida. He's not charged with his ideology, the checklist that Mr. Carney went through. Did he believe this? Is that consistent with al Qa'ida? Did he believe this?

People believe many things. He's not charged with beliefs. He's charged with doing certain things that are against federal criminal law. He's not charged with agreeing with what al Qa'ida believed about voting, and he's not charged with contemplating about how broad this doctrine of Aman reaches, what terrorist acts it reaches.

engaged in activities, going to Yemen and engaging in the translation work and the dissemination and the video editing that was providing an important value to al Qa'ida. That's precisely what the statute prohibits. And as the judge explained to you before, and he'll conclude with the final instructions, it's that law that applies, not the version of the law that the defense just proposed to you. It's the law that's written down on this verdict slip.

What he's charged with is what was his intent when he

And so if we're talking about a checklist, this is the checklist that matters, ladies and gentlemen. This is the checklist which you'll see in a moment that reads each of the statutes that are at play here, and it gives you an option of not guilty or guilty. This is based in the facts that you've seen over the last months.

We didn't come in and summarize and try to insult your intelligence by suggesting that the defendant is a bad person. This is not about his character. It's not about his ideology. It's not about what he would have been. It's about what he did and finding him accountable for it.

This Aman idea, let's put this to bed for once and for all. There are many doctrines in religion. It doesn't mean that people always follow those doctrines. Thou shalt not lie, thou shalt not kill. People still do those things. But the Aman doctrine that the defense has tried to raise with you as

05:27 10

05:27 20

some kind of defense, is not a legal defense in any way. fact, it was the defendant that, regardless of that doctrine, who not only believed that that pact was broken, he was more than happy to agree with the beheading of Paul Johnson. He was somebody who should have been living under that contract. the defendant didn't believe that he deserved to live under that contract because he was providing support to the American soldiers. And if you provide support to American soldiers, in the defendant's eyes, then you are killing Muslims and you deserve to be killed. That's what he was going over to Yemen for. That's why he was publishing those things on "Tibyan Publications," because if one person reacted to what he had done and he answered that call, then, finally, this defendant would be doing something. He would be, in his words, getting off his butt and not being a hypocrite anymore, not doing what he always talked about.

1

2

3

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

05:29 20

05:28 10

That's what this case is about, ladies and gentlemen. It's about the fact that he agreed to do the things that he had always talked about, which was participating in Jihad against U.S. soldiers, not random civilians, not people in other -- people unrelated to that objective of the conspiracy. It was U.S. soldiers that he was against.

I submit to you that the defendant's experts all corroborated the government's case. Sure, they came in for a variety of reasons. But Doctor Connolly basically confirmed

that this was a collaboration, that the translations were a collaboration between the United Kingdom, the "Tibyan Publications" folks, and the defendant.

05:30 20

05:30 10

Doctor Fadel and Doctor March corroborated to you that it was a motivation, not an intention, but it was a motivation of the defendant to support Jihad because it was an obligation when Muslim land was invaded.

Doctor Sageman corroborated -- confirmed to you that the use of media is important to al Qa'ida. We heard about Anwar al-Awlaki. Doctor March also talked about him. What did that guy do? That guy did nothing more than what the defendant did. He translated and he published media. And he died for it because he was supporting people who were killing American soldiers. You don't have to pick up a gun to do that, ladies and gentlemen. You can do what the defendant did.

And Johnsen -- or Mr. Johnsen, I should say -- this was the Yemen expert, he told you exactly what the defendant's words corroborated, which are that when he went to Yemen they searched the country, and they couldn't find what they were looking for. They were disappointed because of the contact that Abu Omar from California had given them didn't pan out. The defendant wasn't talking about education at that point. If he did, why would he have been so disappointed?

And then, finally, Mr. Spencer, the computer expert, Miss Bassil showed you a bunch of thumbnails and pictures.

That's not what this case is about. It was never what this case was about. We didn't show you pictures of 9/11 to scare you. We showed you what was on the defendant's computer before he engaged in these crimes or while he was engaging in these crimes, the relevant pictures. Who has 30 pictures of the planes crashing into the Towers? Is that Aman there?

05:32 20

05:31 10

Ladies and gentlemen, this is a person who, during that time, had megabytes of data in his "My Work" folders, in his "My Video" folders, in his "39 Ways" folder. Those are in his active space. Those are not just vestiges of some mysterious website that he went to. That's the work that he was doing that he felt was making a difference in the war against us versus them. To him, "us" was the Muslim world. "Them" was the United States.

And the way he was going to participate in that fight was to either go to the battlefield himself, by providing himself and Abousamra and others as personnel, or by providing the services and the expertise that was necessary to get other people to go there and fight what he was unable or too cowardly to do himself.

Final point about the witnesses, you can disregard all of the government's witnesses. I suggest to you you shouldn't because the way that they told their stories and their motivations for telling the stories are all plainly clear to you. I submit to you they didn't exaggerate. They told you

what they knew. And what they knew was -- and they never wavered on this. Even Daniel Spaulding said this -- that it was the defendant and Abousamra who went to Yemen for those purposes. That never changed.

But even disregarding all of that, think of the defendant's words himself, and those consensual recordings spell out that the defendant knew what he had done. He knew what he had done was against the law. And he knew that he couldn't cover it up any longer.

Justifying those crimes by saying there was some religious obligation or he's a scholar, that's not a defense. That might play in mainstream or in the media or in politics, but this case is not about Muslims versus the federal government. It's about what this defendant did, besmirching the name of Islam in order to do what he felt was the right thing to do. It's about taking the law into his own hands. It's about going over and trying to support those who are actively taking up arms to kill American soldiers. That's against the law in most every country, but it most certainly is in this country. It's something that these material support statutes were designed to prevent and to prosecute. And the punishment for that is not your concern. That will be the judge's. Your job is simply to assess the evidence that's been presented to you and determine whether that evidence bears out what the Indictment says.

05:33 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

05:33 20

1

2

3

5

6

05:34 10

05:35 20

And I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that the defendant now sits there as a guilty person, and I ask you to spend as much time as you need to deliberate on that evidence, share your thoughts, listen to the consensuals, assess all of the evidence. And when you do, ladies and gentlemen, I'm confident that you will check each of these boxes "guilty" because, after you read each of these charges and you assess what the elements are of these offenses, as the judge gives them to you, you'll find that the defendant is, in fact, guilty. And I ask you to return that verdict. Thank you.

THE COURT: Jurors, you have been very patient and attentive. I ask you to bear with me just for a few more minutes while I finish the instructions. This part of my instructions is nowhere near as long as the first part, and we'll have you deliberating soon.

I want to talk now about how you might go about assessing your -- assessing the evidence in the case and fulfilling your responsibility to make some decisions that are presented. There are sort of two aspects to the way you conduct your deliberations. First, you've heard all this evidence over the course of the weeks of the trial. And one thing you have to do is decide what the evidence shows, what it has proved to you or not. Consider what the facts are as you find them from the available evidence and then having determined the facts, see what those facts mean in light of the

principles regarding the offenses that I gave you in the earlier part of the instructions. So you take the -- settle the facts and apply the principles of law and see what the answer is.

It's often said that jurors such as yourselves are the sole and exclusive judges of the facts of the case. You determine the weight, the value, the effect of the evidence that you've heard and seen, and where there are factual disputes, you try to decide on the evidence what conclusions you should draw about the facts.

You must determine the facts of the case without fear or favor, based solely on a fair consideration of the evidence. Now, that proposition means two things. First of all, of course, you're to be completely fair-minded and impartial, swayed neither by prejudice nor sympathy, by personal likes nor dislikes toward anybody involved in the case, but simply to judge the true meaning of the evidence fairly and impartially.

And the second important point is: Your judgment must be based on the evidence that has been presented in the course of the case. You may not go beyond the evidence by speculating or guessing what other things might also be true that were not shown, but your responsibility is to resolve the issues so far as you can by your consideration of the evidence that has been presented. Your conclusions should be those that the evidence directs you to.

a

05:36 10

05:36 20

1

2

1

5

6 7

8

9

05:37 10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

05:38 20

21

22

23

24

25

If there should be issues your thinking about and the evidence is insufficient or inconclusive as to those so that you're not able to draw a firm conclusion, then you have to leave the conclusion undrawn. You may draw those conclusions that the evidence supports and leads your minds to.

Now, I'm going to talk a little bit more about the evidence in a minute, but let me first remind you what is not I told you at the beginning that the lawyers' evidence. summaries of the evidence, both before the case, in their openings, when they're predicting what the evidence will be, and now in the closings, when they try to recall it for you, those summaries are not part of the evidence. They are an attempt to marshall the evidence for you so you'll understand it, obviously, in a way consistent with their view of the case. But to the extent you're collective appreciation of the evidence differs in any way from the way the lawyers have argued it or predicted it, it's your collective appreciation that controls, not what the lawyers say the evidence is. the lawyers say cannot add to or subtract from the evidence. You have heard the evidence, and it's your judgment on that that matters.

I told you at the beginning, and you've seen it happen, that I would be presiding over the questions of evidence as they've come out, ruling on evidence, to admit or exclude it. I remind you that there's no significance for your

purposes from any of the rulings either admitting or excluding evidence. Those considerations are wholly separate from the kinds of decisions you'll have to make, and you should find no significance to the evidence rulings.

05:39 20

05:39 10

I've reminded you already this morning that the Indictment is not evidence. It's simply a frame so you can see what the proposed charges are that you have to test against the evidence in the case.

Now, some of the things that are evidence. You have a large number of exhibits in the case in a variety of forms.

Some are documents; some are audio recordings; some are videos; some are pictures. You will have access to all the exhibits that have been admitted in evidence, and you may consider those exhibits and give them whatever weight, value or significance you think they are fairly entitled to receive. The judgment is entirely yours.

We are now able to have the exhibits presentable to you in a digital form. You have no doubt seen the screen on the wall in the jury room. Now you're going to get to use it. It's a new system. This is actually, in this courtroom, the second time we've used it, maybe the third. It's called the Jury Evidence Recording System. And the parties put all their exhibits in digital form into a drive, and it's fed into the monitor.

You will have complete control over it. When you

activate the touch screen when you go in, you'll see a prompt for a tutorial. There's about a four-minute tutorial that teaches you how to use it. It's very simple. I'm sure you'll know. I don't know if any of you have iPads or Tablets, but it's very much like the using of one of those, and you can scroll through things and zoom in and so on and so forth. You have complete control. There is an index. You will also have a paper index that will give you a listing of the exhibits. And so you'll have the exhibits in that form, and you can look at them in that way.

05:40 10

05:41 20

We will also give you binders which have the paper exhibits, the documents, as opposed to the videos and so on, in the binders if you find it more convenient to resort to the paper files rather than the video files. But you'll have either, and you can use either as you see fit.

Now, let me remind you that some of the exhibits, particularly some of the chats, were admitted under a limitation that they could be considered as evidence that a particular event occurred, for example, that someone said a particular thing on an occasion, but not as evidence of the truth of any affirmative assertion contained in it.

So to illustrate that again, evidence that a person said, "I'm unhappy," for example, under this limitation could be used to consider the fact that the person said she was unhappy but not necessarily to prove the fact that she was, in

fact, unhappy. So it's the event of the saying rather than the truth of the assertion. And I remind you of that limitation. You may have made notes of it when I made it, and I ask you to observe that.

05:42 10

05:42 20

You have some audio recordings. You'll recall the audio were played here, and you had some transcripts that helped you follow the audio. I told you then, and I remind you, that it's the recordings themselves that are the exhibits. So you will have -- insofar as the recordings are in English, you will have only the audio. You will not have the transcripts in the jury room because those are not part of the exhibits. There are some audio recordings which include Arabic, which has to be translated, and we'll give you transcripts of those so that you can have an idea what the Arabic says, but only in that limited way will you have transcripts.

And, of course, in addition to the exhibits and the recordings and so on, you have the testimony of the witnesses who appeared here in the courtroom to answer the questions that were put to them. You ought to give the testimony of each witness whatever weight, whatever value or significance, in your judgment, it is fairly entitled to receive.

With respect to each witness, you should think about the testimony and decide how much value or meaning it ought to have to fair-minded people like yourselves who are looking for the truth. You may find, as you think about the evidence from any particular witness that you find credible, reliable, meaningful just about everything the witness has said, perhaps just about nothing the witness has said or perhaps something in between. Maybe there are some things from the same witness you find credible and reliable and other things from that witness you are more skeptical or doubtful of. There's no automatic rule. You don't have to accept any given witness' testimony in total or reject it in total. You should think about the testimony itself and accept what is meaningful and reliable and reject what is not.

05:43 10

05:44 20

Let me suggest that some principle considerations in evaluating witnesses' testimony involve three aspects: perception, memory and narration.

Perception. How good were the witness' observations or perceptions in the first place? What were the circumstances under which the witness participated in things, observed things and so on? And how did those circumstances affect, if they did, the witness' ability to later on reliably tell you what had happened?

Memory. How accurate and reliable is the witness' recollection of events? People have varying abilities to remember things accurately and to recall them, and you may take that into account. Sometimes the way things happen, the circumstances surrounding an event may affect the ability of

people to remember things accurately and reliably. For example, sudden, unexpected events may be perceived and remembered in a different way from events that unfolded in an orderly and logical pace.

05:45 10

05:45 20

Narration. How reliable, how accurate, is the witness in narrating or telling here in the courtroom what happened?

Is the testimony truthful? Is it complete? Is the witness careful in describing things? Is the witness, him or herself, confident or uncertain about the testimony? Is the witness' testimony consistent with itself or does it vary?

Now, sometimes when a witness testifies to something here in a courtroom in the course of the trial, the witness will be asked whether on some prior occasion the witness hadn't said something different or inconsistent with the trial testimony. If you conclude that a witness had said inconsistent things here and elsewhere, you can -- you may consider what implication that inconsistency has for your acceptance of the witness' testimony. For example, you might conclude that the witness is not reliable because the witness' testimony varies from time to time.

Keep in mind, however, that it is unlikely that a person will always say the same thing in exactly the same way on different occasions. And if you find an inconsistency, you should ask yourself whether it is a significant one or an insignificant one. Does it really relate to a matter of

importance or to a relatively unimportant detail? But you may take account of variance or inconsistency in witnesses' testimony in assessing not only the particular point of inconsistency but the entire testimony by the witness.

You may also take into account any partiality or bias that a witness might have toward one side or the other. Does the witness have any reason, motive, interest, in the outcome of the case or anything else that would lead the witness to favor one side or the other in the testimony?

Now, a tendency to favor one side or the other might be deliberate, an intentional effort to favor one side, or it might be unconscious, arising out of some affiliation or affinity with one side or the other. Again, such tendencies could affect the reliability of the testimony, and you ought to consider whether there has been any such effect with respect to the testimony you've heard.

Again, keep in mind that in every case there are people who have an association or connection with one side or the other, and it's not automatic, of course, that people must, therefore, be distrusted. But potential bias or partiality, conscious or unconscious, by a witness is a factor you can think about in evaluating the evidence.

Now, there has been testimony, as you've heard in the closing statements, from a witness, Mr. Maldonado, who was convicted of a crime after pleading guilty pursuant to a plea

05:46 10

05:47 20

agreement that he entered into with the government. That agreement is in evidence for you to review. You've heard that the government made certain concessions to the witness in return for his entry of a guilty plea, such as not bringing other charges that might have been brought and agreeing to a sentence that was perhaps lower than what might otherwise have been imposed. There was also evidence the witness might have been given other consideration by the government, such as with respect to his place of incarceration or other matters.

It's legitimate for the government to enter into plea agreements of this kind, and you may accept and rely on the testimony of a person who testifies after entering into such an agreement and base your conviction in substantial part on acceptance of that testimony if you decide that you are convinced by it and it warrants conviction.

However, you should bear in mind that such a witness who has entered into an agreement with the government in return for a reduced charge or a lower sentence may have a motive to tell the government what he thinks it wants to hear. And, accordingly, you should consider such evidence with great care and caution.

After your careful and cautious consideration of the evidence, you may decide it is not reliable and reject it, or you may decide it is reliable and accept it, in whole or in part. That judgment is yours.

05:48 10

05:48 20

-

05:49 10

05:50 20

You've also heard testimony from witnesses who have been granted immunity from the use of their testimony at the government's request in order to have their testimony available here at trial. An order of immunity provides that no testimony given here by the witness may subsequently be used against him in any criminal prosecution.

Again, the government is permitted to seek immunity orders in order to obtain testimony from witnesses who may themselves be susceptible to possible criminal prosecution.

Again, the witness -- the testimony of a witness who has been given immunity should be examined by you with greater care than the testimony of ordinary witnesses. You should scrutinize it closely to determine whether or not it is colored in a way to advance the witness' own interests rather than simply to tell the truth. Again, after your careful assessment of such evidence, you may reject it or accept it, a judgment left entirely to you.

Some evidence in this case was obtained by means of various investigative techniques such as searches, electronic interceptions, consensual recordings. The government is permitted to use such investigative techniques and the use is regulated by strict procedures of advanced judicial approval. If the techniques had been improperly used, the evidence would not have been permitted to have been presented in the case.

You ought to consider the evidence from each witness

not only by itself, in isolation, as if that witness were the only person to testify, but in the context of all the evidence you've heard. Don't break the evidence into such small pieces that it becomes artificial in a sense. Think of the bulk of the evidence, and as you examine pieces of it, think of how it relates to other pieces of the evidence.

05:51 20

05:51 10

For example, you might see -- might be testimony, might be something else. There's a piece of evidence that originally you're a bit skeptical of. Then you might hear other evidence that leads you to reexamine what your initial impression of what the evidence first was, and you begin to trust it more. The opposite might happen, of course, too. You might accept something that sounds pretty good at first. Then as you hear more from other pieces of evidence, you might begin to doubt what you first had accepted. So, again, think of the evidence sensibly as a whole and make sound judgments about it.

You may make inferences from the evidence. We say that a fact in a case like this can be proved by either two kinds of evidence: direct evidence of the fact or circumstantial evidence of the fact. Direct evidence is when there is a piece of evidence which, if accepted, tends directly itself to prove the proposition. Often, it's simply an assertion, but it could be many things.

Suppose it's a simple assertion. Suppose somebody said, "It's raining out." You can decide whether the person

had any basis for knowing what the weather was like outside, whether they could be trusted to tell you accurately what the weather was. But if it passes those kinds of tests of reliability, then you could accept it and believe, as a result of accepting it, that it was raining out. That would be directly proving the proposition.

05:53 20

05:52 10

Now, suppose instead of somebody saying what the weather is, somebody came into the courtroom now wearing a raincoat that was all wet and folding up an umbrella as they shook the drops off the umbrella. There would be no direct assertion about what the weather was, but you had some data, some information from which you might draw an inference because in your common experience wet raincoats and umbrellas is consistent with a weather pattern that is rain. And so you might say, Aha, based on that, I infer or conclude that it's raining out.

An inference is simply a conclusion that you might draw from available information that you have found to be reliable. I point that out because sometimes you'll hear people say, "That's just circumstantial evidence. That doesn't prove anything." That goes too far because circumstantial evidence can prove things if properly used. And if you think about it, we probably rely on circumstantial evidence routinely through the day. You walk into the kitchen and see the tea kettle steaming on the stove. You know enough not to put your

finger on the burner because you've drawn an inference about what's going on there.

05:53 10

05:54 20

So you can use it. You must be careful that the inferences that you would draw are those that are generally supported by the information that you're basing the inference on. An inference or proof by circumstantial evidence or inferring cannot be an excuse for guessing or speculating. And if there are alternate inferences that are available, say there are some facts that might mean this or they might mean that, you can't just pick one. You have to be persuaded that there is an inference that is superior to other inferences based on the data and information you have.

And, of course, to the extent that you rely in a criminal case on inference by circumstantial evidence, in the end, your conclusions still must be those that convince you beyond a reasonable doubt.

As I reminded you at the outset of the trial, the defendant is presumed to be innocent of the crimes he is charged with unless and until the government has proved by the evidence that he is guilty and proved that beyond a reasonable doubt. The burden of proof rests with the government. A defendant assumes no burden to prove that he is innocent.

A defendant in a criminal case has a right guaranteed by the Bill of Rights in our Constitution to choose not to testify in the case. There may be many reasons why a defendant would choose to invoke and exercise that right. You may not under any circumstances draw any inference or presumption against a defendant from his decision to invoke that right and decline to testify. You should not discuss the matter. You are to decide the issues in the case solely from your consideration of the evidence that has been given in the case.

05:56 20

05:55 10

A defendant is, of course, entitled to present evidence other than his own testimony. It is important for you to keep in mind, however, that by presenting evidence, a defendant does not assume any burden or obligation to prove that he's not guilty or, to put it more colloquially, to explain things.

A defendant's evidence is subject to the same standards of scrutiny and evaluation that you give to all evidence, but the burden of proof never shifts from the government. The question is never which side has convinced me, but, rather, has the government convinced me beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty? If the answer to that question is yes, the government is entitled to your verdict of conviction. If the answer is no, then the defendant is entitled to be and must be acquitted.

The burden placed upon the government to prove a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt is a strict and heavy burden, but it is not an impossible one. It does not require the government to prove a defendant's guilt beyond all

possible, hypothetical or speculative doubt. There are probably very few, if any, things in human affairs that can be proved to absolute certainty, and the law does not require that.

05:57 10

05:57 20

But the evidence must exclude in your minds any reasonable doubt about the defendant's guilt of the crime he is accused of. A reasonable doubt may arise from the evidence produced or from a lack of evidence. If you conclude the evidence may reasonably permit either of two conclusions with respect to a particular charge -- one, that the defendant is guilty as charged and the other that the defendant is not guilty -- then you must in that case find him not guilty.

Reasonable doubt exists when, after you've considered, compared, and weighed all the evidence, using your reason and your common sense, you cannot say that you have a settled conviction that the charge is true. Conversely, we say that a fact is proved beyond a reasonable doubt if, after careful consideration of all the evidence, you are left with a settled conviction that the charge is true. A reasonable doubt is not speculation or supposition or suspicion. It is not an excuse to avoid an unpleasant duty. And it is not sympathy.

While the law does not require proof that overcomes every conceivable or possible doubt, it is not enough for the government to show the defendant's guilt is probable or likely even if it seems a strong probability. The government must

establish each element of an offense charged by proof that convinces you and leaves you with no reasonable doubt and thus satisfies you that you can, consistently with your oath as jurors, base your verdict on it. Again, if you are so convinced, then it is your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If, on the other hand, you have a reasonable doubt about whether the defendant is guilty of the crime charged, you must give the defendant the benefit of that doubt and find him not guilty.

Your verdict must be a unanimous one, as I've said before, whether it is guilty or not guilty. And where there are alternate theories of conviction under a count, you must be unanimous as to the theory on which you base the verdict.

Let me just see counsel at the side.

(SIDEBAR CONFERENCE AS FOLLOWS:

05:58 10

05:59 20

THE COURT: This is your opportunity. This is an opportunity.

MR. CARNEY: I want to take full advantage. I object to the Court, on Count 1, not limiting the law as to how it existed on January 1, 2001. And I would make the same objection to Count 2.

As to Count 3, I object that the Court did not limit the law to how it existed on April 1, 2002.

I object to the Court not giving an instruction on the meaning of the phrase "in coordination with" and "FTO" or

"terrorists."

I object to the Court not giving our instructions on the First Amendment, including Proposed Instructions No. 5, 6 or 7.

I object, in regard to Proposed Instruction No. 8 regarding murder, that the Court did not include defense of another.

I object to Proposed Instruction -- in regard to Proposed Instruction 9 and 10 regarding false statement or false statements, that the Court did not instruct on false statements, that they had to involve or were intended to involve -- were intended to promote, rather, the federal crime of terrorism.

I object that the Court did not give my supplemental proposed instruction that the government has a burden of proving that the defendant did not act as an independent advocate.

Finally, your Honor, I object that the Court did not give the version of the special verdict slip that we proposed or something comparable.

THE COURT: Okay. I think we thoroughly covered those matters. You call them again to my attention, but I reaffirm the rulings I gave earlier.

MR. CARNEY: I understand. Your Honor has said in the -- noted in the past that counsel's obligated to do this.

06:00 10

06:00 20

```
1
                  THE COURT: I believe that's the case.
     2
                  MR. CARNEY: And so with respect, I'm doing it.
     3
                  THE COURT: Okay.
                  MR. CARNEY: And I rest on any arguments I said
     4
     5
         earlier.
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Nothing from the government.
     7
         to clarify one thing, that at the charging conference counsel
         had cited to two amendments, 2001 and 2004 amendments, but the
         dates that you just said don't necessarily comport to that.
06:01 10
         Just for clarification of the record, can we establish whether
    11
         it was kind of a variation of the same theme? I don't recall a
    12
         specific request for those instructions before.
    13
                  THE COURT: Go ahead. It's your point.
    14
                  MR. CARNEY: What I'm suggesting is that the date be
         either the first date -- rather, the first possible date of the
    15
         conspiracy but in every instance prior to the amendment of the
    16
         law.
    17
    18
                  And I used in one instance, I believe, where the
    19
         government alleged that the conspiracy began on or about the
06:02 20
         spring of maybe 2002, that I interpret the first day of spring
    21
         as being April 1st. So okay. It is April 1st.
                                                           That's what
    22
         I'm saying.
    23
                  THE COURT: No. It's March 21st.
    24
                  MR. CARNEY: Is it really? I don't think it makes a
    25
         difference.
```

```
1
                  THE COURT: Two things as long as you're here.
         now, I'm sure that the first part of my instructions have been
     2
         transcribed and so on. The second part will take awhile.
         don't know whether you have any views as to whether it's okay
     5
         to send the first part in while we wait for the second part, or
         do you want to wait until they're all finished and send them
     7
         both together?
                  MR. CARNEY: The defense will leave it completely up
         to you.
06:03 10
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Might as well give them what's
    11
         available now.
    12
                  THE COURT: They are so topically distinct. Some
    13
         people prefer the whole thing to go all at once.
    14
                  MR. CARNEY: Whatever way your Honor thinks is best,
    15
         we agree with.
                  THE COURT: I will probably keep the jury here until
    16
         about 4:30.
    17
    18
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Do you bring them back in the courtroom
    19
         at the end of the day?
06:03 20
                  THE COURT: Yes. And my practice is to assemble every
         day in the morning, at 9, record that they've all returned, ask
    21
    22
         them if they have obeyed the instruction to avoid discussion
    23
         and ask them to continue on.
    24
                  MR. CARNEY: Just while we're here, if counsel is
    25
         within 15 minutes of the courthouse --
```

THE COURT: That's fine. If you can be here within 15 minutes, that's fine.

MR. CARNEY: I think both of us are not physically -THE COURT: All right. We'll finish up then. I think
you know who the alternates are.

. . END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE.)

06:04 20

06:04 10

THE COURT: Now, jurors, just a few final comments. I won't and -- I guess I can't, and I won't, tell you how to actually conduct your deliberations. That's something for you to settle among yourselves including the selection of a person to act as foreman of the jury. I leave that to jurors. You've spent a lot of time with each other now. Maybe you have someone in mind who has good executive ability and could preside over the deliberations. That person really does that, I guess, makes things orderly, also will sign the verdict slip when you've reached a unanimous verdict as to the various counts. The foreman will also have the responsibility to communicate with us, if it's necessary, to pose a question pertaining to the law that applies.

I've given you the instructions. You will have a transcript of what I've said to you, and it may not be necessary for you to ask any questions. I hope it's clear enough that you don't have to. Sometimes it happens that the jury is a little uncertain about a particular principle of law and wants clarification. If that happens, you'll see there are

some slips in there that the foreman can write out the question, and we'll try to answer it.

06:05 10

06:06 20

We cannot and will not answer questions about the evidence, the meaning of the evidence, the facts of the case, or anything other than the principles of law. All those matters of evaluation of the evidence and what the facts are and what they mean are entirely your province, and we can have nothing to say about it. Jurors are sometimes tempted to ask for a little help with resolving a factual dispute they might be having. We can give you no such help. We can answer questions to clarify any point of law if you're confused about it.

The last thing before you begin to deliberate is we must separate from the fifteen of you three who are the alternate jurors. A jury, by law, must consist of 12 people. We started with 16. Unfortunately, one of the group had to --could not make it for the full length because of family circumstances. And that's what happens in a lengthy trial. We want to be sure we have 12 people at the end, so we over impanel at the beginning.

So I will now reduce the jury, as we say, from the 15 to the 12, by separating those who have been, by the method that is applicable to these matters, determined to be the alternate jurors. Those jurors will be still kept available in case something happens during the deliberations of the jury.

1 It may be necessary to call an alternate juror into service. 2 The alternate jurors are: Juror in Seat No. 6, Ms. Wong; juror in Seat No. 2, Miss Sorrento; and juror in Seat No. 3 9, Mr. McBean. If those three jurors would step out, the clerk 5 -- and I think -- the clerk will just take you outside for a moment. The rest of you now are the deliberating jury and will 7 conduct the deliberations in the case. 8 So as soon as -- now, as I said, some of the materials 9 will take a little while to be delivered to you. The 06:07 10 electronic feed should happen almost instantly. There will be 11 some paper. We want to make sure everybody's looked at it and 12 assured that it's the right thing going back. That will take a 13 minute or two before you get it. You will have all your notes 14 that you've taken, your personal notes, to use during your 15 deliberations. Be respectful of each other's note-taking abilities and memories and deliberate with a mind towards 16 hearing each other out, considering the evidence seriously as a 17 18 group and, if you can, coming to an agreement. Do you want to take them out? Jurors, if you would 19 06:08 20 now withdraw, deliberate upon the evidence, and return with 21 your verdict. 22 (The jury was excused to commence their deliberations.) 23 THE COURT: And we will be in recess. 24 (Recess taken at 2:53 p.m.)

THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and the jury.

1 (The Court and jury enter the courtroom at 4:41 p.m.) THE CLERK: Be seated. 2 3 THE COURT: All right, jurors. That's enough for today, I think. You've had a long day. You've heard a lot and 4 5 you've begun your work, so we'll call it quits for the weekend. 6 It's very important now that you avoid any discussion whatsoever, as a deliberating jury, about anything involved in 7 the case. Don't even give it any consideration. I'm sure 8 there's lots of things you can turn your attention to this 07:57 10 weekend. 11 What we will do on Monday morning is come back, as you 12 have, into the jury room. When everybody's here at nine 13 o'clock, or as soon as we can, we'll come into the courtroom, 14 record for the record that everybody's returned and is prepared to continue deliberating, and then send you back in. And at 15 that point you can resume discussion. So don't start 16 discussing as you arrive until that point on Monday morning. 17 18 So have a very pleasant weekend and we'll see you 19 Monday and continue with the deliberations, okay? 07:57 20 I just have a logistical matter with the lawyers, and 21 then we'll excuse the jury. 22 (The jury exits the courtroom at 4:43 p.m.) 23 THE COURT: I didn't particularly want to deal with 24 this this afternoon, but just as I came down they handed me a 25 note which says, "May we get testimonies from individual

```
witnesses?" I assume they mean the transcripts. So we're not
     1
     2
         going to discuss it now; I just wanted to let you know it's
     3
         here. We can talk about it Monday morning and decide what
         answer to give, all right?
     5
                  Okay. Have a good weekend. We'll see you Monday
         morning.
     7
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Your Honor, can we approach for just
     8
         one second, just very quickly.
                   (Discussion off the record.)
07:59 10
                  THE COURT: Okay. We're out of here.
    11
                  THE CLERK: We'll be in recess.
                   (The Court exits the courtroom and the proceedings
    12
    13
         adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
    14
    15
    16
    17
    18
    19
    20
    21
    22
    23
    24
    25
```

CERTIFICATE We, Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR, and Cheryl Dahlstrom, RMR, CRR, Official Reporters of the United States District Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript constitutes, to the best of our skill and ability, a true and accurate transcription of our stenotype notes taken in the matter of Criminal Action No. 09-10017-GAO-1, United States of America v. Tarek Mehanna. /s/ Marcia G. Patrisso MARCIA G. PATRISSO, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter /s/ Cheryl Dahlstrom CHERYL DAHLSTROM, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter Date: December 16, 2011

1	29:14, 30:12, 30:16, 30:19, 31:2, 33:16, 33:24, 35:2,	24-7 [1] - 84:13 24 [1] - 73:18
1 1211 - 19:16 19:18 21:2		25 [3] - 60:21, 62:25, 79:22
		252 [1] - 78:1
		253 [1] - 73:11
		25th [1] - 80:22
		26 [1] - 23:17
		27 [1] - 83:19
		28 [3] - 57:24, 58:20, 71:24
		29 [1] - 97:6
		296 [1] - 66:10
• •		2:00 [1] - 139:14
	*	2:53 [1] - 174:24
	· ·	3
		3 [15] - 19:23, 20:10,
	-	30:18, 30:19, 31:7, 32:17,
	• •	33:14, 33:18, 33:23, 34:14,
		41:18, 56:12, 56:19, 83:1,
		168:22
		30 [7] - 47:16, 86:21,
		135:19, 135:20, 135:21,
		135:22, 150:5
		301 [1] - 78:11
		303 [1] - 78:11
		31 [2] - 68:9, 77:8
		312 [7] - 4:25, 5:5, 5:10,
•		5:12, 5:15, 5:16, 6:2
		324 [6] - 4:25, 5:5, 5:10,
		5:11, 5:14, 6:2
		3510 [1] - 1:22
		38 [1] - 133:6
		39 [11] - 74:16, 75:15,
		76:13, 76:14, 106:2, 106:7,
		132:22, 132:24, 136:24,
		150:9
		A
• •		4
		4 [8] - 20:15, 34:23, 35:13,
		41:4, 41:14, 56:11, 67:11,
		73:9
		4-6-1 [1] - 7:13
		40 [5] - 3:2, 97:6, 103:24,
1st [2] - 170:21		119:4, 124:6
_	· ·	40s [1] - 45:3
2		40th [2] - 133:22, 134:5
		414 [1] - 72:24
2 1231 - 19:16 10:23		419 [1] - 71:9
20:10, 20:11, 26:12, 28:13,	2339B [1] - 21:8 24 [1] - 86:5	420 [2] - 70:5, 72:22
	1 [21] - 19:16, 19:18, 21:2, 21:12, 21:14, 24:13, 25:6, 26:4, 27:6, 28:11, 41:4, 41:13, 42:24, 56:11, 68:1, 82:22, 85:16, 129:4, 168:19, 168:20, 168:23 1,400 [1] - 99:8 10 [2] - 75:6, 169:9 1001 [1] - 36:4 101 [1] - 2:14 108 [1] - 3:4 11 [2] - 83:6, 83:14 11:25 [1] - 90:21 11:46 [1] - 90:22 11th [3] - 49:12, 55:12, 104:21 12 [11] - 45:8, 48:7, 54:15, 62:9, 77:18, 77:22, 84:11, 88:25, 173:15, 173:19, 173:22 120 [1] - 135:25 12th [1] - 55:13 13 [2] - 78:19, 84:22 139 [1] - 3:5 14 [1] - 67:3 14-year-old [3] - 105:24, 11:6, 129:19 1405 [1] - 2:11 15 [5] - 60:14, 90:15, 171:25, 172:1, 173:21 1500 [1] - 82:13 152 [1] - 3:6 15th [1] - 55:14 16 [6] - 1:18, 4:5, 38:12, 38:16, 173:16, 177:16 17 [2] - 21:3, 35:19 17th [1] - 23:23 18 [9] - 21:7, 26:14, 26:23, 27:1, 27:11, 28:18, 34:25, 36:5 1999 [1] - 144:19 1:15 [1] - 138:25 1:17 [1] - 139:13 1st [2] - 170:21	1 1 21 - 19:16, 19:18, 21:2, 21:12, 21:14, 24:13, 25:6, 26:4, 27:6, 28:11, 41:4, 41:13, 42:24, 56:11, 68:1, 82:22, 85:16, 129:4, 168:19, 168:20, 168:23 1,400 [1] - 99:8 101 [1] - 36:4 101 [1] - 2:14 186:1] - 90:21 11:26 [1] - 90:21 11:26 [1] - 90:21 11:46 [1] - 90:22 11th [3] - 49:12, 55:12, 104:21 12 [11] - 45:8, 48:7, 54:15, 62:9, 77:18, 77:22, 84:11, 88:25, 173:15, 173:19, 173:22 120 [1] - 135:25 12th [1] - 55:13 13 [2] - 78:19, 84:22 139 [1] - 2:13 152 [1] - 80:14 [1] - 83:6, 13:14 [1] - 67:3 14-year-old [3] - 105:24, 111:6, 129:19 1405 [1] - 60:14, 90:15, 171:25, 172:1, 173:21 15 [6] - 60:14, 90:15, 171:25, 172:1, 173:21 15 [6] - 60:14, 90:15, 171:25, 172:1, 173:21 15 [6] - 60:14, 90:15, 171:25, 172:1, 173:21 15 [6] - 60:14, 90:15, 171:25, 172:1, 173:21 15 [6] - 60:14, 90:15, 171:25, 172:1, 173:21 15 [6] - 60:14, 26:23, 27:1, 27:11, 28:18, 34:25, 36:5 1999 [1] - 144:19 1:15 [7] - 138:25 1:17 [7] - 138:25 1:17 [7] - 139:13 1st [2] - 170:21 2 2 2 339 A [2] - 26:14, 27:11 2339 A [2] - 2

455 [3] - 6:21, 7:9, 7:11
457 [3] - 6:21, 7:9, 7:11
458 [1] - 45:9
459 [3] - 6:22, 7:9, 7:11
461 [2] - 6:22, 7:9
476 [1] - 55:20
477 [1] - 55:20
4:30 [1] - 171:17
4:41 [1] - 175:1
4:43 [1] - 175:22
4:45 [1] - 176:13
4th [1] - 55:11

5

5[11] - 20:17, 20:21, 35:14, 38:8, 39:6, 41:12, 46:6, 56:5, 56:6, 79:8, 169:3 **50**[1] - 76:20 **50/50**[2] - 64:1, 143:19 **500**[1] - 118:4 **523**[1] - 76:12 **536**[1] - 76:16 **562**[1] - 60:14

6

6 [10] - 20:21, 20:22, 38:10, 38:11, 39:4, 41:11, 77:20, 81:11, 169:3, 174:2 617 [2] - 1:23, 67:5 629 [1] - 67:2 655 [2] - 57:24, 58:20 664 [1] - 59:15 685 [1] - 71:23 690 [1] - 47:16 696 [1] - 47:23

7

7 [12] - 3:6, 20:21, 20:22, 38:10, 38:15, 39:5, 41:11, 46:5, 59:15, 77:19, 79:6, 169:4
72 [1] - 74:3
737-8728 [1] - 1:23
742 [1] - 77:25
777 [1] - 86:18
778 [2] - 86:18
779 [1] - 86:18

799 [1] - 57:8

8 [3] - 73:21, 74:4, 169:5 **800** [1] - 2:14

9

9 [6] - 1:16, 70:5, 76:13, 169:9, 171:21, 174:4

9/11 [8] - 50:11, 50:12, 67:1, 67:2, 67:8, 110:23, 143:15, 150:2

91 [1] - 3:3

9200 [1] - 2:4

950 [1] - 2:7

956 [8] - 26:23, 28:13, 28:18, 29:14, 30:25, 34:25, 35:1, 35:4

9:16 [2] - 1:18, 4:12

9:22 [1] - 7:20

Α

a.m [5] - 1:18, 4:12, 7:20, 90:21, 90:22 a/k/a [4] - 43:9, 46:8, 73:1 abandoned [1] - 88:22 ABC [1] - 105:22 **Abdullah** [1] - 104:18 abetting [7] - 32:18, 32:23, 33:1, 33:11, 33:12, 34:17, 56:20 abilities [2] - 158:22, 174:16 ability [5] - 102:24, 158:19, 158:25, 172:13, 177:6 able [11] - 5:8, 57:18, 118:16, 120:20, 121:2, 123:5, 130:3, 138:23, 145:3, 154:3, 155:17 Aboo [3] - 72:23, 72:25, Aboubakr [18] - 52:10, 58:5, 58:10, 66:17, 66:20, 66:23, 67:1, 67:4, 67:6, 80:11, 80:12, 80:17, 80:18, 113:18, 116:25, 123:6, 123:12

Abousamra [105] - 19:20, 19:24, 20:16, 20:17, 21:5, 26:15, 35:15, 40:24, 41:15, 43:11, 43:15, 43:17, 43:21, 43:22, 44:1, 44:3, 44:5, 44:9. 44:19. 44:20. 45:24. 46:18. 50:19. 50:24. 51:2. 51:3. 51:5. 51:6. 51:7. 51:11, 51:19, 53:7, 53:18, 53:21, 54:1, 54:5, 54:21, 55:10, 55:13, 55:17, 55:20, 55:21, 56:15, 56:17, 56:20, 56:25, 57:2, 57:20, 57:23, 59:1, 59:22, 60:2, 60:3, 61:8, 61:9, 61:23, 61:24, 61:25, 63:12, 64:19, 64:21, 64:24, 65:2, 71:2, 73:25, 78:21, 79:2, 79:3, 79:9, 82:6, 84:9, 85:14, 85:18, 85:19, 85:21, 85:22, 85:24, 86:3, 86:5, 86:8, 88:24, 114:15, 115:10, 115:11, 115:17, 115:19, 118:3, 125:4, 126:8, 126:19, 127:8, 127:9, 128:8, 128:20, 140:12, 142:1, 142:2, 142:20, 143:18, 143:25, 150:17, 151:3 Abousamra's [6] - 50:23, 54:12, 56:13, 59:25, 82:5,

86:8 absenting [1] - 142:16 absolute [2] - 70:3, 167:3 Absolutely [1] - 100:7 absorb [1] - 39:14 **Abu** [45] - 43:9, 44:10, 45:16, 45:18, 46:8, 47:8, 48:12, 49:1, 49:3, 50:6, 50:14, 59:13, 59:15, 59:16, 59:20, 60:9, 60:10, 60:15, 66:10, 68:2, 68:4, 73:20, 74:24, 74:25, 75:3, 75:14, 76:12, 76:20, 77:3, 83:7, 84:21, 85:17, 85:18, 85:22, 86:2, 89:6, 105:23, 111:9, 143:1, 145:17, 149:21 **ABU** [2] - 76:22, 77:1 **Abul** [2] - 44:22, 48:18 Abuzahra [53] - 45:8, 45:10, 45:11, 45:22, 46:1, 46:13, 46:15, 46:21, 47:5, 48:6, 48:7, 48:16, 48:24, 49:9, 51:1, 51:13, 53:5, 53:13, 54:7, 54:15, 56:16,

60:21, 62:10, 62:23, 63:12, 64:6, 64:19, 64:22, 64:24, 71:3, 78:20, 79:21, 79:22, 80:8, 80:22, 81:12, 88:25, 89:7, 98:6, 114:14, 115:12, 115:16, 116:22, 117:14, 117:15, 117:21, 118:1, 118:19. 119:3. 121:3. 127:8, 127:10, 140:13 **ABUZAHRA** [17] - 45:13, 45:19, 50:2, 61:1, 61:5, 61:11, 62:1, 62:11, 62:17, 63:1, 63:3, 63:5, 63:14, 63:19, 63:22, 89:9, 89:21 Abuzahra's [1] - 53:1 academically [1] - 102:21 academics [1] - 103:9 Academy [1] - 95:8 accept [12] - 8:23, 9:2, 134:11, 137:21, 137:22, 158:8, 158:10, 161:11, 161:24, 162:16, 163:13, acceptable [1] - 100:11 acceptance [2] - 159:18, 161:14 accepted [5] - 31:5, 31:6, 73:8, 163:15, 163:21 accepting [1] - 164:5 access [1] - 155:12 accident [2] - 17:24, 22:22 accommodates [1] - 24:17 accompanying [2] -126:19, 127:22 accomplish [7] - 14:12, 14:18, 16:2, 16:11, 30:1, 30:2, 67:15 accomplished [2] - 18:4, 37:25 accomplishing [2] -16:15, 29:11 **accordance** [1] - 11:16 according [4] - 24:16, 52:3, 54:3, 66:15 accordingly [1] - 161:20 account [3] - 158:24, 160:2, 160:5 accountable [1] - 147:20 accurate [5] - 6:10, 8:14, 158:21, 159:5, 177:7

accurately [5] - 8:18,

105:13, 158:23, 159:1,

164:2

accursed [1] - 84:24 accused [2] - 26:12, 167:7 achieve [9] - 15:7, 21:18, 26:10, 28:22, 29:4, 29:21, 35:7, 35:25, 54:13 achieved [1] - 14:21 achieving [2] - 29:12, 36:1 acknowledged [1] - 77:23 acquitted [1] - 166:21 act [32] - 10:1, 13:20, 14:12, 16:17, 18:23, 18:25, 19:2, 23:7, 24:3, 28:25, 29:5, 29:7, 29:8, 29:9, 29:11, 29:25, 30:2, 30:8, 31:22, 31:25, 32:2, 34:4, 34:10, 35:10, 36:1, 37:14, 82:12, 169:16, 172:11 acted [1] - 43:16 acting [4] - 24:7, 24:11, 96:7, 135:1 **Action** [2] - 1:6, 177:8 action [2] - 29:9, 100:19 actions [7] - 36:24, 41:2, 41:6, 41:23, 82:13, 83:9, 101:4 activate [1] - 156:1 active [1] - 150:10 actively [2] - 140:20, 151.18 activities [4] - 38:14, 41:5, 144:6, 147:2 activity [7] - 22:14, 23:4, 24:23, 25:1, 25:19, 25:23 acts [16] - 9:25, 10:2, 13:20, 13:23, 15:22, 17:22, 17:23, 18:15, 22:20, 32:20, 32:22, 37:14, 37:24, 105:18, 146:25 actual [3] - 14:17, 16:1, 22:22 add [2] - 5:11, 154:19 addition [5] - 31:13, 67:24, 83:7, 108:8, 157:17 additional [5] - 8:20, 9:2, 37:9. 59:18. 59:24 additionally [1] - 22:16 address [2] - 13:14, 140:2 addressed [1] - 133:11 adjourned [1] - 176:13 administrator [2] - 69:13, 74:24 admiration [2] - 97:11,

99:14

admired [3] - 42:15, 84:13, 84:18 admission [1] - 43:24 admit [1] - 154:24 admits [3] - 70:24, 83:8, 122:1 admitted [16] - 6:19, 7:10, 49:16, 56:23, 57:2, 66:23, 74:5, 75:22, 78:5, 80:8, 80:15, 80:21, 120:25, 123:20, 155:13, 156:17 admitting [4] - 54:20, 120:7. 155:1 adopted [1] - 104:14 advance [7] - 24:4, 41:13, 46:9, 56:2, 79:5, 124:24, 162:14 advanced [1] - 162:22 advancing [1] - 24:9 advantage [1] - 168:18 advertise [1] - 76:3 advertising [2] - 65:18 advice [7] - 23:1, 23:12, 23:16, 113:9, 134:15 advocacy [4] - 24:19, 25:2, 137:14, 141:25 advocate [3] - 107:11, 137:23 169:17 advocating [2] - 137:15, 137:17 **affairs** [1] - 167:2 affect [7] - 37:20, 130:11, 131:16, 140:6, 158:18, 158:25, 160:14 affected [1] - 111:2 affecting [1] - 36:22 affiliates [2] - 66:2, 76:5 **affiliation** [1] - 160:12 affinity [1] - 160:13 **Afghan** [5] - 44:6, 44:12, 44:17, 44:25, 45:1 **Afghanistan** [12] - 44:4, 44:13, 47:18, 60:1, 62:5, 85:20, 88:23, 98:22, 101:7, 102:23, 104:17, 106:6 afraid [3] - 97:3, 104:21, 135:9 afternoon [1] - 175:24 afterwards [2] - 50:4, 91:9 age [1] - 129:22 agency's [1] - 135:17 agent [2] - 101:24, 131:5 Agent [1] - 81:19

agents [4] - 25:22, 36:24, 46:7, 131:6 ages [1] - 99:12 ago [3] - 47:18, 110:17, 110:18 agree [24] - 12:11, 13:24, 25:8, 25:12, 25:13, 28:6, 70:9, 71:14, 83:10, 83:12, 83:16, 83:20, 83:21, 85:4, 99:16, 99:24, 100:2, 100:3, 100:12, 100:17, 100:19, 108:14, 148:4, 171:15 agreed [23] - 6:10, 15:21, 16:10, 16:13, 16:14, 42:2, 43:5, 46:6, 65:4, 65:8, 67:22, 82:19, 82:20, 82:21, 82:25, 90:10, 90:12, 98:21, 99:14, 120:18, 127:24, 128:4, 148:18 agreeing [3] - 90:10, 146:22, 161:5 agreement [40] - 14:11, 14:17, 14:23, 14:24, 15:3, 15:4, 15:6, 15:8, 15:9, 15:18. 16:1. 16:4. 16:7. 16:8, 16:20, 16:22, 17:1, 17:8, 18:2, 26:6, 26:10, 28:8, 42:2, 43:1, 56:4, 56:15, 72:13, 72:15, 72:16, 73:15, 75:12, 82:13, 100:5, 127:7, 161:1, 161:2, 161:13, 161:17, 174:18 agreements [2] - 101:3, 161:11 agrees [1] - 136:23 **Aha** [1] - 164:15 ahead [1] - 170:13 Ahmad [20] - 19:20, 40:24, 43:11, 43:21, 58:3, 59:13, 60:13, 61:6, 61:16, 61:18, 61:19, 61:21, 62:1, 64:8, 64:9, 64:11, 64:16, 73:22, 78:21, 85:10 Ahmed [1] - 43:17 aid [1] - 82:6 aided [1] - 41:20 Aided [1] - 1:24 aiding [7] - 32:17, 32:23, 33:1, 33:11, 34:17, 56:20 aids [4] - 5:3, 5:7, 56:22, 71:20 Air [2] - 119:15, 119:17 aircraft [1] - 26:2

Airlines [1] - 86:2 Airport [1] - 42:25 airport [1] - 62:14 **AK-47** [2] - 49:19, 143:14 Akhee [3] - 74:6, 83:14, al [144] - 19:22, 21:6, 22:11, 22:12, 22:14, 24:13, 25:16, 25:17, 26:8, 41:8, 42:16, 45:2, 45:7, 47:25, 48:1, 48:4, 48:5, 49:20, 51:6, 51:15, 52:6, 52:11, 52:13, 59:18, 59:22, 59:24, 60:11, 65:13, 65:19, 65:25, 66:2, 66:8, 66:14, 67:24, 68:11, 68:15, 69:3, 69:6, 69:8, 69:9, 69:11, 69:16, 69:18, 71:21, 72:15, 72:23, 73:5, 73:9, 73:14, 73:15, 73:20, 73:21, 74:12, 74:17, 74:19, 74:21, 74:24, 75:4, 75:9, 75:10, 75:11, 75:12, 75:18, 75:22, 76:4, 76:5, 77:6, 82:18, 82:20, 82:23, 82:24, 83:4, 83:10, 83:11, 84:14. 84:20. 88:1. 88:18. 90:11, 93:6, 94:13, 94:22, 94:24, 94:25, 96:18, 96:20, 97:8, 99:6, 99:21, 100:1, 101:12, 103:5, 103:10, 105:19, 105:25, 106:8, 106:9, 106:12, 106:21, 106:25, 107:4, 107:8, 118:4, 122:18, 122:20, 124:21, 124:22, 124:23, 124:24, 124:25, 128:4, 132:3, 132:9, 132:11, 133:9, 134:14, 134:18, 135:13, 135:14, 135:19, 135:23, 136:21, 136:22, 136:23, 143:9, 144:12, 144:14, 145:8, 145:9, 145:10, 145:25, 146:6, 146:9, 146:14, 146:15, 146:16, 146:18, 146:23, 147:4, 149:9, 149:10 **Al** [1] - 106:20 al-Awlaki [3] - 68:11, 84:14, 149:10 al-Doctoor [2] - 75:9, 75:11 al-Fadl [2] - 59:22, 60:11 al-Fadl's [2] - 59:18, 59:24

airline [1] - 78:13

al-Jihad [1] - 48:1 al-Muraabit [1] - 74:24 al-Mustafa [1] - 51:15 al-Muwahid [1] - 72:23 al-Sanaan [1] - 74:21 Al-Sanam [1] - 106:20 al-Zarqawi [1] - 73:20 al-Zawahiri [1] - 75:11 **Ali** [9] - 58:4, 66:17, 113:18, 116:25, 117:2, 117:4, 123:6, 123:11 alike [1] - 86:22 Allah [2] - 87:9, 96:5 allegation [3] - 113:5, 113:11, 113:14 allegations [4] - 14:4, 38:11, 137:7, 140:8 allege [1] - 20:22 alleged [37] - 14:6, 15:25, 17:11, 17:13, 17:18, 17:19, 19:16, 21:12, 21:21, 24:13, 25:12, 27:6, 27:8, 27:9, 28:12, 30:2, 30:16, 30:19, 31:2, 33:24, 35:13, 35:15, 35:17, 35:21, 35:22, 36:3, 36:18, 39:4, 41:11, 46:5, 56:5, 56:10, 79:6, 79:7, 83:1, 113:7, 170:19 allegedly [1] - 72:20 alleges [5] - 25:5, 30:19, 33:16, 34:23, 38:15 allegiance [1] - 30:10 alleging [1] - 17:16 allies [1] - 99:22 allowed [3] - 108:7, 124:15, 125:5 allows [2] - 103:1, 114:10 almost 151 - 5:21, 7:25. 97:7. 132:9. 174:10 Aloke [2] - 2:2, 4:8 alone [6] - 13:19, 41:3, 61:25, 65:6, 106:24, 110:25 alternate [14] - 11:25, 27:21, 28:5, 31:3, 32:16, 34:19, 34:21, 165:8, 168:12, 173:15, 173:24, 174:1, 174:2 alternately [1] - 19:25 alternates [1] - 172:5 Aman [13] - 82:9, 98:1, 98:18, 114:8, 114:15, 114:20, 115:3, 124:15, 136:25, 146:24, 147:21,

147:25, 150:6 amazing [3] - 95:23, 95:24, 117:1 ambassador [1] - 95:9 **ambiguous** [1] - 126:12 amended [1] - 6:10 Amendment [4] - 24:15, 25:1, 137:17, 169:3 amendment [1] - 170:16 amendments [2] - 170:8 **AMERICA** [1] - 1:4 America [6] - 70:20, 70:25, 72:17, 100:25, 101:15, 177:9 American [19] - 41:1, 42:18, 43:24, 46:19, 57:1, 65:5, 70:6, 70:12, 70:19, 71:1, 71:13, 98:12, 98:21, 109:18, 129:9, 148:7, 148:8, 149:13, 151:18 Americans [12] - 50:25, 51:12, 54:2, 54:25, 55:5, 70:1, 70:10, 70:17, 88:23, 89:16, 98:19, 99:22 amount [3] - 34:11, 77:16, 103:25 amounted [1] - 31:23 analogy [1] - 132:4 anger [1] - 124:7 angry [3] - 112:13, 123:6, 123:7 answer [14] - 45:23, 52:17, 109:3, 111:15, 115:18, 126:3, 153:4, 157:19, 166:18, 166:20, 173:2, 173:3, 173:10, 176:4 answered [2] - 107:11, 148:13 **Answers** [1] - 103:7 answers [1] - 105:14 **Anwar** [3] - 68:10, 84:14, anxious [2] - 123:7, 139:5 anyway [2] - 5:23, 7:4 Apache [1] - 70:12 apartment [1] - 80:19 apolitical [1] - 96:10 apologize [2] - 90:14, 123:18 apostate [3] - 100:12, 100:15, 101:8 appear [1] - 37:25 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1

appeared [1] - 157:19 applicable [1] - 173:23 application [1] - 52:17 applied [4] - 8:15, 8:21, 9:2, 11:20 applies [4] - 8:9, 13:16, 147:7, 172:19 **apply** [10] - 8:24, 9:3, 9:4, 14:9, 19:5, 27:4, 114:15, 114:16, 142:10, 153:3 applying [3] - 11:19, 40:8, 104:24 appreciate [3] - 108:25, 121:22, 139:22 appreciated [2] - 68:19, 131:23 appreciation [2] - 154:15, 154:17 appreciative [1] - 109:12 approach [2] - 108:22, 176:7 appropriately [1] - 43:12 approval [2] - 66:22, 162:22 **April** [14] - 60:14, 73:8, 73:19, 74:9, 75:13, 76:12, 83:14, 84:23, 85:8, 85:16, 86:5, 168:23, 170:21 AQ [1] - 74:18 Arab [1] - 44:8 Arabia [1] - 72:8 Arabian [2] - 71:12, 71:21 Arabic [26] - 5:6, 5:22, 5:25, 51:14, 53:19, 54:10, 55:2, 55:3, 60:6, 60:20, 63:11, 75:1, 88:11, 95:5, 95:14, 95:17, 97:19, 99:4, 114:1, 116:3, 128:22, 134:16, 134:19, 136:18, 157:13, 157:15 Arabic-language [1] - 5:6 Arabs [1] - 99:12 archery [1] - 133:6 area [1] - 122:12 areas [1] - 9:6 argued [1] - 154:17 **ARGUMENT** [3] - 3:2, 3:3, argument [5] - 6:6, 70:17, 91:2, 91:13, 108:5 argumentative [1] arguments [4] - 39:20,

108:16, 142:8, 170:4 arise [1] - 167:7 arises [1] - 39:6 arising [1] - 160:12 arm [1] - 77:2 armed [2] - 77:5, 95:22 arms [2] - 140:20, 151:18 army [1] - 132:10 Army [4] - 103:19, 105:6, 106:15, 122:17 arrangement [1] - 120:14 arrest [1] - 125:24 arrested [1] - 125:13 arrests [1] - 85:7 arrive [1] - 175:17 arrived [1] - 55:18 arriving [1] - 55:12 artificial [1] - 163:4 **AS** [2] - 108:1, 168:15 as-Sahab [1] - 75:9 as-Sarayri [1] - 73:22 as-yet [1] - 75:1 **ASAP** [1] - 88:6 ashamed [1] - 120:2 Ashcroft [1] - 119:23 aspects [2] - 152:19, assassinate [1] - 113:1 assassination [3] - 26:1, 37:21, 123:4 assemble [1] - 171:20 assembly [1] - 65:16 assertion [6] - 36:19, 156:21, 157:2, 163:23, 163:24, 164:11 assess [5] - 105:5, 105:6, 151:23, 152:4, 152:7 assessing [4] - 142:8, 152:17, 160:3 assessment [1] - 162:15 asset [1] - 142:7 assistance [11] - 23:1, 23:12, 23:13, 23:16, 23:17, 38:19, 75:13, 76:10, 79:10, 113:9, 134:15 **Assistant** [2] - 2:3, 4:8 assisted [2] - 32:21, 43:7 associated [2] - 15:19, 15:20 association [3] - 15:23, 18:5, 160:18 assume [3] - 62:14, 166:10, 176:1

assumes [1] - 165:22 assuming [1] - 62:2 assumption [3] - 63:14, 63:23, 63:24 assumptions [3] - 63:25, 64:1, 143:19 assurances [1] - 86:14 assured [3] - 87:23, 88:1, asylum [1] - 38:2 at-Tibyan [2] - 75:15, 76:25 ate [2] - 10:20, 12:6 Atlanta [1] - 73:1 atrocities [1] - 111:11 attached [1] - 73:19 attack [7] - 71:16, 83:16, 104:25, 107:2, 114:11, 115:14, 119:11 attacked [4] - 97:2, 98:12, 101:14, 107:13 attacking [1] - 98:19 attacks [3] - 42:16, 71:4, 142:13 attaining [1] - 96:7 attempt [15] - 31:14, 31:19, 32:3, 32:7, 32:14, 40:24, 42:3, 56:2, 56:11, 72:14, 72:16, 82:5, 144:4, 154:13 attempted [7] - 30:23, 32:5, 32:15, 41:15, 44:6, 83:1, 90:11 attempting [3] - 34:16, 41:18, 56:17 attempts [2] - 27:18, 31:18 attend [5] - 50:21, 94:19, 116:2, 116:6, 128:11 attention [6] - 69:8. 100:13, 126:10, 139:22, 169:22, 175:9 attentive [1] - 152:12 **ATTORNEY** [1] - 2:2 **Attorney** [3] - 2:6, 4:9, 119:23 attorneys [1] - 105:1 Attorneys [2] - 2:3, 4:8 audience [2] - 65:22, 75:25 audio [7] - 74:19, 155:11, 157:5, 157:6, 157:7, 157:10, 157:12

Auerhahn [8] - 2:2, 4:8,

39:24, 83:23, 98:1, 106:19, 121:14, 143:10 **AUERHAHN** [7] - 3:2, 39:25, 40:2, 83:25, 171:10, 171:18, 176:7 Auerhahn's [1] - 136:6 August [1] - 74:17 authenticated [1] - 5:10 authenticates [1] - 61:7 **author** [2] - 96:25, 142:6 authored [1] - 74:17 authority [1] - 37:6 automatic [4] - 64:14. 120:4. 158:7. 160:19 available [8] - 32:17, 65:22. 152:24. 162:3. 164:18, 165:8, 171:11, 173:24 Avenue [1] - 2:7 average [1] - 119:2 avoid [3] - 167:21, 171:22, 175:6 aware [3] - 87:18, 104:9, 134:8 awareness [1] - 22:21 awful [1] - 133:14 awhile [1] - 171:3 awkward [1] - 139:21 Awlaki [3] - 68:11, 84:14, 149:10 Awlaki's [1] - 84:15 Awlaqi [1] - 68:12 Ayman [3] - 73:13, 75:11, Azzam [1] - 104:18

В

background [2] - 42:13, 126:23
bad [7] - 48:11, 49:2, 54:7, 54:15, 81:7, 93:22, 147:17
band [1] - 109:20
bandit [1] - 47:4
bandits [1] - 47:25
bank [3] - 127:20, 142:3, 142:20
bankrupt [2] - 105:20, 107:6
barracks [1] - 71:16
barraged [1] - 102:4
Base [2] - 119:15, 119:17

base [5] - 105:16, 119:20, 161:13, 168:4, 168:13 baseball [1] - 109:20 based [7] - 34:25, 103:5, 147:14, 153:12, 153:19, 164:15, 165:11 bashfully [1] - 118:12 basic.. [1] - 67:14 basing [1] - 165:5 basis [4] - 41:17, 77:20, 104:4, 164:1 **BASSIL** [8] - 2:9, 3:3, 5:13, 7:3, 90:24, 91:4, 92:9, 108:17 Bassil [8] - 2:10, 91:2, 108:2, 131:12, 131:16, 140:3, 141:19, 149:25 battle [3] - 65:14, 99:11, 128:20 battlefield [3] - 101:4, 133:13, 150:16 **BBC** [1] - 94:9 bear [6] - 104:18, 125:23, 140:4, 152:12, 161:16 beard [1] - 49:23 bears [1] - 151:24 beautiful [1] - 92:14 became [7] - 5:22, 68:3, 74:14, 98:25, 102:11, 102:14, 103:15 become [5] - 18:2, 84:2, 86:21, 104:8 becomes [1] - 163:4 bed [2] - 111:22, 147:21 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:11 began [3] - 40:14, 110:4, 170:19 begin [6] - 9:22, 72:22, 139:11, 163:11, 163:14, 173:13 beginning [6] - 93:2, 109:14, 141:14, 154:8, 154:22, 173:20 begun [1] - 175:5 behalf [5] - 25:2, 74:12, 109:7, 139:19, 145:9 Behalf [2] - 2:8, 2:15 behaved [1] - 15:19 behaves [1] - 18:15

beheaded [1] - 71:21

beheading [1] - 148:4

50:5, 50:14, 50:16, 89:5,

behind [7] - 49:19, 49:22,

134:2 belabor [1] - 109:24 beliefs [5] - 57:25, 66:23, 66:24, 137:22, 146:21 believer [1] - 128:9 believes [2] - 76:4, 97:9 belong [1] - 98:16 belonged [1] - 96:16 beloved [1] - 96:6 benefit [3] - 121:20, 121:21, 168:8 benign [3] - 65:24, 65:25, 66:4 **besmirching** [1] - 151:14 best [6] - 74:6, 78:5, 84:18, 89:23, 171:14, 177:6 bet [3] - 93:4, 110:9, 132:23 better [2] - 90:1, 104:10 between [21] - 5:2, 13:15, 13:17, 15:4, 15:24, 18:21, 21:2, 21:15, 26:7, 43:14, 66:10, 71:23, 76:20, 86:17, 98:8, 103:24, 119:4, 144:8, 145:7, 149:2, 158:5 beyond [26] - 12:12, 15:2, 21:13, 26:5, 36:12, 37:10, 42:9, 64:4, 90:8, 91:21, 92:4, 93:11, 97:14, 117:8, 117:17, 136:8, 137:4, 137:9. 138:20. 153:20. 165:16. 165:20. 166:17. 166:23, 166:25, 167:17 bias [2] - 160:5, 160:20 Big [1] - 125:4 big [3] - 81:4, 81:9, 144:7 bigger [2] - 53:1, 58:6 Bill [1] - 165:24 bin [18] - 83:5, 83:15, 83:19, 84:21, 93:6, 93:20, 96:10, 96:11, 96:12, 97:11, 99:6, 99:9, 99:15, 100:16, 107:10, 134:17, 135:13, 135:21 binders [2] - 156:11, 156:13 bit [5] - 102:16, 110:22, 124:1, 154:6, 163:9 blame [1] - 123:3 blazing [1] - 67:15 blew [1] - 93:18 blocking [1] - 136:12 blonde [1] - 45:20

blood [1] - 80:12 blow [1] - 133:2 **blue** [7] - 64:2, 64:3, 143:20, 143:21, 143:22 boarded [1] - 42:24 Bob [1] - 59:5 bodies [1] - 120:4 bodily [1] - 30:7 body [3] - 7:14, 7:16, 27:1 boils [1] - 101:11 Bomb [1] - 73:4 bomb [1] - 133:1 bomber [1] - 67:7 bombers [1] - 66:5 bombings [2] - 100:9, 101:6 book [4] - 67:5, 74:22, 76:14, 97:20 books [4] - 67:2, 69:6, 102:13. 104:14 booted [2] - 69:24, 134:12 **born** [2] - 96:24, 109:18 **Bosnia** [1] - 110:18 **boss** [2] - 92:14, 132:5 Boston [9] - 1:17, 1:23, 2:4, 2:12, 2:15, 4:5, 42:25, 55:15, 94:3 boundaries [1] - 37:24 Bowl [1] - 142:24 **box** [1] - 137:1 boxes [3] - 137:2, 137:5, 152.6 brain [1] - 70:13 branch [6] - 20:19, 35:17, 36:6, 36:17, 37:4, 39:1 bread [1] - 111:23 break [8] - 57:7, 57:9, 94:23, 98:11, 104:11, 139:2, 139:7, 163:3 breaking [1] - 114:17 breaks [1] - 122:16 bride [2] - 86:17, 88:19 brief [3] - 39:22, 90:15, 90:19 briefed [1] - 95:9 **brigade** [2] - 76:25, 77:5 **brilliant** [1] - 138:5 bring [8] - 7:18, 13:1, 33:3, 33:12, 61:14, 120:23, 120:24, 171:18 bringing [2] - 128:16, 161:4

brings [1] - 132:18

Britain [1] - 132:17 British [6] - 96:23, 101:15, 112:7, 112:8, 112:10, 112:11 broad [1] - 146:24 broken [4] - 94:24, 98:9, 130:14, 148:3 **bros** [3] - 58:22, 58:25 brother [4] - 44:21, 49:22, 130:15, 144:20 brother-in-law [1] - 44:21 brothers [7] - 61:13, 63:6, 76:17, 87:3, 87:7, 111:13, 111:17 brought [6] - 97:8, 123:8, 123:17, 139:1, 142:18, 161:5 Bruemmer's [1] - 40:2 **bucks** [1] - 118:4 buffet [1] - 139:1 building [2] - 73:4, 73:6 built [1] - 117:25 bulk [1] - 163:4 bunch [1] - 149:25 burden [10] - 91:19, 91:20, 145:13, 165:21, 165:22, 166:10, 166:15, 166:22, 166:24, 169:15 Bureau [4] - 20:20, 36:18, 37:4, 39:1 burned [1] - 105:25 burner [1] - 165:1 burnt [1] - 111:21 bus [2] - 47:2, 128:7 business [5] - 35:17, 100:14, 100:24, 138:1, 142:22 **busy** [1] - 124:10 butt [2] - 89:25, 148:15 butter [1] - 79:24 **buttons** [1] - 92:2 **buying** [1] - 102:13 BY [5] - 3:2, 3:3, 3:4, 3:5,

94:19, 95:21, 95:24,

candid [1] - 116:4

canvas [1] - 42:14

captive [1] - 123:15

car [1] - 65:15

cares [1] - 77:11

171:24, 172:3

142:2, 146:17

117:25, 125:11, 136:8,

137:7, 137:12, 138:13,

138:19, 138:20, 138:23,

27:16

162:11

167:15, 173:3

candor [1] - 121:22

117:10

136:19

128:11

C

calculated [1] - 103:23 California [8] - 43:20, 45:18, 45:20, 46:11, 53:11, 79:4, 127:12, 149:21 camera [1] - 134:5

139:8, 140:2, 140:16, camouflage [2] - 95:19, 142:9, 142:10, 142:23, camp [13] - 52:4, 53:10, 145:14, 148:17, 148:24, 64:9, 64:20, 65:1, 85:20, 150:1, 150:2, 151:13, 152:17, 153:6, 153:11, 113:13, 116:17, 116:20, 153:16, 153:20, 154:9, 154:14, 155:8, 155:10, 160:8. 160:17. 162:18. camps [7] - 45:2, 50:11, 53:15, 89:1, 94:21, 116:13, 162:24, 163:18, 165:14, 165:23, 165:25, 166:5, 166:6, 167:12, 170:1, Canada [2] - 123:19, 173:4, 173:25, 174:7, 175:8 cases [2] - 11:21, 15:11 cash [1] - 104:1 caught [2] - 112:9, 114:23 cannot [11] - 19:2, 24:6, caution [1] - 161:21 52:20, 98:9, 98:11, 98:12, cautious [1] - 161:22 101:8, 154:19, 165:7, CBP [2] - 43:4, 55:22 CD [1] - 68:6 capable [2] - 20:8, 36:22 Center [3] - 93:19, 95:8, 97:13 Central [1] - 65:25 certain [8] - 9:25, 14:7, care [3] - 120:9, 161:20, 82:23, 83:4, 84:5, 86:13, careful [8] - 57:23, 67:9, 146:21. 161:3 85:11, 159:8, 161:22, certainly [6] - 47:21, 48:3, 162:15, 165:3, 167:17 66:25, 78:12, 92:25, 151:19 carefully [2] - 39:13, 70:2 **certainty** [1] - 167:3 certify [1] - 177:5 cetera [1] - 30:23 **CARNEY** [20] - 2:9, 3:4, 4:16, 4:19, 6:9, 108:19, **CHAKRAVARTY** [13] - 3:5, 4:17. 4:24. 5:1. 6:12. 7:1. 108:22, 134:7, 134:9, 7:9. 7:13. 7:16. 107:23. 136:14, 168:18, 169:24, 108:2, 139:17, 170:6 170:2, 170:4, 170:14, 170:24, 171:8, 171:14, Chakravarty [5] - 2:2, 4:8, 52:1, 121:13, 139:16 Carney [8] - 2:9, 4:18, challenge [1] - 136:6 91:3, 107:24, 134:6, 140:3, **chance** [5] - 45:14, 48:20, 48:23, 78:16, 140:1 carry [2] - 71:8, 95:25 change [2] - 126:13, 129:8 carrying [3] - 20:2, 26:20, changed [1] - 151:4 changing [1] - 80:7 case [76] - 8:1, 8:11, 8:16, character [2] - 113:1, 9:10, 9:22, 12:9, 13:10, 147:18 14:6, 23:5, 23:14, 29:7, characteristics [1] - 84:19 40:8, 71:15, 82:12, 82:13, charge [9] - 21:24, 38:7, 83:14, 88:7, 90:3, 91:17, 41:24, 41:25, 42:1, 161:18, 91:20, 92:12, 92:23, 93:10, 167:10, 167:16, 167:19 98:1, 107:22, 108:24, charged [29] - 9:9, 11:2, 109:14, 117:13, 117:16, 17:10, 19:8, 19:11, 22:3,

25:6, 26:15, 29:14, 71:7,

120:15, 122:5, 138:13,

81:11, 90:13, 107:7, 107:8,

141:12, 146:16, 146:20, 146:21, 146:22, 146:23, 147:1, 165:19, 167:11, 168:1, 168:7 charges [32] - 9:12, 9:17, 19:7. 19:12. 19:19. 19:23. 20:10. 20:11. 20:15. 20:17. 20:24, 21:2, 31:7, 31:8, 35:14, 38:11, 40:14, 40:15, 40:16, 40:17, 40:18, 40:21, 41:23, 42:3, 42:10, 72:12, 108:11, 113:4, 152:7, 155:7, 161:5 $\boldsymbol{charging}~[2]-145:19,$ 170:7 chart [1] - 99:17 chat [13] - 71:23, 73:21, 74:4, 76:12, 76:20, 77:8, 80:10, 83:7, 83:8, 84:22, 92:20, 102:18, 115:6 chats [13] - 42:7, 42:12, 59:14, 66:10, 69:22, 80:20, 84:7, 85:2, 90:5, 129:17, 141:7, 145:17, 156:17 chatted [1] - 74:18 chatting [1] - 73:1 Chechnya [3] - 67:5, 98:22, 144:20 check [3] - 43:6, 116:22, 152:6 checked [3] - 137:3, 137:5, 137:10 checklist [4] - 146:17, 147:10, 147:11, 147:12 checks [1] - 137:1 cherry [1] - 92:19 cherry-picked [1] - 92:19 CHERYL [1] - 177:13 Cheryl [3] - 1:21, 177:3, 177:13 child [3] - 86:24, 111:23, 124:2 children [10] - 87:6, 111:3, 111:22, 118:8, 122:7, 122:11, 122:24, 122:25, 123:2, 123:5 China [1] - 135:1 choices [1] - 34:21 choose [2] - 165:24, 166:1 chooses [1] - 39:23 **chose** [3] - 44:12, 78:3, 124:3

chronology [1] - 55:9

CIA [5] - 95:8, 101:19, 103:19, 104:17, 116:15 circle [3] - 57:19, 57:21, 58:12 circumstances [8] - 18:16, 30:8, 31:22, 158:16, 158:18, 158:25, 166:2, 173:18 circumstantial [6] -163:20, 164:20, 164:21, 164:23, 165:6, 165:14 cited [1] - 170:8 citizen [4] - 30:9, 98:10, 114:9, 115:5 citizens [2] - 135:2, 138:15 citizenship [3] - 98:15, 115:4, 115:8 civilian [5] - 37:19, 71:19, 71:20, 99:23, 117:23 civilians [4] - 72:5, 100:10, 101:5, 148:20 **claim** [3] - 95:13, 128:1, 128:19 clandestine [1] - 25:22 clarification [2] - 170:10, 172:25 clarified [1] - 86:9 clarify [2] - 170:7, 173:11 class [1] - 57:16 classes [3] - 46:18, 57:9, 57:11 Classic [1] - 99:4 classical [4] - 32:11, 54:9, 88:11, 114:1 **Classical** [1] - 116:3 classified [1] - 103:16 clean [2] - 125:19, 125:22 cleansing [1] - 110:18 clear [8] - 60:23, 61:24, 71:5, 126:1, 129:19, 140:11, 150:24, 172:22 clearance [1] - 103:20 clearer [1] - 68:7 clearest [1] - 134:10 clearly [1] - 108:4 clerics [1] - 100:16 clerk [3] - 6:4, 174:4, 174:5 **CLERK** [9] - 4:11, 4:13, 7:7, 7:19, 7:21, 90:18, 174:25, 175:2, 176:11 click [1] - 135:20 cloak [5] - 92:1, 92:2,

141:18, 141:19 close [4] - 58:12, 103:23, 105:20 closed [1] - 78:18 closely [2] - 128:3, 162:13 closing [8] - 9:14, 9:15, 9:18, 39:17, 39:20, 40:20, 42:5, 160:24 **CLOSING** [3] - 3:2, 3:3, closings [1] - 154:11 Cloud [3] - 66:1, 75:7, CNN [3] - 94:2, 94:8, 105:22 coconspirator [7] - 33:16, 33:22, 33:25, 34:4, 34:6, 34:18, 43:15 coconspirators [3] -16:13, 29:1, 35:11 code [8] - 26:23, 59:11, 59:21, 59:22, 75:10, 77:24, 78:1. 78:23 Code [5] - 21:8, 26:14, 26:25, 27:1, 27:2 coded [2] - 59:25, 141:22 coerce [2] - 37:19, 38:1 coercion [1] - 37:20 collaborated [1] - 146:5 collaboration [2] - 149:1, 149.2 collateral [1] - 100:10 collected [1] - 141:17 collecting [1] - 102:13 collective [3] - 43:1, 154:15, 154:17 collector[1] - 102:17 college [2] - 116:24, 117:2 colloquially [1] - 166:11 colonists [1] - 112:7 colony [1] - 101:16 colored [1] - 162:13 Columbo [1] - 130:22 combatant [2] - 25:21, 100:1 combination [1] - 67:25 combined [1] - 84:18 comfort [1] - 74:2 coming [7] - 7:4, 7:10, 69:2, 118:9, 139:10, 140:14, 174:18

command [2] - 135:22

commence [1] - 174:22

comments [1] - 172:7 commerce [3] - 12:21, 12:22. 13:4 **Commission** [1] - 67:2 **commission** [8] - 14:7, 28:13, 29:25, 31:23, 32:1, 32:23, 34:18, 35:25 commit [15] - 13:25, 15:21, 22:4, 30:12, 31:14, 31:21, 32:6, 32:8, 32:22, 33:17, 42:2, 42:3, 43:25, 56:22, 105:18 **commitment** [1] - 109:6 commitments [1] - 100:8 commits [4] - 13:5, 13:20, 27:19, 36:10 committed [28] - 10:4, 10:5, 10:15, 11:25, 13:23, 14:22, 19:2, 19:15, 25:24, 25:25, 29:6, 31:8, 31:9, 32:7, 32:19, 33:2, 33:15, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:4, 34:6, 35:10, 37:17, 56:20, 78:6, 82:11, 118:2 **committing** [5] - 18:6, 19:2, 33:8, 33:9 Common [1] - 142:7 common [10] - 10:7, 16:17, 43:7, 70:17, 101:12, 131:23, 142:9, 143:5, 164:13, 167:15 commonsense [1] -130:10 communicate [1] - 172:18 communicates [1] -144:13 communiques [1] -135:20 **community** [4] - 73:10, 104:15, 126:11 **companion** [1] - 55:23 companions [1] - 84:20 company [6] - 87:21, 88:1, 88:17, 110:12, 127:1, 137:14 **comparable** [1] - 169:20 compare [1] - 103:14 compared [1] - 167:14 compel [1] - 114:19 compelled [1] - 140:10 compelling [1] - 52:17 complete [9] - 8:14, 31:19, 32:2, 32:13, 118:20,

120:13, 155:25, 156:7, 159.7 completed [4] - 31:13, 31:21, 31:24, 75:14 completely [6] - 58:2, 89:11, 114:6, 127:8, 153:14, 171:8 **completing** [3] - 31:18, 128:10, 140:12 completion [1] - 32:4 complicated [1] - 39:11 comport [1] - 170:9 computer [19] - 40:3, 89:15, 93:15, 93:17, 93:23, 93:24, 94:1, 94:5, 94:7, 94:11, 103:8, 104:9, 106:16, 126:17, 130:16, 134:17, 149:24, 150:3 Computer [1] - 1:24 Computer-Aided [1] - 1:24 computers [1] - 104:7 conceal [7] - 19:25, 26:13, 26:17, 41:14, 41:19, 56:16, 56:18 concealed [1] - 30:22 concealing [6] - 20:12, 27:23, 28:3, 31:4, 34:15, 82:5 concealment [1] - 79:9 conceals [2] - 27:13, 36:7 conceded [1] - 50:23 conceivable [1] - 167:23 concept [5] - 67:13, 70:23, 82:8, 114:8, 114:17 concepts [1] - 93:3 concern [10] - 58:13, 58:14, 60:5, 60:22, 60:23, 62:9, 64:6, 64:19, 72:12, 151:22 concerned [6] - 58:18, 59:7, 59:12, 64:7, 85:7, concerning [4] - 44:16, 72:1, 77:7, 84:15 concessions [1] - 161:3 conclude [7] - 17:20, 39:3, 147:6, 159:15, 159:19, 164:15, 167:8 **concluded** [1] - 39:8 conclusion [8] - 39:16, 56:9, 70:22, 92:17, 95:20, 154:3, 154:4, 164:17

conclusions [7] - 132:6,

132:7, 153:9, 153:24, 154:4, 165:15, 167:9 condition [1] - 87:19 conditions [2] - 87:15 Condoleezza [1] - 119:23 conduct [10] - 10:3, 10:4, 12:25, 16:9, 16:10, 24:17, 37:21, 152:20, 172:9, 174:7 confection [1] - 11:11 **CONFERENCE** [3] - 108:1, 168:15, 172:6 **conference** [1] - 170:7 confident [2] - 152:6, 159:9 confirm [1] - 51:13 confirmed [5] - 60:9, 94:25, 123:9, 148:25, 149:8 confirming [1] - 138:19 confused [1] - 173:11 Congress [8] - 9:25, 10:1, 11:6, 11:12, 11:14, 11:18, 12:25, 137:12 connect [2] - 54:23, 58:15 connected [1] - 134:17 connection [3] - 28:11, 38:19, 160:18 connections [3] - 45:6, 51:6, 106:24 Connolly [4] - 96:21, 96:25, 132:17, 148:25 conscious [2] - 83:23, 160:21 consciously [1] - 33:2 consensual [8] - 6:16, 6:22, 42:7, 141:7, 143:10, 143:25, 151:6, 162:20 consensually [1] - 6:18 consensuals [1] - 152:4 consequences [1] - 18:19 consequently [1] - 5:6 consider [17] - 9:3, 15:22, 16:20, 17:21, 18:17, 37:9, 38:3, 39:5, 43:12, 97:23, 152:23, 155:13, 156:24, 159:17, 160:15, 161:20, 162:25 consideration [10] - 38:6, 57:16, 93:12, 153:12, 153:23, 161:8, 161:22, 166:6, 167:18, 175:8 considerations [2] -155:2 158:12 considered [5] - 24:5,

72:3, 115:7, 156:18, 167:13 considering [2] - 82:3, 174:17 consist [1] - 173:15 consistent [8] - 31:24, 46:15, 115:9, 126:15, 146:18, 154:14, 159:10, 164·14 consistently [1] - 168:3 consists [1] - 9:24 conspiracies [5] - 13:16, 16:19, 17:10, 56:2, 79:10 conspiracy [122] - 13:15, 13:18, 14:1, 14:2, 14:3, 14:5, 14:6, 14:9, 14:11, 14:14, 14:16, 14:19, 14:20, 14:24, 14:25, 15:9, 15:13, 15:15, 15:17, 15:24, 15:25, 16:6, 16:14, 16:16, 16:25, 17:6, 17:13, 17:15, 17:20, 17:22, 17:25, 18:2, 18:3, 18:8, 19:5, 19:19, 19:24, 20:4, 20:10, 20:15, 20:17, 20:21, 21:12, 21:14, 21:15, 21:17, 21:19, 21:20, 21:24, 21:25, 22:18, 25:5, 26:21, 27:3, 27:5, 27:6, 27:8, 27:9, 28:12, 28:15, 28:17, 28:19, 28:21, 28:23, 29:2, 29:8, 29:10, 29:11, 29:18, 29:21, 29:22, 30:1, 30:12, 30:13, 30:14, 30:16, 30:19, 31:1, 33:17, 33:20, 33:24, 34:1, 34:2, 34:3, 34:5, 34:9, 34:11, 34:24, 35:1, 35:3, 35:4, 35:7, 35:8, 35:12, 35:13, 35:14, 35:17, 35:20, 35:22, 35:24, 36:2, 36:3, 37:11, 38:6, 38:8, 38:9, 41:11, 46:5, 56:10, 79:7, 82:4, 141:21, 144:25, 146:11, 148:21, 170:16, 170:19 conspirators [5] - 14:17, 29:10, 29:25, 73:25, 145:1 conspire [2] - 13:24, 21:10 conspired [5] - 21:5, 23:22, 25:9, 140:12, 140:24 conspires [1] - 27:18 conspiring [9] - 19:19, 22:4, 23:15, 25:15, 26:12, 26:15, 37:8, 38:4, 71:7 Constants [1] - 84:16

constitute [4] - 10:2, 10:18, 12:9, 31:10 constituted [1] - 32:20 constitutes [1] - 177:6 **Constitution** [6] - 12:17, 12:19, 24:16, 138:4, 140:21, 165:24 construction [1] - 20:6 contact [9] - 45:5, 52:5, 52:13, 53:11, 53:21, 74:20, 119:17, 136:21, 149:20 contacts [1] - 45:2 contain [1] - 12:15 contained [4] - 24:15, 41:12. 74:25. 156:21 containing [2] - 11:11, 74:13 contemplate [1] - 142:15 contemplating [1] -146:24 context [11] - 38:5, 92:12, 93:10, 97:3, 97:4, 97:17, 97:24, 102:3, 105:2, 107:16, 163:2 continuation [1] - 4:13 continue [9] - 54:4, 65:4, 76:23, 107:4, 115:19, 139:7, 171:23, 175:15, 175:19 continued [3] - 49:8, 61.25 115.17 continues [1] - 122:22 **continuing** [2] - 30:20, 54:11 contorted [1] - 49:6 contract [2] - 148:5, 148:7 contractor [2] - 71:20, contracts [1] - 100:7 contrary [1] - 6:5 contrast [2] - 29:23, 70:10 contributing [1] - 70:8 control [5] - 24:1, 24:6, 47:20, 155:25, 156:7 controls [2] - 11:14, 154:18 controversial [1] - 67:18 controversy [1] - 6:3 convenient [2] - 57:17, 156:13 conversation [15] - 45:9, 46:3, 46:4, 47:14, 48:6, 51:12, 53:5, 53:13, 60:23,

62:24, 78:20, 79:21, 80:22, 88:25, 116:25 conversations [4] -120:20, 130:18, 142:16, 142:17 conversely [1] - 167:16 convict [8] - 9:11, 18:7, 23:14, 23:21, 25:7, 32:15, 36:11, 93:22 convicted [3] - 24:7, 31:16, 160:25 conviction [6] - 161:13, 161:15, 166:20, 167:16, 167:19, 168:12 convince [3] - 42:19, 145:4, 165:15 convinced [6] - 98:5, 114:16, 161:15, 166:16, 166:17, 168:5 convinces [1] - 168:2 cool [1] - 89:6 cooperating [4] - 42:6, 51:24, 52:15, 110:21 cooperative [1] - 16:17 cooperatively [1] - 16:2 coordinate [1] - 124:25 coordinated [1] - 69:8 **coordinating** [1] - 145:10 coordination [6] - 24:11. 24:18, 24:21, 25:3, 145:13, 168:25 copied [1] - 130:15 copies [1] - 77:10 corporation [1] - 65:14 correct [4] - 7:1, 52:12, 71:8, 91:4 **correctly** [1] - 131:8 corrects [1] - 89:12 corroborate [1] - 42:9 corroborated [4] - 148:24, 149:4. 149:8. 149:18 corroboration [4] -136:10, 136:14, 137:6, 137:8 corrupted [1] - 99:7 cost [1] - 78:14 counsel [15] - 4:7, 39:18, 51:25, 52:3, 52:7, 57:5, 69:19, 69:25, 81:18, 84:1, 91:2, 142:8, 168:14, 170:7, 171:24 counsel's [1] - 169:25

Count [65] - 19:16, 19:18,

19:23, 20:10, 20:11, 20:15, 20:17, 20:21, 20:22, 21:2, 21:12, 21:14, 24:13, 25:6, 26:4, 26:12, 27:6, 28:11, 28:13, 29:14, 30:12, 30:16, 30:18, 30:19, 31:2, 31:7, 32:17, 33:14, 33:16, 33:18, 33:23. 33:24. 34:14. 34:23. 35:1, 35:13, 38:8, 38:10, 38:11, 38:15, 39:4, 39:6, 41:12, 41:13, 41:17, 46:5, 46:6, 56:5, 56:6, 56:11, 56:12, 56:19, 77:19, 77:20, 79:6, 79:8, 81:11, 82:22, 83:1, 168:19, 168:21, 168:22 count [7] - 14:10, 15:3, 24:11, 35:14, 35:21, 35:23, 168:12 counterterrorism [1] -104:15 Counterterrorism [1] -95:8 counting [2] - 87:13, 135:17 countries [4] - 44:13, 106:23, 135:2, 138:6 country [43] - 20:4, 20:5, 26:22, 26:24, 28:17, 29:19, 34:24, 35:4, 47:3, 52:5, 53:7, 71:6, 72:9, 77:24, 78:1, 78:23, 84:12, 87:14, 95:21, 97:16, 98:9, 101:21, 107:2, 107:3, 112:6, 114:10, 114:12, 114:13, 115:10, 122:9, 122:17, 122:21, 126:19, 132:10, 133:21, 134:23, 138:10, 141:20, 143:1, 149:19, 151:19, 151:20 Counts [2] - 41:4, 41:11 counts [13] - 14:4, 14:9, 17:9, 19:6, 19:8, 19:11, 19:23, 20:21, 40:11, 65:7, 65:10, 82:24, 172:17 couple [6] - 4:22, 11:4, 49:8, 79:14, 136:4, 139:3 couples [1] - 86:22 courage [1] - 138:18 course [22] - 8:2, 8:10, 15:22, 18:15, 19:13, 35:16, 36:16, 42:12, 88:17, 103:17, 109:10, 134:3, 144:10, 152:21, 153:14,

153:19, 157:17, 159:12, 160:19, 163:12, 165:13, 166.7 court [4] - 4:1, 95:12, 97:22, 119:1 **COURT** [49] - 1:1, 3:6, 4:15, 4:18, 4:20, 4:25, 5:19, 6:11, 6:25, 7:2, 7:4, 7:8, 7:14, 7:17, 7:22, 7:24, 40:1, 83:23, 90:15, 90:23, 91:1, 92:7, 107:25, 108:14, 108:18, 108:20, 134:6, 134:8, 136:13, 138:25, 139:15, 152:11, 168:16, 169:21, 170:1, 170:3, 170:13, 170:23, 171:1, 171:12, 171:16, 171:20, 172:1, 172:4, 172:7, 174:23, 175:3, 175:23, 176:10 Court [26] - 1:21, 4:3, 4:11, 4:12, 5:15, 24:16, 90:18, 90:19, 90:20, 90:22, 137:13, 139:14, 168:19, 168:22, 168:24, 169:2, 169:6, 169:10, 169:14, 169:18, 174:25, 175:1, 176:12, 177:5, 177:12, 177:14 courthouse [1] - 171:25 Courthouse [7] - 1:16, 1:17, 1:22, 1:22, 2:3, 4:4, courtroom [17] - 4:12, 7:20, 46:16, 52:16, 90:20, 110:10, 112:22, 155:20, 157:19, 159:6, 159:12, 164:8, 171:18, 175:1, 175:13, 175:22, 176:12 **Courtroom** [1] - 1:16 Courts [1] - 122:18 courts [1] - 11:19 cover [5] - 51:16, 95:14, 106:1, 111:8, 151:9 covered [2] - 43:6, 169:21 covers [2] - 36:8, 141:19 cow [1] - 104:1 coward [1] - 112:4 cowardly [1] - 150:19 cracking [1] - 32:14 crashing [1] - 150:6 crazy [1] - 130:1 cream [12] - 10:11, 10:14,

10:20, 11:11, 12:2, 12:3, 12:6, 12:7, 12:23, 13:4, 13:5, 13:21 cream' [1] - 11:10 create [1] - 133:1 created [1] - 138:4 creating [1] - 67:21 credentials [2] - 88:13, 104:20 credibility [1] - 102:16 credible [3] - 102:17, 158:2, 158:6 crew [2] - 119:5, 119:16 crime [70] - 10:2, 10:4, 10:5, 10:14, 10:16, 10:19, 10:22, 10:24, 12:9, 13:18, 13:19, 13:20, 13:22, 13:24, 14:1, 14:2, 14:4, 14:8, 14:15, 14:16, 14:19, 14:22, 14:25, 17:25, 18:6, 19:1, 19:4, 19:15, 19:19, 21:8, 21:11, 21:22, 28:13, 31:10, 31:13, 31:15, 31:19, 31:21, 31:24, 32:1, 32:2, 32:5, 32:6, 32:7, 32:8, 32:13, 32:18, 32:20, 32:23, 33:2, 33:3, 33:8, 33:9, 33:10, 33:12, 34:1, 34:18, 42:2, 42:4, 56:22, 81:11, 81:16, 122:3, 160:25, 167:6, 168:7, 169:12 crimes [15] - 9:9, 14:7, 19:7, 20:3, 20:14, 26:21, 52:22, 56:20, 72:14, 82:6, 120:8, 150:4, 150:5, 151:10, 165:18 Criminal [2] - 1:6, 177:8 criminal [29] - 9:23, 9:24, 9:25, 10:1, 10:6, 10:10, 11:24, 12:14, 12:18, 12:21, 14:11, 14:14, 16:19, 16:21, 18:3, 27:2, 29:13, 31:24, 37:15, 37:16, 40:7, 56:4, 82:11, 82:17, 146:22, 162:6, 162:9, 165:14, 165:23 critical [3] - 96:20, 103:5, 135:14 cross [1] - 56:24 cross-examination [1] -56:24 crossed [2] - 108:4, 108:6 CRR [6] - 1:20, 1:21,

177:3, 177:4, 177:11,
177:13
 crude [1] - 112:13
 crutch [1] - 42:23
 cryptic [2] - 79:23, 86:8
 cryptically [1] - 87:25
 curative [1] - 108:8
 current [1] - 38:13
 curriculum [1] - 53:20
 Curryland [2] - 75:8, 75:10
 Custer [1] - 129:10
 cut [1] - 104:2

D

D.C [1] - 2:7 dad [1] - 63:15 **DAHLSTROM** [1] - 177:13 **Dahlstrom** [3] - 1:21, 177:4, 177:13 damage [2] - 84:8, 100:10 Dan [17] - 61:4, 62:4, 62:19, 78:21, 80:23, 80:24, 81:6, 115:20, 124:1, 124:5, 124:19, 124:20, 125:2, 125:12, 125:25, 128:12 Dan's [1] - 123:2 dangerous [1] - 37:14 Daniel [9] - 38:14, 67:11, 77:8, 98:4, 114:14, 114:16, 123:24, 145:19, 151:2 **Danny** [10] - 61:2, 62:19, 122:6, 122:16, 122:18, 122:19, 122:23, 124:11, 125:14, 130:24 Danny's [1] - 122:22 **Dar** [1] - 51:14 darn [1] - 131:18 data [3] - 150:8, 164:11, 165:12 date [5] - 21:3, 35:18, 170:14, 170:15 Date [1] - 177:16 dated [2] - 67:3, 88:3 dates [4] - 17:18, 17:19, 98:4, 170:9 dating [1] - 126:22 daughter [2] - 115:15, Davis [1] - 81:19 Dawoud [5] - 59:13, 59:15, 60:15, 68:2, 68:4

DAY [1] - 1:13 days [7] - 73:11, 78:24, 79:15, 87:14, 97:6, 131:6, 131.9 deal [7] - 40:5, 120:17, 121:23, 123:22, 125:9, 125:20, 175:23 dealing [2] - 121:7, 135:24 dealt [1] - 16:25 **Dearsley** [1] - 66:11 death [2] - 30:6, 30:9 deaths [1] - 111:3 deceived [1] - 36:25 December [12] - 1:18, 4:5, 23:23, 38:12, 38:16, 46:7, 47:11, 77:17, 77:22, 78:19, 81:19, 177:16 decide [13] - 8:11, 40:8, 40:10, 126:2, 152:22, 153:9, 157:24, 161:14, 161:23, 161:24, 163:25, 166:5, 176:3 decided [3] - 115:13, 123:1, 128:7 decides [1] - 122:25 deciding [1] - 125:10 decision [4] - 82:11, 105:16, 138:14, 166:3 decisions [3] - 8:7, 152:18, 155:3 declare [1] - 101:8 declared [1] - 13:20 decline [1] - 166:4 dedicated [2] - 68:23, **deduction** [1] - 64:14 default [1] - 83:15 defend [3] - 82:9, 101:13, 107:14 **Defendant** [2] - 1:8, 2:15 defendant [159] - 4:7, 9:12, 15:5, 17:12, 17:21, 17:25, 18:1, 19:15, 19:19, 19:24, 20:11, 20:15, 21:1, 21:4, 21:11, 21:16, 21:17, 21:25, 22:3, 22:17, 23:14, 23:22, 23:25, 25:8, 25:9, 25:15, 26:4, 26:9, 26:12, 30:21, 31:20, 32:21, 33:2, 33:17, 33:18, 33:23, 34:3, 34:5, 34:8, 34:23, 35:6, 35:20, 37:7, 38:12, 38:16, 38:22, 38:23, 39:3, 39:22, 40:10,

41:2, 41:3, 41:6, 41:7, 42:1, 42:2, 42:7, 42:10, 42:14, 43:13, 43:14, 43:16, 45:9, 46:6, 46:12, 47:10, 47:11, 48:7, 54:19, 57:20, 57:23, 61:7, 61:21, 65:2, 65:6, 65:11, 66:6, 66:10, 66:12, 68:16. 68:18. 69:15. 70:6. 71:1, 71:5, 71:24, 75:3, 75:16, 76:7, 76:13, 76:20, 77:4, 77:9, 78:5, 78:8, 78:21, 82:11, 82:14, 82:16, 82:18, 82:25, 83:2, 83:13, 83:19, 85:7, 87:13, 90:6, 90:8, 90:9, 108:4, 108:11, 113:8, 140:10, 140:24, 141:6, 141:12, 141:20, 142:23, 143:11, 143:17, 143:24, 144:2, 144:16, 144:18, 145:7, 145:9, 145:16, 146:5, 146:10, 146:11, 147:17, 148:2, 148:6, 148:13, 149:3, 149:6, 149:11, 149:15, 149:22, 151:3, 151:7, 151:14, 152:2, 152:9, 165:18, 165:22, 165:23, 165:25, 166:3, 166:7, 166:10, 166:18, 166:20, 167:10, 167:11, 168:7, 168:8, 169:16 defendant's [29] - 14:23, 15:8, 27:5, 30:14, 33:16, 34:19, 35:8, 35:23, 40:23, 42:21, 50:22, 57:8, 70:16, 72:12, 74:1, 78:5, 143:19, 145:3, 146:14, 148:9, 148:23, 149:17, 150:3, 151:6, 166:13, 166:23, 166:25, 167:6, 167:24 defended [1] - 101:17 defending [1] - 127:5 **Defense** [1] - 95:9 defense [33] - 6:6, 6:17, 6:23, 32:4, 44:15, 49:20, 50:12, 50:23, 51:24, 52:3, 57:5, 68:12, 69:19, 69:25, 75:19, 81:18, 83:3, 84:1, 84:3, 91:2, 100:22, 105:1, 105:11, 139:20, 143:8, 144:8, 147:8, 147:25, 148:1, 151:11, 169:6, 171:8 define [1] - 9:25 defined [7] - 10:3, 10:4,

23:20, 25:20, 26:17, 30:25, definite [1] - 80:1 definitely [5] - 48:13, 49:4, 54:17, 89:3, 114:21 definition [7] - 11:8, 11:13, 11:15, 11:19, 11:20, 28.12 degree [1] - 87:11 degrees [1] - 51:17 deliberate [5] - 152:3, 160:11, 173:13, 174:16, 174:20 deliberately [1] - 10:12 deliberating [5] - 144:18, 152:15, 174:6, 175:7, 175:15 deliberation [1] - 5:4 deliberations [10] - 39:19, 139:11, 152:20, 172:9, 172:14, 173:25, 174:7, 174:15, 174:22, 175:19 **delivered** [1] - 174:9 demands [1] - 102:20 democracy [3] - 70:17, 99:25, 100:24 demonstrate [1] - 85:2 demonstrated [1] - 78:16 demonstrations [2] - 85:4, 85:5 denied [1] - 84:5 **DEPARTMENT** [1] - 2:5 department [7] - 65:21, 65:24, 66:1, 66:2, 69:16, 75:4 **Department** [4] - 4:10, 95:9, 105:4, 116:10 derived [1] - 23:13 describe [2] - 46:1, 91:25 described [9] - 40:16, 40:17, 45:3, 46:12, 68:23, 69:9, 84:21, 116:6, 123:15 describes [1] - 49:14 describing [1] - 159:8 description [2] - 51:1, 53:4 deserve [1] - 148:10 deserved [2] - 110:24, designated [7] - 19:21, 21:6, 21:23, 22:12, 24:12, 24:24, 25:17 designed [3] - 23:11,

111:11, 151:21 desire [12] - 42:17, 43:24, 50:21, 51:11, 65:12, 66:22, 72:16, 73:14, 85:8, 85:14, 88:22 desires [1] - 88:2 despite [1] - 87:4 destination [1] - 38:17 destitute [1] - 111:22 destroyed [1] - 111:21 destruction [1] - 37:21 detail [5] - 15:11, 16:13, 43:17, 87:19, 160:1 details [8] - 43:14, 45:24, 46:2, 46:13, 46:14, 58:11, 62:21, 78:12 detective [1] - 113:20 **Detective** [1] - 66:11 determine [7] - 10:15, 108:10, 108:11, 151:24, 153:7, 153:11, 162:13 determined [2] - 152:25, 173:23 determining [1] - 15:23 developed [1] - 11:20 device [1] - 36:8 die [1] - 88:2 died [1] - 149:12 dies [1] - 122:22 difference [5] - 13:14, 18:21, 144:7, 150:12, 170:25 different [25] - 8:20, 9:1, 17:3, 31:8, 41:7, 42:8, 50:22, 51:3, 51:4, 51:19, 51:21, 53:25, 56:3, 56:13, 65:13, 65:19, 67:25, 70:14, 73:5, 109:4, 145:6, 159:3, 159:14, 159:23 differently [3] - 69:9, 112:8, 112:16 differs [1] - 154:16 difficulty [1] - 80:13 digital [2] - 155:18, 155:23 direct [7] - 24:2, 52:5, 75:11, 136:20, 163:19, 163:20, 164:10 direction [8] - 24:1, 24:6, 24:12, 24:18, 24:21, 25:3, 117:12, 144:22 directions [2] - 92:8, directly [7] - 18:12, 23:4,

31:9, 32:19, 95:3, 163:21, 164.6 directs [1] - 153:25 disagree [4] - 83:9, 84:25, 89:18. 101:9 disagreed [4] - 83:3, 89:8, 114:15, 132:8 disagreeing [2] - 140:17, 140:18 disappointed [4] - 78:7, 133:5, 149:20, 149:23 disappointing [2] - 48:8, discuss [12] - 9:20, 40:23, 41:5, 42:5, 46:2, 57:20, 57:22, 58:9, 69:21, 114:1, 166:4, 176:2 discussed [5] - 47:24, 53:18, 60:6, 74:19, 85:2 discussing [7] - 43:8, 58:13, 71:3, 71:25, 77:16, 90:3, 175:17 discussion [13] - 50:18, 50:20, 60:13, 69:25, 71:10, 72:22, 81:17, 90:16, 106:4, 139:8, 171:22, 175:6, 175:16 **Discussion** [1] - 176:9 **discussions** [1] - 58:10 disguise [3] - 26:17, 56:16, 56:18 disquised [1] - 30:22 disguises [1] - 27:13 disguising [1] - 27:24 disillusioned [1] - 54:6 disillusionment [1] -54:10 disliked [1] - 98:25 dislikes [1] - 153:16 disobey [1] - 14:17 displayed [1] - 51:25 dispute [1] - 173:9 disputes [1] - 153:9 disregard [2] - 136:6, 150:21 disregarding [1] - 151:5 disrupted [1] - 81:24 dissemination [1] - 147:3 distance [2] - 17:2, 91:8 distinct [4] - 14:15, 40:18, 41:24, 171:12 distinction [1] - 13:17 distorted [2] - 92:23, 99:7

distractions [1] - 140:3 distributed [1] - 145:8 distributing [2] - 76:8, 103:5 distribution [1] - 75:17 **District** [4] - 4:3, 177:5 **DISTRICT** [3] - 1:1, 1:1, 1.12 distrusted [1] - 160:20 Diverse [1] - 67:6 diversity [1] - 129:21 divide [2] - 9:7, 40:22 dividing [1] - 91:2 **Division** [2] - 2:6, 4:10 **DMV** [1] - 45:17 **Doctoor** [2] - 75:9, 75:11 Doctor [31] - 94:25, 95:15, 96:16, 96:25, 97:17, 97:18, 99:2, 99:10, 101:6, 101:18, 102:5, 102:17, 104:13, 105:17, 106:2, 106:5, 106:7, 106:8, 106:14, 106:17, 106:21, 107:4, 130:3, 144:11, 145:24, 148:25, 149:4, 149:8, 149:10 doctor [1] - 96:21 doctorate [1] - 97:18 doctrine [3] - 146:24, 147:25, 148:2 doctrines [2] - 147:22, 147:23 document [8] - 74:16, 115:16, 121:11, 128:5, 133:9, 133:18, 135:7, 135:24 documents [5] - 134:21, 135:14, 137:20, 155:11, 156:12 domestic [2] - 71:3, 142:13 donate [1] - 80:12 done [20] - 9:13, 10:17, 24:18, 24:19, 25:3, 53:23, 76:18, 80:21, 81:10, 89:14, 109:13, 114:5, 117:2, 123:10, 124:15, 130:14, 148:13, 151:7, 151:8 **Donuts** [1] - 142:4 door [2] - 73:2, 78:17 doorstep [1] - 131:10 doubt [41] - 12:13, 15:2, 21:13, 26:6, 36:12, 37:11,

42:9, 64:4, 65:2, 90:8, 91:22, 92:5, 93:11, 97:14, 107:21, 107:22, 111:6, 117:8, 117:17, 136:8, 137:4, 137:9, 138:21, 143:23, 145:16, 155:18, 163:15, 165:16, 165:21, 166:18. 166:23. 167:1. 167:6, 167:7, 167:13, 167:17, 167:19, 167:23, 168:2, 168:6, 168:8 doubtful [1] - 158:7 down [19] - 49:15, 61:16, 61:20, 61:22, 62:4, 63:7, 64:16, 67:13, 92:3, 97:7, 101:11, 105:25, 118:19, 127:17, 127:20, 135:4, 142:1, 147:9, 175:24 downloaded [2] - 93:25, 94:4 dozen [1] - 71:17 dozens [1] - 137:19 **Dr** [5] - 66:3, 68:13, 69:9, 69:17, 75:11 dragged [1] - 126:19 draw [7] - 153:10, 154:3, 154:4, 164:12, 164:18, 165:4, 166:2 drawn [2] - 42:14, 165:1 dream [1] - 86:23 drive [2] - 104:12, 155:23 driver [1] - 49:14 drives [1] - 91:7 drops [1] - 164:10 drove [1] - 62:13 **Duaa** [4] - 86:17, 88:3, 88:8, 88:10 **Duaa's** [1] - 86:19 **dude** [1] - 80:18 **Dujana** [1] - 66:10 Dujanah [1] - 83:7 **Dunkin'** [1] - 142:4 during [31] - 17:10, 17:13, 17:15, 29:9, 35:11, 48:6, 56:17, 57:14, 62:24, 68:12, 69:19, 73:21, 74:4, 76:12, 77:8, 77:23, 78:11, 78:20, 79:23, 80:10, 88:25, 90:17, 95:2, 109:14, 110:10, 112:5, 116:17, 139:9, 150:7, 173:25, 174:14 duty [7] - 8:17, 8:23, 97:23, 109:2, 133:10,

167:21, 168:5 **dying** [1] - 105:20

Ε

e-Tayyiba [2] - 44:2, 86:11 early [2] - 91:7, 113:2 earned [1] - 74:3 earth [1] - 52:9 easily [1] - 118:19 easy [5] - 74:8, 80:16, 96:6, 105:14, 143:9 eat [3] - 76:19, 80:6, 117:3 eating [3] - 10:14, 12:23, eats [3] - 10:11, 12:2, 13:4 echo [1] - 139:20 Edgar [3] - 74:2, 74:4, 74:5 edited [1] - 75:3 editing [4] - 75:17, 76:8, 103:4, 147:3 **education** [1] - 149:22 effect [3] - 24:14, 153:7, effort [11] - 41:9, 41:14, 54:24, 60:1, 70:8, 71:20, 72:6, 73:17, 75:18, 83:3, 160:11 efforts [6] - 41:13, 66:4, 75:20, 86:15, 87:25, 88:24 eggs [1] - 11:11 **Egypt** [7] - 48:1, 79:12, 79:14, 81:6, 122:7, 122:8, 130:24 Egyptian [5] - 44:25, 45:3, 47:7, 48:15, 48:21 Egyptians [1] - 48:1 Ehsanul [1] - 72:25 eight [1] - 126:22 either [26] - 12:8, 20:2, 20:3, 20:9, 22:11, 25:19, 26:21, 27:20, 28:2, 28:6, 31:3, 31:5, 32:5, 32:19, 39:4, 51:20, 116:4, 127:24, 131:5, 150:16, 155:1, 156:15, 163:18, 167:9, 170:15 electric [1] - 109:20 electricity [1] - 106:24 **electronic** [2] - 162:19, 174:10 element [9] - 12:15, 13:1,

13:6, 15:12, 22:19, 38:6, 38:7, 41:24, 168:1 elements [18] - 11:1, 11:2, 12:10, 15:9, 20:25, 21:19, 22:2, 22:5, 23:8, 26:5, 27:4, 28:19, 29:17, 30:13, 32:8, 35:2, 39:10, 152:8 eleven [1] - 137:1 **ELMO** [2] - 90:25, 92:7 elsewhere [2] - 118:3, 159:16 email [7] - 73:11, 73:18, 74:9, 88:3, 96:4, 96:5, 102:6 emails [5] - 42:7, 74:13, 86:17, 90:5, 106:10 embargo [1] - 111:2 embarrassed [2] - 42:22, 66:23 embarrassing [1] - 112:22 Embassy [1] - 123:16 **employees** [1] - 65:18 empty [1] - 32:12 enact [2] - 12:18, 12:21 enacted [2] - 9:25, 140:22 enacting [1] - 10:1 encourage [1] - 144:17 encouragement [1] -75:13 **encryption** [1] - 141:22 END[1] - 172:6 end [8] - 84:24, 86:22, 108:15, 130:22, 137:24, 165:15, 171:19, 173:19 endeavor [2] - 33:4, 33:13 endlessly [1] - 112:12 enemies [1] - 130:1 enforcement [3] - 56:4, 65:9. 90:12 engage [2] - 120:23, 132:25 engaged [7] - 22:14, 22:15, 25:18, 31:21, 41:6, 147:2, 150:4 engages [2] - 22:14, 22:15 engaging [3] - 25:19, 147:2, 150:4 England [1] - 128:16 English [7] - 5:2, 5:21, 106:20, 134:3, 134:16, 157:9 English-language [1] - 5:2

engraved [1] - 87:16

enjoy [1] - 139:10 enter [3] - 49:15, 161:10, entered [4] - 16:7, 55:10, 161:1, 161:17 entering [1] - 161:12 enters [2] - 4:12, 7:20 enthusiasm [2] - 67:21, entire [2] - 17:13, 160:4 entirely [5] - 24:7, 46:15, 155:16, 162:17, 173:7 entitled [5] - 155:15, 157:22, 166:7, 166:19, 166:21 entry [1] - 161:4 environment [1] - 96:5 equal [1] - 17:4 equipment [1] - 40:1 equivalent [1] - 132:21 error [1] - 121:11 escaped [1] - 48:1 especially [2] - 109:9, 138:13 **Esq** [4] - 2:9, 2:10, 2:10, 2:13 essence [1] - 136:2 essential [1] - 106:18 essentially [1] - 61:7 establish [3] - 46:17, 168:1, 170:10 established [6] - 10:16, 10:21, 10:23, 23:9, 56:6 establishes [2] - 41:20, et [1] - 30:23 Ethiopia [1] - 122:15 Ethiopians [1] - 78:17 ethnic [1] - 110:18 evaluating [3] - 43:12, 158:13, 160:22 evaluation [2] - 166:14, 173:6 Evan [14] - 44:15, 66:2, 69:2. 75:17. 94:13. 102:16. 103:3, 103:12, 103:14, 103:18, 135:12, 144:8,

145:24, 146:13

157:1, 158:25

event [4] - 83:9, 156:19,

events [6] - 17:17, 17:19,

18:16, 158:22, 159:2, 159:3

eventually [1] - 53:13

everywhere [1] - 99:22 Evidence [1] - 155:22 evidence [128] - 6:1, 9:19, 10:16, 15:24, 18:17, 19:13, 23:5, 40:5, 40:20, 41:2, 41:13, 41:24, 42:8, 42:21, 42:22, 73:14, 75:11, 77:21, 90:3, 92:4, 92:19, 93:12, 97:13, 103:11, 104:2, 108:5, 108:9, 112:25, 113:21, 130:5, 132:15, 134:10, 136:7, 136:20, 136:21, 140:2, 140:8, 141:15, 141:17, 142:9, 151:23, 151:24, 152:3, 152:5, 152:17, 152:21, 152:22, 152:24, 153:7, 153:9, 153:12, 153:17, 153:19, 153:20, 153:23, 153:24, 154:2, 154:5, 154:7, 154:8, 154:9, 154:10, 154:12, 154:13, 154:16, 154:18, 154:19, 154:20, 154:24, 155:2, 155:4, 155:6, 155:8, 155:9, 155:13, 156:18, 156:20, 156:22, 158:1, 160:22, 161:2, 161:7, 161:20, 161:23, 162:16, 162:18, 162:23, 162:25, 163:2, 163:3, 163:5, 163:6, 163:8, 163:10, 163:11, 163:14, 163:16, 163:17, 163:19, 163:20, 163:21, 164:20, 164:22, 164:23, 165:6, 165:14, 165:20, 166:6, 166:8, 166:9, 166:13, 166:15, 167:5, 167:7, 167:8, 167:9, 167:14, 167:18, 173:4, 173:6, 174:17, 174:20 evidentiary [1] - 8:1 evil [1] - 125:22 exact [3] - 13:20, 135:18, 143:11 exactly [13] - 16:14, 17:5, 17:17, 44:19, 49:17, 50:18, 87:15, 116:2, 116:9, 116:24, 121:9, 149:17, 159:22 **exaggerate** [1] - 150:25 exaggerations [1] - 104:4 examination [1] - 56:24 examine [1] - 163:5

examined [1] - 162:11 example [23] - 10:9, 10:12, 10:19, 11:3, 11:9, 12:1, 12:19, 13:21, 18:6, 23:4, 32:11, 65:15, 68:8, 84:15, 92:13, 113:22, 121:15, 145:15, 156:19, 156:23, 159:2, 159:18, 163:7 **except** [5] - 56:9, 82:24, 128:8, 128:12, 132:9 **excerpts** [1] - 74:15 exchange [1] - 86:17 exclude [2] - 154:25, 167:5 excluded [1] - 6:19 excluding [1] - 155:1 exclusive [1] - 153:6 excommunicated [1] -100:13 excuse [4] - 112:17, 165:7, 167:20, 175:21 excused [1] - 174:22 executive [7] - 20:19, 35:17, 36:6, 36:17, 37:4, 39:1, 172:13 exercise [1] - 166:1 Exhibit [25] - 45:9, 47:16, 47:23, 57:8, 57:24, 58:20, 59:15, 60:14, 66:10, 67:2, 67:5, 70:5, 71:9, 71:23, 72:22. 72:24. 73:11. 73:18. 76:12. 76:16. 76:20. 77:25. 78:11, 83:6, 86:18 exhibits [17] - 4:23, 5:5, 6:20, 42:13, 42:20, 155:10, 155:12, 155:14, 155:17, 155:23, 156:8, 156:9, 156:12, 156:16, 157:8, 157:12, 157:17 Exhibits [2] - 5:10, 55:20 existed [10] - 15:4, 15:18, 17:11, 17:20, 21:14, 26:7, 35:18, 99:8, 168:20, 168:23 existence [7] - 15:7, 17:15, 27:4, 28:19, 29:18, 30:14, 35:22 exists [1] - 167:13 exit[1] - 90:20 exits [2] - 175:22, 176:12 expect [2] - 39:14, 137:6 expected [1] - 96:1 **Expedition** [3] - 69:14,

75:2, 96:19

experience [2] - 102:25, 164:13 **experiences** [2] - 44:3, 51:4 expert [21] - 22:25, 23:12, 23:16, 44:16, 49:20, 50:12, 75:19, 76:3, 76:10, 93:24, 102:15, 104:8, 104:13, 105:3, 113:8, 116:8, 134:15, 144:9, 149:17, 149:24 **expertise** [3] - 68:21, 145:21, 150:18 experts [12] - 67:22, 91:18, 91:19, 92:11, 93:1, 94:17, 103:14, 107:19, 107:20, 126:23, 143:8, 148:23 **explain** [9] - 11:23, 40:19, 61:20, 62:4, 64:16, 81:8, 112:18, 130:3, 166:12 explained [14] - 28:10, 40:13, 41:25, 44:11, 48:17, 64:21, 67:11, 67:17, 68:1, 75:17, 79:22, 81:22, 85:21, 147:6 explains [3] - 40:9, 72:2, 88:14 explanation [3] - 49:6, 58:17, 83:18 **explicitly** [4] - 61:8, 64:22, 108:6 **express** [3] - 16:7, 60:21, 136:18 expressed [8] - 62:9, 64:6, 71:25, 73:25, 89:7, 97:11, 99:14, 136:17 expressing [1] - 97:16 extend [1] - 11:3 extent [3] - 5:24, 154:15, 165:13 extraordinarily [1] -103:22 extraterritorial [2] - 26:24, 29:16 extreme [1] - 98:6 extremist [1] - 68:22 eyes [1] - 148:9

F

face [4] - 72:4, 74:8, 101:16, 111:22 Facebook [1] - 102:6 facilitate [1] - 87:10 fact [42] - 10:3, 10:5, 14:23, 15:22, 16:3, 16:10, 22:12, 25:17, 29:13, 32:3, 32:11, 36:8, 44:16, 44:24, 45:4, 52:21, 56:21, 56:23, 59:4, 61:8, 65:6, 72:21, 74:2, 74:11, 81:24, 87:17, 113:17, 115:25, 116:1, 116:25, 117:21, 145:19, 148:2, 148:18, 152:9, 156:24, 156:25, 157:1, 163:18, 163:19, 163:20, 167:17

factor [2] - 131:2, 160:21 facts [22] - 11:1, 22:22, 40:8, 40:9, 92:23, 93:13, 103:4, 105:16, 117:7, 140:7, 141:10, 147:14, 152:23, 152:25, 153:3, 153:6, 153:10, 153:11, 165:9, 173:4, 173:6 factual [3] - 32:11, 153:8, 173:9

factually [1] - 32:5 Fadel [10] - 95:15, 97:18, 99:2, 101:18, 102:5, 106:3, 106:5, 106:7, 130:4, 149:4 Fadl [2] - 59:22, 60:11 Fadl's [3] - 59:16, 59:18,

59:24 **failed** [4] - 53:10, 54:13,

54:23, 54:24 **fair** [4] - 100:3, 153:12, 153:14, 157:25

fair-minded [2] - 153:14, 157:25

fairly [3] - 153:17, 155:15, 157:22

faith [1] - 107:12 faithfully [2] - 8:23, 9:5 fall [3] - 40:14, 43:20, 137:15

Fallujah [2] - 128:7, 128:20

false [27] - 20:18, 20:22, 20:23, 35:15, 36:4, 36:9, 36:11, 36:13, 36:19, 36:20, 37:8, 37:11, 38:4, 38:9, 38:11, 38:12, 38:16, 38:22, 38:24, 39:4, 39:7, 40:17, 125:23, 130:8, 169:9, 169:10

falsifies [1] - 36:7
familiar [2] - 5:23, 129:23
family [7] - 87:1, 105:25,
109:7, 119:9, 122:14,
123:19, 173:17
far [5] - 91:8, 108:24,
125:23, 153:22, 164:21
farthest [1] - 64:12
faster [1] - 136:12
father [6] - 49:11, 49:22,
112:2, 115:13, 121:8,
121:14
father's [2] - 49:19, 121:13
fathers [4] - 138:4, 138:12,
138:17, 138:21

Fatima's [1] - 67:7 **favor** [4] - 153:12, 160:9, 160:10, 160:11

favorite [1] - 66:13 FBI [33] - 20:23, 37:5, 38:13, 38:17, 45:17, 46:6, 47:11, 47:13, 47:16, 47:20, 48:3, 48:4, 56:1, 59:5, 59:8, 59:9, 65:9, 81:5, 81:11, 82:2, 105:5, 116:15, 118:18, 118:20, 118:23, 119:21, 119:25, 123:14, 123:16, 130:12, 130:23, 131:9, 131:24

feasibility [1] - 71:3 February [18] - 30:21, 38:18, 42:24, 55:11, 55:12, 55:13, 55:14, 57:24, 58:20, 60:21, 62:25, 68:1, 79:22, 80:22, 83:19, 94:22, 116:13, 116:18

fear [2] - 93:11, 153:11

fed [1] - 155:23 **Federal** [5] - 2:3, 20:20, 36:18, 37:4, 39:1

federal [26] - 9:23, 9:24, 10:6, 10:9, 12:14, 12:16, 12:17, 12:20, 12:25, 13:2, 13:3, 13:6, 20:19, 36:17, 85:24, 116:14, 121:7, 121:16, 121:21, 134:24, 140:5, 140:21, 146:22, 151:13, 169:12

51:13, 169:12

Feds [1] - 125:20

fee [1] - 102:20

feed [1] - 174:10

feet [1] - 138:21

fell [1] - 135:1

34:13, 40:15, 40:22, 47:4,

finger [1] - 165:1 fellow [4] - 98:19, 101:10, 111:13, 112:7 finish [4] - 32:9, 32:10, felt [6] - 73:25, 114:17, 152:13, 172:4 129:12, 131:25, 150:12, finished [2] - 39:18, 171:6 151:15 finishing [3] - 76:13, **few** [10] - 58:1, 86:16, 128:13, 130:23 89:6, 92:15, 122:15, Finland [2] - 123:14, 139:23, 140:15, 152:12, 123:16 167:2, 172:7 fire [1] - 111:8 **fewer** [3] - 107:5, 140:15 firm [1] - 154:3 Fiancé [1] - 67:7 first [48] - 7:25, 9:7, 12:5, fictitious [1] - 36:9 15:2, 21:13, 26:21, 27:21, field [1] - 59:19 31:20, 37:13, 39:16, 39:21, fieldwork [2] - 95:6, 40:5. 40:15. 40:23. 43:10. 102:22 45:10, 46:3, 55:25, 56:15, 69:22, 70:3, 83:4, 88:8, fifteen [1] - 173:14 91:3, 107:1, 107:3, 107:10, fight [56] - 41:1, 42:17, 43:3, 43:24, 44:5, 48:10, 110:10, 113:10, 123:17, 50:17, 50:25, 51:11, 52:5, 126:24, 132:23, 135:22, 138:3, 143:13, 152:14, 52:13, 54:2, 54:19, 54:20, 54:25, 55:5, 55:7, 57:1, 152:20, 153:13, 154:7, 158:16, 163:11, 163:13, 60:1, 61:17, 61:22, 61:25, 163:15, 170:15, 170:20, 63:17, 64:5, 65:4, 65:12, 171:2, 171:5 65:19, 66:19, 66:22, 67:19, 71:8, 71:9, 77:14, 80:13, First [4] - 24:15, 25:1, 84:10, 85:20, 88:2, 88:18, 137:17, 169:3 88:22, 89:15, 90:10, 98:22, **fit** [2] - 128:21, 156:15 100:18, 100:22, 100:23, fits [1] - 114:6 114:21, 117:11, 117:14, **FIVE** [1] - 1:13 118:2, 118:6, 123:21, five [6] - 40:22, 103:23, 127:24, 127:25, 145:2, 113:23, 116:5, 119:1, 150:15, 150:19 126:22 fighters [2] - 66:4, 77:6 flavor [1] - 11:12 fighting [13] - 71:7, 71:11, flight [1] - 78:14 78:6, 79:18, 81:1, 81:3, float [1] - 104:9 101:10, 120:23, 122:19, floodgates [1] - 73:10 122:20, 122:21, 132:25 fluent [1] - 99:4 figure [7] - 13:8, 99:9, **fly** [2] - 118:7, 127:12 99:12, 104:16, 113:16, focus [6] - 10:12, 41:10, 113:19, 113:24 90:7, 113:4, 126:10, 130:9 file [1] - 94:15 focusing [1] - 126:11 files [2] - 156:14 folder [1] - 150:9 filled [1] - 133:18 folders [2] - 150:8, 150:9 final [5] - 81:18, 96:21, folding [1] - 164:9 147:6, 150:21, 172:7 $\textbf{folks}\ [4]\ -\ 134{:}2,\ 137{:}20,$ finally [16] - 41:22, 42:3, 140:13, 149:3 47:7, 56:19, 89:13, 91:5, **follow** [4] - 100:7, 100:8, 104:13, 123:24, 126:16, 147:23, 157:7 129:13, 132:22, 134:15, followed [3] - 39:21, 68:8, 139:18, 148:13, 149:24, 169:18 following [8] - 4:1, 15:1, fine [2] - 172:1, 172:2 22:9, 25:17, 26:5, 28:18, finest [1] - 88:11 29:17, 33:21

65:7, 119:2, 123:2, 126:22, 168:15 follows [1] - 10:7 156:2 four-minute [1] - 156:2 fool [2] - 92:24, 94:16 fourth [3] - 28:24, 29:24, fooled [1] - 80:7 86:24 FOR [1] - 1:1 forbade [1] - 114:21 frame [1] - 155:6 frankly [1] - 86:20 forbid [1] - 114:20 fraudulent [2] - 35:16, forbidden [3] - 27:23, 28:1, 114:11 36.9 freak [1] - 60:12 forbids [1] - 14:14 free [4] - 24:15, 97:16, Force [4] - 77:17, 78:25, 116:21, 127:14 119:15, 119:17 freedom [4] - 120:13, forces [5] - 41:1, 43:24, 55:8, 71:14, 77:13 137:21, 138:7, 138:8 freedoms [2] - 134:23, foregoing [1] - 177:5 135:4 foreign [22] - 19:21, 20:4, French [1] - 95:5 20:5, 21:9, 21:23, 22:7, **Friday** [2] - 1:18, 110:5 22:13, 23:25, 24:4, 24:8, 24:12, 24:19, 24:25, 25:18, friend [7] - 74:2, 78:5, 26:22, 26:24, 28:17, 29:19, 84:25, 112:2, 115:20, 34:24, 35:3, 40:25, 52:5 131:1, 144:19 foreigners [1] - 47:25 friends [12] - 44:9, 57:20, 58:12, 78:21, 78:23, 110:4, foreman [3] - 172:11, 111:16, 112:21, 113:2, 172:17, 173:1 118:15, 120:19, 129:25 foreseen [1] - 34:6 forethought [2] - 30:5 friggin' [1] - 48:15 front [13] - 65:14, 65:17, forget [3] - 49:25, 91:15, 102:8 65:20, 85:8, 85:15, 89:15, forgive [1] - 96:13 92:3, 95:23, 104:7, 126:16, 128:22, 137:25, 140:22 **form** [11] - 6:5, 6:8, 23:16, frozen [2] - 11:10, 11:12 23:19, 25:7, 68:21, 76:9, FTO [1] - 168:25 103:1, 155:18, 155:23, fugitives [1] - 48:2 156.9 formal [1] - 16:7 Fulbright [1] - 95:4 formally [1] - 115:4 fulfill [1] - 133:17 fulfilling [2] - 17:5, 152:18 formats [1] - 73:6 formed [2] - 16:21, 16:22 fulfillment [1] - 15:14 forms [2] - 14:5, 155:10 full [7] - 11:8, 47:25, 48:4. 103:25, 106:3, 168:18, formula [1] - 10:7 173:17 formulation [1] - 12:4 fully [1] - 8:17 forth [8] - 8:17, 17:9, function [1] - 36:23 19:10, 56:12, 77:19, 87:15, fundamental [2] - 21:19, 130:2, 156:6 114:24 forum [3] - 68:25, 125:14, 125:15 furnish [1] - 22:23 forums [3] - 68:20, 102:18, furnishing [2] - 23:6, furtherance [5] - 31:25, fought [1] - 101:16 founder [1] - 86:10 34:1, 43:7, 56:10, 60:25 fuss [1] - 105:12 founding [4] - 138:4, future [2] - 87:24, 88:15 138:12, 138:16, 138:21 four [11] - 6:20, 14:3,

FOLLOWS [2] - 108:1,

G

Gadhafi [1] - 135:1 game [3] - 102:2, 103:23 gee [1] - 131:1 gender [1] - 127:5 General [2] - 119:23, general [9] - 6:6, 9:6, 11:16, 13:13, 23:12, 41:8, 81:1, 81:3, 108:15 generalities [1] - 104:3 generalizations [1] - 103:3 generally [12] - 13:16, 14:8, 15:10, 19:5, 20:7, 61:12, 61:13, 63:8, 69:17, 79:7, 165:4 genocide [2] - 104:18, 110:16 gentlemen [21] - 40:4, 82:2, 90:6, 113:16, 127:4, 139:18, 141:25, 142:5, 142:10, 142:14, 142:21, 143:18, 143:22, 144:25, 145:14, 147:11, 148:17, 149:15, 150:7, 152:1, 152:5 genuine [1] - 88:2 **GEORGE** [1] - 1:11 George [1] - 4:2 **Ghraib** [2] - 105:23, 111:9 girl [4] - 92:14, 111:5, 111:7, 129:19 girlfriend [1] - 144:17 gist [1] - 14:16 given [18] - 23:21, 27:3, 55:18, 57:4, 59:5, 85:14, 104:1, 109:9, 116:6, 120:12, 130:11, 149:21, 158:8, 161:8, 162:5, 162:11, 166:6, 172:20 globally [1] - 68:16 Globe [2] - 94:3, 137:25 glove [1] - 114:6 goals [2] - 24:4, 24:9 goats [2] - 63:9, 63:10 gotcha [2] - 131:3, 131:24 government [111] - 9:11, 10:22, 12:16, 12:20, 14:20, 14:25, 15:12, 15:17, 16:12, 17:12, 18:1, 20:20, 21:12, 22:8, 22:16, 25:5, 25:11, 25:14, 26:5, 28:5, 31:13,

31:20, 32:18, 33:1, 34:19, 34:23, 35:12, 35:21, 36:6, 36:12, 36:17, 36:24, 37:10, 37:20, 37:21, 38:15, 38:21, 39:21, 39:22, 39:24, 47:5, 47:20, 85:24, 91:21, 93:16, 94:17, 97:6, 97:22, 101:24, 101:25. 102:15. 104:11. 105:7, 105:14, 107:9, 107:15, 107:21, 110:20, 110:22, 116:14, 116:18, 117:8, 117:16, 117:23, 117:25, 120:8, 121:7, 121:16, 122:25, 123:1, 132:7, 132:14, 132:18, 134:10, 134:24, 134:25, 135:10, 136:2, 136:10, 137:3, 137:18, 138:14, 138:20, 139:3, 140:5, 140:17, 141:3, 141:17, 151:14, 161:1, 161:3, 161:8, 161:10, 161:17, 161:19, 162:7, 162:20, 165:19, 165:21, 166:16, 166:17, 166:19, 166:22, 166:25, 167:24, 167:25, 169:15, 170:6, 170:19 Government [2] - 2:8, government's [9] - 12:17, 17:17, 113:11, 115:9, 139:15, 139:19, 148:24, 150:22, 162:3 governmental [2] - 36:22, 36:24 graduating [1] - 123:10 grammar [1] - 10:9 grand [2] - 40:12, 119:12 granddaughter [2] -92:16. 132:5 grandfather [1] - 132:5 granted [2] - 12:17, 162:2 grants [1] - 12:20 graze [2] - 63:9, 63:10 great [10] - 40:5, 95:17, 102:17, 115:21, 117:3, 127:4, 128:22, 135:3, 141:2, 161:20 greater [1] - 162:11 Gregory [8] - 44:16, 94:21, 95:4, 95:21, 96:7, 96:9, 102:24, 116:8 grenades [1] - 85:6

grew [1] - 112:15 grievous [1] - 30:7 Groharing [2] - 2:6, 4:9 ground [1] - 103:1 group [12] - 40:15, 40:16, 75:24, 96:16, 96:17, 111:6, 128:2, 128:8, 129:23, 173:16, 174:18 groups [4] - 25:22, 40:15, 40:18, 125:1 grow [2] - 86:20, 87:6 growing [2] - 109:16, 109:19 guarantee [2] - 24:15, 24:17 guaranteed [2] - 86:7, 165:23 guard [1] - 119:19 **guarding** [1] - 111:10 guess [5] - 5:20, 7:4, 134:10, 172:8, 172:15 guessing [2] - 153:21, 165:7 guesswork [1] - 93:12 **GUH** [5] - 67:7, 75:2, 77:7, 77:11, 77:12 **guide** [1] - 69:6 guilt [7] - 42:21, 43:13, 56:6, 166:23, 166:25, 167:6, 167:24 guilty [52] - 17:25, 21:1, 21:11, 24:10, 26:4, 31:13, 31:14, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 33:20, 34:14, 35:20, 37:7, 37:8, 38:22, 39:3, 39:7, 40:10, 41:3, 41:20, 42:10, 56:14, 56:22, 65:7, 65:9, 90:13, 91:21, 117:18, 121:14, 138:11, 138:24, 147:14, 152:2, 152:6, 152:10, 160:25, 161:4, 165:20, 166:11, 166:18, 167:11, 167:12, 168:5, 168:7, 168:9, 168:11 guitar [1] - 109:20 gun [1] - 149:14 guns [5] - 67:15, 95:19, 95:25, 120:4, 120:5 guy [8] - 47:7, 48:14, 48:19, 89:21, 120:7, 121:6, 149:11 **guys** [8] - 51:8, 62:14, 62:19, 74:20, 104:23,

127:16, 133:24, 134:2

н

Hadeed [6] - 69:15, 75:2, 96:20, 132:14, 145:15, 145:16 Hadith [3] - 110:9, 110:11, Hadiths [4] - 102:13, 106:3, 113:25, 133:19 hair [2] - 45:21, 49:23 **Hajj** [1] - 48:14 Hale [1] - 101:19 half [4] - 48:13, 48:14, 139:2, 139:6 **halfway** [2] - 104:6, 143:5 hammer [1] - 53:1 Hampshire [2] - 120:3, 124.9 Hamza [1] - 68:5 hand [3] - 25:2, 114:6, 168.6 handed [1] - 175:24 hands [5] - 111:24, 112:1, 125:18, 125:22, 151:16 Hanscom [2] - 119:15, 119:17 happy [1] - 148:4 harassment [1] - 125:17 hard [3] - 91:7, 104:12, 112:24 hard-pressed [1] - 112:24 harm [1] - 30:7 harsh [2] - 112:13, 112:17 Hassan [12] - 44:23, 53:5, 55:16, 62:10, 62:11, 86:10, 96:8, 121:6, 121:13, 121:15, 121:17, 121:24 Hassan's [2] - 47:8, 48:18 hasty [1] - 89:17 hath [1] - 111:20 Hath [1] - 111:21 hats [1] - 113:20 head [3] - 44:22, 53:1 headed [1] - 122:17 headquarters [1] - 101:20 hear [15] - 5:19, 7:2, 13:11, 66:21, 93:4, 103:10, 112:12, 116:20, 128:25, 129:3, 135:2, 161:19,

163:9, 163:14, 164:19

heard [36] - 5:17, 8:6, 40:5, 43:11, 46:15, 60:16, 65:25, 79:16, 82:8, 87:17, 91:17, 95:15, 99:10, 101:5, 102:5, 106:14, 111:1, 111:4. 112:14. 114:14. 97.2 115:12. 116:12. 118:14. 130:6, 132:23, 144:11, 149:9, 152:20, 153:8, 154:20, 160:16, 160:23, 143:15 161:2, 162:1, 163:3, 175:4 hearing [3] - 121:18, 121:19, 174:17 heart [3] - 87:17, 112:4, 115:14 heaven [1] - 116:7 heavy [1] - 166:24 heck [4] - 50:6, 60:10, 81:8, 93:22 29:16 held [2] - 4:1, 123:15 helicopters [1] - 70:12 hell [1] - 128:7 help [29] - 28:22, 33:3, 33:12, 35:7, 42:13, 42:17, 46:19, 48:9, 48:13, 49:4, 53:8, 53:21, 54:17, 54:24, 61:3, 66:25, 77:5, 85:13, 95:15, 97:23, 101:9, 176:7 107:10, 126:17, 127:7, 127:13, 127:24, 135:8, 173:9, 173:10 helped [4] - 46:9, 72:6, 79:5, 157:7 helpful [2] - 9:15, 43:13 helping [6] - 15:7, 21:18, 26:10, 70:11, 127:23, 128:2 hereby [1] - 177:5 heritage [2] - 110:3, 110:15 hero [2] - 99:12 herself [1] - 159:8 Hi [1] - 118:9 hide [2] - 141:21, 141:22 hiding [2] - 49:20, 57:25 high [1] - 109:25 139:6 highly [1] - 138:13 highly-charged [1] -138:13 hijackers [1] - 67:8 134:4 hijacking [1] - 26:2 himself [18] - 23:25, 31:10, 34:16, 42:19, 64:24, 76:9, 105:25, 111:8, 111:20,

83:2, 83:13, 113:8, 141:24,

142:24

142:1, 142:16, 144:16, 146:10, 150:16, 150:17, 150:20, 151:6 hint [2] - 98:14, 98:16 hire [3] - 87:22, 95:12, hiring [1] - 65:17 history [1] - 99:5 hit [3] - 49:25, 50:8, **HNN** [2] - 86:6, 86:8 hold [1] - 101:15 holding [2] - 114:9, 128:13 holiday [1] - 109:9 home [4] - 44:14, 57:17, 128:24. 130:15 home' [1] - 50:3 homicide [2] - 26:24, honest [1] - 86:23 honestly [2] - 89:19, 89:20 honey [1] - 118:9 Honor [16] - 4:16, 4:19, 4:24, 5:13, 6:9, 39:25, 90:24, 107:23, 108:2, 108:19, 136:12, 139:17, 169:18, 169:24, 171:14, honor [1] - 100:5 **HONORABLE** [1] - 1:11 Honorable [1] - 4:2 honored [1] - 101:3 hook [1] - 143:3 hope [8] - 13:11, 74:6, 76:14, 87:23, 92:25, 102:7, 130:2, 172:22 hoped [2] - 48:5, 85:19 hopefully [1] - 48:19 hopes [4] - 48:12, 49:3, 54:16. 54:18 hosted [1] - 73:7 hostile [2] - 71:14, 103:15 hottest [1] - 128:20 hour [3] - 47:2, 139:2, hours [4] - 46:25, 119:2, 119:4, 124:6 House [3] - 105:5, 133:23, house [10] - 44:21, 44:24, 49:9, 49:10, 49:15, 104:11,

how'd [1] - 45:19 **HSN's** [1] - 86:9 hug [3] - 122:25, 123:2, 123:5 huge [1] - 49:22 human [5] - 30:4, 37:14, 65:17, 83:20, 167:2 humble [1] - 96:5 humiliate [1] - 111:11 humor [1] - 91:9 hundred [1] - 134:2 hundreds [7] - 84:6, 94:8, 111:3, 112:20, 129:17, 137:18 hung [1] - 112:9 husband [3] - 86:19, 86:20, 112:2 Hussein [1] - 72:11 hut [1] - 49:10 huts [1] - 47:19 hypocrisy [1] - 135:23 hypocrite [2] - 89:25, 148:15 hypocrites [1] - 59:6 hypothetical [2] - 10:9, 167:1

ı

ice [11] - 10:11, 10:14,

10:20, 12:2, 12:6, 12:7,

Ibn [1] - 75:14

12:23, 13:3, 13:4, 13:21 ID'd [1] - 7:10 idea [12] - 89:17, 101:11, 110:10, 114:4, 119:11, 119:13, 119:15, 119:20, 124:14, 124:18, 147:21, 157:14 ideally [1] - 86:23 ideas [1] - 8:12 identified [10] - 14:7. 20:14, 26:21, 27:17, 30:17, 33:18, 33:19, 47:11, 66:12, 69:2 identify [1] - 123:24 ideology [2] - 146:17, 147:18 idiots [1] - 62:22 ignorance [1] - 22:22 Ihab [1] - 71:24 illegal [1] - 134:20

illustrate [4] - 10:9, 11:4, 23:5, 156:22 illustration [4] - 11:9, 11:21, 13:9, 22:18 IM [1] - 129:23 image [1] - 92:1 imagine [4] - 110:11, 120:18, 120:19, 127:16 **Imam** [2] - 121:8, 121:10 imitation [1] - 133:25 immature [1] - 89:17 **Immigration** [2] - 121:11, 121:18 immunity [7] - 52:7, 52:14, 52:21, 162:2, 162:4, 162:7, 162:11 $\textbf{immunized} \ [\textbf{1}] - 52:22$ **impact** [2] - 76:15, 137:9 impanel [1] - 173:20 impart [1] - 23:11 impartial [1] - 153:14 impartially [1] - 153:17 implication [1] - 159:17 importance [3] - 75:18, 75:20, 160:1 important [31] - 22:24, 24:3, 33:6, 40:7, 76:2, 76:4, 82:1, 91:15, 97:4, 97:25, 100:9, 102:11, 102:14, 109:11, 109:24, 115:16, 117:15, 121:5, 124:23, 134:1, 137:12, 145:1, 145:21, 145:22, 145:23, 147:4, 149:9, 153:18, 166:8, 175:6 **importantly** [1] - 52:24 imposed [1] - 161:7 impossibility [1] - 32:11 impossible [4] - 32:6. 32:10, 32:13, 166:24 imposter[1] - 131:20 impress [2] - 88:12, 126:24 impression [1] - 163:11 **improperly** [1] - 162:23 **IMs** [2] - 130:4, 137:18 inaction [1] - 34:10 inactive [2] - 94:1, 104:10 inadvertent [1] - 6:24 inappropriate [1] - 108:15 incarceration [1] - 161:9 incite [1] - 66:17

include [8] - 11:8, 11:10,

11:12, 23:2, 59:6, 113:7, 157:12, 169:6 included [5] - 11:18, 56:19, 56:21, 74:10, 74:11 includes [1] - 22:25 including [11] - 21:15, 23:24, 31:17, 66:9, 68:24, 73:13, 97:19, 113:7, 118:8, 169:3, 172:10 income [2] - 103:23, 103:25 inconclusive [1] - 154:2 inconsistency [5] - 136:1, 159:17, 159:23, 160:2, 160:4 inconsistent [2] - 159:14, 159:16 incorrect [1] - 70:23 indeed [1] - 16:19 independence [1] - 138:17 independent [6] - 25:2, 41:17, 56:7, 137:14, 141:25, 169:16 independently [7] - 24:3, 24:8, 24:20, 107:11, 137:15, 137:17, 137:23 index [2] - 156:7, 156:8 indicate [1] - 53:24 indicated [1] - 91:12 indicates [1] - 32:3 indication [2] - 50:22, 132:15 indicted [2] - 121:12, 122:3 indicting [1] - 121:25 Indictment [5] - 112:24, 140:7, 140:8, 151:25, 155:6 indictment [26] - 6:10, 6:12, 9:10, 14:4, 15:4, 17:9, 17:13, 17:15, 19:6, 19:10, 19:12, 20:3, 20:7, 20:9, 21:2, 21:4, 21:14, 26:7, 27:6, 35:18, 35:19, 40:11, 65:7, 71:7, 72:12, 108:12 individual [3] - 59:13, 145:2, 175:25 individuals [3] - 51:25, 66.7 125.7 indoctrinate [1] - 67:10 infer [5] - 16:9, 18:18, 126:5, 126:6, 164:15 inference [10] - 18:11, 18:14, 164:12, 164:17,

165:1, 165:5, 165:6, 165:11, 165:14, 166:2 inferences [4] - 163:17, 165:4, 165:8, 165:11 inferring [1] - 165:7 infidels [1] - 84:12 inflicted [1] - 84:8 influence [3] - 36:21, 37:19, 66:8 influenced [4] - 36:23, 81:24, 128:15, 128:17 influencing [1] - 36:22 info [1] - 59:7 information [19] - 46:13. 50:18, 51:17, 51:22, 53:6, 56:24, 73:13, 80:14, 82:1, 92:13, 95:11, 97:24, 103:6, 103:17, 109:22, 164:12, 164:18, 165:5, 165:12 initial [1] - 163:10 injure [1] - 26:22 innocence [4] - 43:13, 91:24, 92:1, 141:19 innocent [8] - 18:5, 23:7, 29:13, 72:3, 72:4, 91:23, 165:18, 165:22 Insaf [3] - 68:5, 68:10, 84.11 inserting [1] - 74:8 inserts [1] - 72:4 insight [2] - 111:16, 117:24 insignificant [1] - 159:25 insisted [1] - 103:16 insofar [2] - 82:24, 157:9 inspire [2] - 66:16, 66:18 inspired [2] - 144:16, 144:24 instance [3] - 20:23. 170:16, 170:18 instances [1] - 39:4 instant [10] - 92:20, 94:7, 95:18, 98:4, 102:4, 102:8, 106:10, 106:19, 112:20, 132:15 instantly [1] - 174:10 instead [1] - 164:7 instruct [1] - 169:10 instruction [6] - 23:10, 108:8, 108:15, 168:24, 169:15, 171:22 **Instruction** [3] - 169:5,

169:8, 169:9

INSTRUCTIONS [1] - 3:6 Instructions [1] - 169:3 instructions [20] - 8:5, 8:8, 9:4, 13:12, 14:8, 19:4, 39:12, 39:17, 40:14, 56:18, 56:21, 139:4, 147:7, 152:13, 152:14, 153:2, 169:2, 170:12, 171:2, 172:20 insufficient [1] - 154:2 insult [1] - 147:16 insulting [1] - 135:11 intelligence [4] - 104:15, 131:23, 135:11, 147:17 intend [1] - 33:12 intended [15] - 14:21, 18:24, 25:6, 30:24, 31:20, 33:3, 37:18, 37:25, 38:17, 55:4, 58:15, 66:16, 66:18, 169:11, 169:12 intending [11] - 20:1, 20:13, 26:19, 27:15, 27:22, 27:25, 28:21, 29:4, 29:21, 35:7. 90:9 intends [4] - 18:9, 18:10, 18:11, 18:19 intent [23] - 18:1, 18:3, 18:14, 18:22, 19:1, 30:6, 32:8, 41:7, 54:20, 67:22, 73:14, 82:5, 82:14, 113:13, 115:19, 145:6, 146:12, 146:13, 146:14, 147:1 intention [10] - 15:6, 18:8, 18:13, 21:17, 26:10, 55:14, 65:4, 94:20, 117:10, 149:5 intentional [8] - 14:23, 15:8, 18:7, 18:25, 27:5, 29:20, 35:24, 160:11 intentionally [7] - 15:6, 17:21, 17:23, 19:2, 32:21, 34:12, 36:14 **intentions** [1] - 28:4 intents [1] - 31:24 interceptions [1] - 162:20 interest [9] - 12:24, 59:13, 78:16, 85:3, 118:14, 118:23, 136:18, 136:19, 160:7 interested [6] - 67:1, 84:6, 84:7, 124:3, 126:21, 131:12 interesting [3] - 121:24, 123:13, 132:24

interests [2] - 42:17,

162:14 interlinked [1] - 141:5 international [5] - 37:12, 37:13, 38:5, 39:6, 39:9 internet [8] - 68:17, 78:23, 105:21, 106:22, 106:24, 111:12, 134:17, 135:5 interpret [2] - 114:2, 170:20 interpretation [2] - 84:16, 130:11 interrelationship [1] -43:14 interstate [4] - 12:20, 12:22, 12:24, 13:4 interview [8] - 45:17, 81:20, 87:20, 88:5, 88:16, 118:18, 130:21, 130:22 interviewed [6] - 47:10, 78:24, 79:11, 127:1, 127:3, 131:4 interviewing [2] - 104:24, 130:12 intimidate [2] - 37:18, 37:25 intimidation [1] - 37:20 introduced [3] - 5:10, 57:3, 73:9 introduction [1] - 9:23 invaded [8] - 72:9, 78:17, 98:22, 98:23, 107:1, 107:2, 133:21. 149:7 invaders [1] - 82:10 invades [1] - 122:15 invading [1] - 132:10 invasion [2] - 55:7, 111:1 Investigation [4] - 20:20, 36:18, 37:5, 39:2 investigation [7] - 81:24, 81:25, 130:11, 130:12, 131:2, 131:15, 131:17 investigative [2] - 162:19, 162:21 **invitation** [1] - 73:8 invite [1] - 133:22 invited [1] - 72:23 invoke [2] - 166:1, 166:3 involve [3] - 158:13, 169:11, 169:12 involved [13] - 9:22, 25:6, 37:12, 38:5, 39:9, 68:16, 69:12, 79:18, 82:7, 123:4, 131:15, 153:16, 175:7

involves [3] - 26:1, 37:13, 37:14 iPads [1] - 156:4 Iran [1] - 135:1 Iraq [66] - 41:1, 43:3, 43:23, 46:19, 48:10, 50:24, 51:12, 54:3, 54:5, 54:12, 54:19, 54:25, 55:5, 55:7, 55:14, 55:18, 55:22, 56:10, 57:1, 61:25, 65:4, 67:6, 69:16, 73:21, 74:20, 75:5, 77:13, 77:15, 79:3, 84:9, 88:23, 88:24, 94:20, 95:3, 98:20, 98:22, 107:1, 111:2, 111:5, 113:13, 114:21, 115:12, 115:17, 117:10, 117:15, 117:19, 118:3, 118:5, 118:9, 119:8, 119:9, 120:23, 126:9, 127:8, 127:24, 127:25, 128:21, 129:2, 136:16, 138:1, 138:3, 140:14, 140:18, Irhaby007 [1] - 73:1 Irhaby007.CA [1] - 73:7 ironic [1] - 137:24 irrelevant [2] - 81:22, 140:6 Islam [12] - 55:3, 70:25, 99:5, 110:1, 114:3, 114:9, 114:11, 124:2, 124:3, 124:17, 126:1, 151:15 **Islamic** [6] - 82:8, 82:12, 88:13, 116:3, 122:9, 122:18 isolation [1] - 163:1 issue [9] - 4:23, 6:5, 6:7, 9:21, 13:10, 15:16, 27:17, 56:7, 62:17 issued [1] - 52:16 issues [10] - 6:7, 6:12, 8:11, 8:13, 83:4, 136:23, 140:4, 153:22, 154:1, 166:5 items [2] - 4:22, 138:1 itinerary [1] - 63:8 itself [8] - 15:21, 29:13, 81:16, 116:12, 158:10, 159:10, 163:1, 163:22

ı

J.W [1] - 2:9 jack [1] - 61:6 jackets [2] - 95:20, 96:1

jail [2] - 48:14, 118:22 Jama'at [1] - 48:1 Janice [7] - 2:10, 111:9, 114:8, 131:12, 131:16, 132:3, 132:8 January [9] - 45:8, 46:12, 48:7, 54:15, 62:9, 68:9, 77:8, 88:25, 168:20 **Jason** [5] - 43:9, 46:16, 96:14, 116:1, 123:13 **Jay** [1] - 91:12 **Jeffrey** [4] - 2:2, 2:6, 4:8, ielly [1] - 79:25 jihad [27] - 50:17, 57:15, 57:21, 57:22, 58:16, 59:10, 60:4, 60:25, 61:10, 64:5, 65:12, 66:19, 66:22, 67:18, 67:19, 67:21, 68:24, 68:25, 69:4, 78:6, 78:9, 84:8, 85:10, 87:5, 88:2, 89:16, 90:9 Jihad [13] - 48:1, 74:16, 84:16, 93:5, 106:4, 133:11, 133:20, 133:22, 142:12, 143:4, 144:21, 148:19, 149:6 jihad' [1] - 63:17 jihadi [5] - 66:16, 66:17, 67:20, 68:23, 84:14 Jihadi [7] - 44:10, 44:23, 45:6, 67:10, 102:12, 103:7 **job** [5] - 88:5, 88:16, 118:6, 124:23, 151:23 jobs [2] - 91:8, 124:9 John [5] - 1:16, 1:22, 2:3, 2.10 4.4 Johnsen [12] - 44:16, 75:19, 75:22, 94:21, 95:4, 95:21, 96:7, 96:9, 102:24, 116:8, 149:16 Johnson [4] - 70:11, 71:20, 72:8, 148:4 join [11] - 18:1, 72:24, 73:16, 75:24, 77:14, 84:10, 87:25, 122:3, 124:23, 127:17, 128:4 joinder [1] - 35:24 joined [9] - 15:6, 17:22, 21:17, 26:9, 29:4, 34:12, 35:6. 106:21. 106:25

joining [2] - 14:14, 28:21

Joint [2] - 77:17, 78:25

joint [2] - 16:2, 16:17 jointly [1] - 16:2 Joseph [1] - 2:3 **JR** [1] - 1:11 Jr [2] - 2:9, 4:2 **JTTF** [2] - 46:7, 77:17 judge [17] - 37:2, 40:9, 40:13, 41:18, 41:25, 56:21, 81:22, 91:12, 91:23, 111:25, 121:19, 121:22, 122:1, 139:20, 147:5, 152:8, 153:17 Judge [6] - 4:3, 52:16, 90:14, 113:4, 113:6, 137:13 JUDGE [1] - 1:12 judge's [2] - 56:18, 151:23 judges [1] - 153:6 judgment [6] - 153:18, 154:20, 155:15, 157:22, 161:25, 162:16 judgments [2] - 9:20, 163:16 judicial [1] - 162:22 **July** [3] - 59:15, 66:11, 67:11 jump [3] - 92:16, 95:20, 132:6 jumped [1] - 132:7 June [8] - 21:3, 35:19, 71:24, 73:25, 74:4, 74:13, 74:15, 84:22 jurisdiction [10] - 12:19, 13:2, 20:19, 35:9, 36:6, 36:16, 37:3, 37:17, 37:23, 38:25 jurisdictional [4] - 12:15, 13:1, 13:6, 22:19 jurisdictions [1] - 28:24 jurisprudence [2] - 116:4, 136:18 juror [4] - 174:1, 174:2, 174:3 jurors [24] - 7:22, 40:6, 91:1, 108:3, 113:19, 129:23, 130:3, 131:11, 137:10, 138:15, 138:25, 152:11, 153:5, 168:4, 172:7, 172:11, 173:8, 173:15, 173:24, 174:2, 174:4, 174:19, 175:3

JURORS [1] - 7:23

JURY [2] - 1:13, 3:6

jury [45] - 5:3, 5:8, 5:9,

5:12, 5:14, 5:17, 5:23, 6:13, 6:16, 7:18, 7:19, 7:20, 12:10, 12:11, 40:12, 90:18, 90:20, 90:22, 109:2, 119:13, 126:23, 127:5, 129:21, 136:2, 138:9, 139:1, 139:14, 155:19, 157:11. 171:16. 172:11. 172:24, 173:15, 173:21, 173:25, 174:6, 174:22, 174:25, 175:1, 175:7, 175:12, 175:21, 175:22 Jury [1] - 155:22 JUSTICE [1] - 2:5 justice [2] - 40:7, 138:23 Justice [1] - 4:10 justified [2] - 19:3, 110:23 justify [1] - 70:18 justifying [1] - 151:10 K

Kalil [1] - 84:17 Kamar [1] - 125:19 Kareem [21] - 44:10, 45:8, 60:21, 78:20, 117:13, 117:15, 117:17, 118:1, 118:4, 118:5, 118:11, 119:3. 119:7. 119:11. 120:7, 120:15, 120:16, 120:18, 120:25, 121:3, 124:13 **keep** [9] - 17:2, 33:6, 80:16, 120:21, 125:18, 159:21, 160:17, 166:9, 171:16 keeping [2] - 80:14, 125:21 Kennedy [1] - 123:4 kept [2] - 136:23, 173:24 kettle [1] - 164:25 Khubaby [1] - 73:9 Khubayb [5] - 72:23, 72:25, 73:12, 77:1, 77:3 kicked [5] - 69:20, 72:21, 73:2, 101:25, 134:12 kid [3] - 89:4, 109:18, 110:6 kidnap [3] - 26:22, 28:19, 35:5 kidnapped [1] - 71:21

kidnapping [2] - 29:2,

37:22

kids [3] - 116:5, 124:9, 129:12 kill [26] - 20:4, 26:22, 28:17, 34:24, 35:3, 42:18, 43:3, 51:11, 54:21, 57:1, 65:5, 70:1, 70:21, 71:1, 72:13, 72:17, 90:10, 94:20, 98:12, 98:21, 100:1, 100:25, 124:16, 140:20, 147:24, 151:18 killed [9] - 74:1, 94:24, 99:22, 100:2, 101:5, 111:7, 129:2, 148:10 **killing** [11] - 30:4, 70:9, 71:17, 71:18, 72:2, 72:4, 72:5, 73:12, 100:10, 148:9, 149:13 kind [30] - 9:23, 13:8, 16:3, 41:7, 48:12, 49:3, 54:16, 62:5, 62:6, 62:20, 64:15, 76:16, 80:14, 89:11, 109:21, 110:1, 110:6, 114:25, 124:10, 126:10, 127:2, 129:11, 132:24, 132:25, 134:18, 137:6, 148:1, 161:11, 170:11 kinds [3] - 155:3, 163:19, 164:3 Kingdom [3] - 96:22, 146:4, 149:2 kingdom [1] - 72:10 kitchen [1] - 164:24 knife [1] - 133:3 knowing [14] - 17:6, 18:7, 22:11, 26:19, 27:14, 27:22, 27:25, 29:20, 30:15, 30:24, 58:19, 59:1, 60:5, 164:1 knowingly [17] - 10:10, 10:14, 10:20, 11:22, 12:2, 12:6, 13:21, 17:21, 17:22, 22:9, 22:20, 30:21, 34:12, 36:7, 36:13, 38:23, 82:17 knowledge [6] - 18:14, 23:12, 23:14, 30:7, 33:3, 96:6 known [3] - 36:20, 74:25, 75:2 **knows** [14] - 59:16, 60:15,

60:18, 61:2, 61:4, 61:12,

62:18, 95:6, 95:7, 99:5,

103:17, 119:5, 133:10,

Kohlmann [20] - 44:15,

145:9

66:3, 68:25, 69:2, 69:7, 75:17, 75:19, 75:24, 94:13, 102:16, 103:3, 103:13, 103:14, 103:18, 135:12, 135:16, 135:25, 144:8, 144:12, 145:24 **Kohlmann's** [1] - 146:13 Kuwait [1] - 72:11

L

lack [1] - 167:8 Laden [16] - 83:5, 83:15, 83:19, 84:21, 93:6, 93:20, 96:11, 96:12, 97:11, 99:6, 99:9, 99:16, 100:17, 107:10, 135:13, 135:21 Laden's [2] - 96:11, 134:18 ladies [20] - 40:4, 82:2, 90:6, 113:16, 139:18, 141:25, 142:4, 142:10, 142:14, 142:21, 143:18, 143:22, 144:25, 145:14, 147:11, 148:17, 149:14, 150:7, 152:1, 152:5 land [6] - 47:24, 48:4, 95:7, 97:21, 101:17, 149:7 Land [1] - 146:9 lands [3] - 82:10, 100:3, 101:14 language [18] - 5:2, 5:6, 10:6, 46:18, 50:10, 50:17, 50:21, 51:5, 51:7, 51:14, 53:19, 55:3, 56:19, 60:6, 79:23, 102:22, 102:25, 141:22 languages [1] - 97:19 lap [1] - 109:19 larceny [1] - 32:15 large [3] - 45:3, 66:6, **Lashkar** [2] - 44:2, 86:11 last [16] - 6:14, 6:17, 32:2, 86:16, 91:14, 101:5, 104:16, 104:23, 126:25, 137:11, 138:1, 139:23, 140:25, 141:23, 147:15, 173:13 lastly [1] - 34:5 late [1] - 44:20 law [49] - 8:5, 8:9, 8:10,

8:13, 8:15, 8:21, 9:23, 9:24,

13:16, 13:17, 14:13, 14:17, 16:18, 27:2, 27:3, 40:9, 44:21, 56:4, 65:9, 82:12, 88:15, 90:12, 91:24, 99:3, 99:6, 99:7, 114:11, 116:3, 140:2, 140:6, 141:10, 146:22, 147:7, 147:8, 151:8. 151:16. 151:19. 153:3, 167:3, 167:22, 168:19, 168:23, 170:17, 172:19, 172:24, 173:5, 173:11, 173:15 **LAW** [1] - 2:13

lawful [2] - 16:23, 141:16 laws [7] - 9:24, 12:21, 25:23, 26:1, 37:15, 140:21, 140:22

lawyer [1] - 108:23 lawyers [6] - 9:13, 108:9, 154:16, 154:18, 154:19,

lawyers' [2] - 9:17, 154:8 lay [1] - 67:13 lead [2] - 113:5, 160:8 leader [4] - 73:21, 74:17, 82:21, 94:24

leaders [3] - 42:15, 84:20, 95:22 leads [2] - 154:5, 163:10

leap [1] - 138:21 learn [6] - 59:22, 93:3, 105:13, 126:8, 129:4, 133:6 learned [5] - 44:1, 66:21, 89:3, 93:9, 110:14 learning [5] - 84:4, 86:6, 109:19, 124:4, 131:12 least [10] - 13:7, 15:1, 15:5, 21:16, 26:7, 29:1,

35:10, 86:9, 112:23 leave [9] - 50:16, 57:13, 81:17, 89:5, 125:5, 132:10, 154:4, 171:8, 172:11 leaves [1] - 168:2

leaving [3] - 44:13, 63:17, 137:25

lectures [1] - 68:11 lecturing [1] - 103:24 led [1] - 111:2 left [15] - 49:14, 50:5, 50:14, 55:10, 55:12, 55:13, 55:15, 62:7, 63:2, 63:18, 118:6, 122:6, 123:2, 162:16, 167:18

legal [4] - 115:16, 135:7, 148:1 legally [1] - 32:6 legitimate [1] - 161:10 length [1] - 173:17 lengthy [1] - 173:18 lent [1] - 68:6 less [3] - 32:2, 54:3, 57:23 **LET** [2] - 44:2, 86:11 letter [1] - 144:17 level [6] - 66:18, 82:23, 84:5, 103:20, 135:23, 142:14 levels [1] - 68:1 leverage [2] - 130:13,

130:19 **liability** [1] - 41:17

liar [1] - 118:16

library [1] - 96:3 Libya [1] - 135:1 lie [24] - 41:12, 46:6, 46:8, 56:4, 56:16, 64:24, 65:8, 81:10, 82:4, 88:21, 90:12, 111:22, 118:13, 118:14, 118:15, 118:21, 118:23, 118:24, 120:20, 121:21,

130:19, 147:23 lied [24] - 46:6, 46:9, 46:10, 47:12, 47:16, 56:1, 56:3, 56:15, 56:16, 65:8, 79:1, 79:2, 79:3, 79:4, 79:12, 79:13, 79:16, 82:1, 82:3, 121:19, 122:1 lies [10] - 41:10, 41:12,

53:1, 56:7, 77:17, 77:18, 77:21, 79:6, 79:19, 81:17 life [15] - 29:9, 35:11, 37:15, 50:5, 50:14, 50:16, 74:6, 87:16, 89:24, 95:16, 101:20, 102:3, 109:5, 112:3, 114:22 life's [1] - 124:10

lifted [1] - 141:20 light [2] - 134:7, 152:25 lightly [1] - 131:22 like-minded [1] - 44:9 like.. [1] - 89:11 likely [2] - 30:8, 167:24

life-long [1] - 95:16

limit [1] - 168:22 limitation [3] - 156:18, 156:23, 157:2

limited [3] - 12:18, 58:11,

masking [1] - 80:20

157:15 limiting [1] - 168:19 line [2] - 65:16, 108:5 lines [5] - 65:14, 65:20, 85:8, 85:15, 128:22 link [3] - 84:15, 84:17, 106.16 links [3] - 67:6, 84:13, 94:8 list [3] - 6:17, 6:23, 116:5 listed [1] - 73:16 listen [3] - 105:4, 141:3, 152:4 listening [1] - 9:15 listing [1] - 156:8 lists [2] - 27:16, 86:2 literally [1] - 46:23 live [10] - 47:19, 70:17, 80:5, 80:19, 86:20, 111:23, 122:10, 124:9, 125:19, 148:6 lived [2] - 45:20, 122:8 lives [2] - 98:9, 139:22 living [7] - 43:20, 97:21, 99:25, 103:22, 122:21, 144:9, 148:5 LLC [1] - 2:13 locale [1] - 38:1 location [4] - 26:18, 27:13, 27:24, 30:23 Logan's [1] - 42:25 logical [2] - 58:17, 159:4 logistical [2] - 87:8, 175:20 logos [1] - 144:14 long-time [1] - 128:9 longest [1] - 108:24 look [40] - 10:16, 18:12, 19:18, 27:7, 27:10, 51:8, 55:9, 70:2, 93:14, 93:24, 94:7, 94:12, 94:17, 95:4, 95:13, 97:17, 99:18, 99:20, 105:3, 113:19, 113:20, 113:22, 114:4, 117:7, 117:21, 117:22, 118:8, 118:11, 119:7, 121:5, 125:12, 126:16, 126:20, 126:25, 128:3, 132:6, 132:22, 136:9, 136:11, 156:9 looked [8] - 5:25, 6:18, 51:19, 66:20, 77:24, 78:23, 112:16, 174:11

looking [9] - 43:18, 43:19,

53:14, 86:22, 129:19, 134:9, 137:8, 149:20, 157:25 loosely [1] - 40:15 lost [2] - 99:13, 111:23 loud [1] - 126:1 louder [1] - 85:6 Love [1] - 67:12 love [3] - 87:5, 115:22, 129:21 loved [1] - 132:4 lover [1] - 112:3 loves [3] - 87:1, 87:2 lower [2] - 161:6, 161:18 lowest [1] - 103:20 lunch [6] - 139:1, 139:2, 139:7, 139:8, 139:9, 139:10 **Luncheon** [1] - 139:13 lying [5] - 52:25, 81:15, 118:15, 118:17, 118:19 Lyness [1] - 6:21 LYNESS [1] - 7:11

M

Ma'rib [13] - 44:21, 44:22, 47:8, 47:13, 47:16, 47:17, 47:21, 47:24, 48:3, 48:17, 143:12 made-up [1] - 116:1 magazine [2] - 94:12, 106:18 Mahmoud [1] - 74:24 maim [3] - 26:22, 28:20, 35:5 maiming [1] - 29:3 main [1] - 119:5 mainstream [3] - 94:9, 105:22, 151:12 maintenance [1] - 70:11 Majid [1] - 85:19 Majid's [2] - 85:22, 86:2 major [2] - 7:24, 8:3 malaria [1] - 122:22 Maldonado [46] - 38:14, 52:12, 54:23, 55:1, 55:2, 58:13, 66:15, 68:23, 77:20, 77:21, 77:22, 77:24, 78:2, 78:4, 78:6, 78:11, 78:14, 78:15, 78:19, 79:11, 79:12, 79:14, 79:16, 79:17, 79:19, 79:23, 80:21, 81:2, 81:21,

98:6, 115:20, 115:22, 120:3, 122:6, 122:16, 122:19, 122:20, 122:23, 125:14, 128:12, 130:24, 131:7, 131:9, 131:10, 160:24 Maldonado's [1] - 61:8 malice [2] - 30:5 malicious [1] - 125:22 mall [7] - 119:10, 119:11, 119:13, 124:13, 124:14, 124:17, 142:13 man [27] - 42:15, 45:3, 45:15, 60:18, 63:4, 63:21, 70:10, 77:11, 81:9, 84:1, 84:2, 85:10, 85:18, 85:19, 87:1, 89:2, 89:20, 92:14, 95:16, 96:14, 96:16, 99:10, 114:6, 122:11, 129:2, 138:2, 140:25 manage [1] - 24:2 manner [6] - 9:19, 15:23, 25:9, 60:7, 65:13, 84:22 manual [5] - 106:2, 132:24, 133:4, 133:18, 136:24 manuals [2] - 106:15, 106:16 manufacturer [1] - 65:15 Marc [1] - 105:9 March [12] - 47:16, 67:5, 70:5, 72:22, 80:11, 83:6, 97:17, 97:18, 115:2, 149:4, 149:10, 170:23 MARCIA [1] - 177:11 Marcia [3] - 1:20, 177:3, 177:11 marine [1] - 71:16 Marines [1] - 71:17 Mark [3] - 93:24, 94:12, 104:13 marriage [1] - 80:8 married [3] - 80:4, 80:5, 124:9 marry [1] - 87:1 marsh [1] - 68:13 marshall [1] - 154:13 martyr [2] - 85:3, 88:2 Martyrdom [1] - 76:19 martyrdom [4] - 77:12, 84:24, 93:5, 101:18

martyred [1] - 74:3

martyrs [2] - 77:14, 87:9

Masood [13] - 53:5, 53:8, 53:11, 53:12, 55:4, 55:6, 55:16, 55:17, 55:19, 55:21, 62:10, 98:6, 121:6 Masood's [1] - 86:10 mass [1] - 37:21 MASSACHUSETTS [1] -Massachusetts [7] - 1:17, 1:23, 2:4, 2:12, 2:15, 4:4, 4:5 master's [1] - 97:18 material [66] - 19:1, 19:20, 19:25, 20:12, 21:5, 21:9, 21:22, 22:7, 22:10, 22:23, 22:24, 23:1, 23:3, 23:7, 23:15, 23:19, 24:24, 25:7, 25:9, 25:16, 26:8, 26:13, 26:16, 26:18, 26:19, 27:12, 27:14, 27:21, 27:25, 28:3, 28:10, 30:22, 31:4, 34:15, 36:8, 36:15, 36:21, 37:1, 37:2, 38:24, 41:15, 41:19. 56:2, 66:15, 68:21, 72:14, 72:15, 76:9, 82:6, 82:19, 82:22, 97:15, 107:8, 108:12, 113:6, 113:11, 117:18, 130:6, 130:8, 130:10, 131:2, 132:3, 137:16, 144:4, 151:20 materiality [1] - 81:23 materially [1] - 36:9 materials [5] - 23:2, 69:1, 69:7, 69:14, 174:8 matter [24] - 6:4, 7:3, 13:13, 18:9, 18:11, 18:14, 20:6, 20:18, 36:5, 36:16, 37:3, 37:5, 37:9, 38:25, 51:15, 60:19, 90:17, 99:23, 101:3, 142:17, 159:25, 166:4, 175:20, 177:8 matters [14] - 8:6, 8:9, 8:22, 9:3, 12:18, 35:12, 83:12. 140:7. 147:11. 154:21, 161:9, 169:22, 173:6, 173:23 matured [4] - 102:10, 112:15, 113:2 McBean [1] - 174:4 mean [21] - 5:20, 14:13, 20:9, 63:20, 63:23, 83:10, 83:15, 89:19, 99:14, 99:16,

minute [6] - 11:3, 99:2,

58:18, 58:25, 59:4, 59:12, 127:22, 131:8, 131:21, 134:7, 146:15, 147:22, 59:20, 60:3, 60:8, 60:13, 152:25, 165:9, 173:7, 176:1 60:14, 60:15, 61:9, 61:23, meaning [9] - 11:17, 23:8, 62:9, 63:12, 64:21, 64:23, 23:21, 80:13, 102:3, 64:25, 65:6, 65:23, 66:14, 153:17, 157:24, 168:25, 66:20, 66:21, 66:25, 67:4, 67:6, 67:9, 67:10, 67:24, 173.4 meaningful [2] - 158:3, 68:1. 68:4. 68:5. 68:9. 68:10, 68:20, 69:12, 69:20, 158:10 71:12, 71:15, 71:18, 72:1, means [19] - 22:1, 23:10, 72:5, 72:7, 72:20, 72:24, 23:12, 23:24, 25:20, 25:23, 73:8, 73:9, 73:11, 73:16, 30:5, 37:5, 37:24, 52:22, 73:18, 73:22, 73:24, 74:4, 70:20, 70:25, 80:24, 81:23, 74:7, 74:9, 74:15, 74:23, 105:18, 141:9, 145:9, 75:7, 75:14, 76:16, 77:11, 153:13, 162:18 77:23, 78:3, 78:7, 78:12, meant [3] - 81:1, 93:5, 78:22, 78:24, 79:11, 79:20, 79:22, 80:8, 80:14, 80:16, Mechanical [1] - 1:24 80:18, 80:23, 81:2, 81:12, media [22] - 52:12, 65:21, 82:1, 82:14, 83:3, 83:4, 65:23, 65:24, 66:1, 66:2, 84:3, 84:11, 84:18, 84:19, 69:16, 73:5, 75:4, 75:10, 84:23, 84:24, 85:3, 85:10, 75:18, 76:2, 76:4, 76:6, 85:16, 85:23, 86:5, 86:7, 76:10, 94:9, 105:22, 146:9, 86:12, 86:18, 88:14, 88:22, 149:9, 149:12, 151:12 89:8, 89:12, 89:13, 91:21, medical [2] - 123:7, 92:9, 92:22, 93:21, 97:5, 128:11 98:19, 99:13, 99:21, medicine [2] - 23:2, 111:4 101:12, 101:22, 103:8, **Medieval** [1] - 99:5 105:10, 106:12, 109:16, meet [5] - 85:12, 120:3, 113:12, 123:3, 123:25, 124:8, 124:10, 143:1 127:7, 128:15, 177:9 meeting [2] - 45:25, 119:1 Mehanna's [13] - 41:22, meetings [2] - 110:4, 46:17, 49:2, 51:1, 53:24, 110:6 58:18, 59:14, 60:19, 66:22, meets [1] - 121:7 71:25, 75:12, 77:25, 80:7 megabytes [1] - 150:8 member [8] - 15:13, 16:24, MEHANNA [28] - 1:7, 17:4, 17:12, 17:14, 34:3, 45:12, 45:15, 45:20, 47:6, 82:4, 82:18 48:11, 50:4, 58:3, 58:6, members [10] - 15:25, 58:21, 59:16, 60:12, 61:4, 16:6, 16:16, 17:3, 17:5, 61:6, 61:16, 62:3, 62:13, 33:24, 45:2, 67:23, 78:25, 62:21, 63:2, 63:4, 63:7, 136:2 63:16, 63:21, 63:25, 64:11, memories [1] - 174:16 76:24, 80:25, 89:23 memory [3] - 124:12, Mehanna [161] - 4:7, 4:13, 158:14, 158:21 40:10, 45:10, 45:23, 46:1, men [5] - 42:24, 55:9, 46:14, 46:21, 47:17, 47:23, 71:18, 73:13, 126:23 47:24, 48:16, 48:25, 49:6, mention [3] - 50:20, 51:23, 49:8, 49:9, 49:14, 51:4, 95:25 51:19, 53:4, 53:6, 53:8, mentioned [9] - 47:13, 53:12, 53:23, 54:3, 54:4, 87:19, 96:15, 98:1, 111:5, 54:9, 54:12, 54:23, 55:1, 126:15, 132:23, 145:24 55:6, 55:9, 55:15, 55:16,

mentioning [1] - 96:2

mentions [1] - 106:8

55:18, 55:25, 57:6, 58:8,

137:11, 154:7, 156:2, merely [2] - 5:7, 18:5 174:13 message [24] - 69:18, minutes [7] - 49:18, 86:16, 73:16, 74:23, 74:25, 75:7, 75:8, 77:12, 83:4, 83:5, 90:16, 139:23, 152:13, 106:19, 120:17, 121:22, 171:25, 172:2 123:12, 123:22, 125:8, mirror [1] - 73:7 125:13, 126:1, 132:15, mirrors [2] - 50:18, 54:7 141:11, 144:13, 145:10, misplaced [1] - 85:22 146:1, 146:2 **Miss** [7] - 91:2, 99:17, messages [9] - 69:23, 108:2, 140:3, 141:19, 92:20, 94:8, 95:18, 98:4, 149:25, 174:3 102:4, 102:8, 106:10, mission [1] - 146:15 112:20 mistake [2] - 17:24, 22:22 messengers [1] - 73:19 mistakes [2] - 83:21, met [8] - 43:10, 43:21, 138:6 45:8, 45:22, 48:25, 85:9, misunderstanding [1] -85:18, 142:24 100:25 method [5] - 32:16, 33:14, Moakley [4] - 1:16, 1:22, 87:4, 173:22 2:3, 4:4 methodology [1] - 105:12 moderate [3] - 69:21, 70:3, middle [1] - 92:10 72:20 might [56] - 8:13, 8:19, moderation [1] - 71:22 8:20, 8:25, 9:1, 10:10, modern [2] - 84:20, 108:22 10:13, 11:10, 12:1, 12:5, modern-day [1] - 84:20 12:23, 13:2, 14:3, 16:20, modest [1] - 69:24 21:21, 34:13, 34:20, 45:6, moment [9] - 46:3, 56:8, 57:15, 59:6, 59:8, 85:12, 69:22, 87:11, 130:8, 86:6, 92:15, 96:15, 104:9, 130:25, 139:18, 147:12, 105:19, 109:14, 112:24, 174:6 122:3, 140:15, 140:19, moments [1] - 139:25 151:12, 152:16, 153:21, Monday [5] - 175:11, 159:18, 160:6, 160:10, 175:17, 175:19, 176:3, 160:12, 161:5, 161:6, 176:5 161:7, 163:7, 163:8, 163:9, money [11] - 22:25, 32:12, 163:12, 163:13, 163:14, 32:13, 52:6, 77:10, 96:11, 164:12, 164:15, 164:17, 113:8, 127:11, 127:12, 165:9, 171:10, 173:9 130:5, 136:22 military [12] - 56:25, 71:13, monitor [1] - 155:24 71:16, 77:4, 83:5, 94:19, Monsignor [1] - 121:10 95:21, 95:24, 99:23, month [5] - 57:11, 72:23, 100:19, 105:7, 113:12 115:15, 118:8, 141:18 million [2] - 97:19, 103:25 months [4] - 73:3, 122:15, mind [14] - 18:10, 18:12, 142:11, 147:15 18:23. 33:6. 64:17. 84:13. morning [15] - 4:15, 4:16, 111:15, 130:1, 159:21, 4:17, 7:22, 7:23, 40:4, 91:5, 160:17, 161:16, 166:9, 91:7, 108:23, 155:5, 172:13, 174:16 171:21, 175:11, 175:17, minded [3] - 44:9, 153:14, 176:3, 176:6 157:25 mosque [2] - 110:5, 121:8 minds [2] - 154:5, 167:5 most [20] - 40:7, 43:17, mindset [1] - 67:10 48:3, 52:23, 69:1, 84:14, mine [1] - 144:19 84:18, 91:17, 97:4, 97:25,

mere [1] - 32:1

109:10, 109:24, 117:15, 121:5, 123:25, 137:2, 137:12, 142:7, 151:19 mother [5] - 88:4, 88:8, 88:10, 88:15, 88:19 mother-in-law [1] - 88:15 motivated [3] - 25:21, 42:15, 84:7 **motivation** [2] - 149:5 motivations [3] - 41:22, 140:10, 150:24 motive [7] - 18:22, 18:24, 18:25, 19:3, 77:5, 160:7, 161:18 motorcycle [1] - 119:18 mouths [1] - 58:7 moved [3] - 78:21, 122:8, 122:10 movie [1] - 129:10 movies [4] - 129:14, 129:15, 129:16, 133:14 **MR** [40] - 3:2, 3:4, 3:5, 4:16, 4:17, 4:19, 4:24, 5:1, 6:9, 6:12, 7:1, 7:9, 7:11, 7:13, 7:16, 39:25, 40:2, 83:25, 107:23, 108:2, 108:19, 108:22, 134:7, 134:9, 136:14, 139:17, 168:18, 169:24, 170:2, 170:4, 170:6, 170:14, 170:24, 171:8, 171:10, 171:14, 171:18, 171:24, 172:3, 176:7 **MS** [7] - 3:3, 5:13, 7:3, 90:24, 91:4, 92:9, 108:17 Mu'awiyah [3] - 47:17, 47:23, 84:23 Mu'ndhir [7] - 74:25, 75:3, 75:6, 75:14, 76:12, 76:21, 77:3 MU'NDHIR [2] - 76:22, 77:1 **mud** [2] - 47:19, 49:10 Mughal [1] - 74:18 mujahid [1] - 84:19 mujahideen [10] - 47:19, 47:22, 66:19, 67:1, 67:21,

84:8, 87:9, 96:15, 106:6,

Muqbil's [1] - 96:3

Muqbil [4] - 58:21, 58:24,

Muraabit [2] - 74:24, 75:6

133:23

96:8

murder [10] - 20:4, 20:16, 28:19, 29:2, 29:19, 30:2, 30:4, 35:5, 43:25, 169:6 murdered [2] - 105:24, 105:25 murderers [1] - 112:1 Musab [2] - 73:20, 84:21 Muslim [21] - 71:6, 82:10, 84:12, 97:21, 98:9, 98:11, 100:3, 107:3, 110:3, 110:16, 110:17, 111:12, 122:17, 124:15, 129:12, 132:10, 133:10, 133:21, 140:19, 149:7, 150:13 Muslim-run [1] - 122:17 Muslims [26] - 55:7, 70:20, 77:14, 97:21, 98:2, 98:11, 98:21, 100:2, 100:6, 100:22, 101:2, 101:10, 101:12, 107:13, 110:19, 111:13, 125:17, 129:7, 133:16, 133:21, 140:5, 148:9, 151:13 Muslims...then [1] - 70:9 must [38] - 12:10, 14:22, 15:1, 15:17, 15:24, 16:3, 17:20, 18:1, 21:12, 22:15, 24:11, 25:8, 25:13, 25:14, 26:5, 27:4, 28:5, 31:20, 33:1, 33:12, 34:21, 35:21, 36:12, 38:21, 153:11, 153:18, 160:19, 165:3, 165:15, 166:21, 167:5, 167:12, 167:25, 168:7, 168:10, 168:12, 173:14, 173:15 Mustafa [1] - 51:15 Muthanna [4] - 43:10, 50:6, 50:15, 89:6 Muwahhid [1] - 73:9 Muwahid [1] - 72:23 mysterious [1] - 150:11 mythic [1] - 99:9

N

name [9] - 48:24, 53:12, 72:25, 78:13, 86:3, 96:14, 96:15, 112:2, 151:15 named [5] - 40:11, 59:13, 70:5, 84:23, 85:18 namely [3] - 15:13, 19:22, 37:4

names [6] - 46:22, 49:8, 57:4, 89:6, 89:22, 93:3 nanosecond [1] - 115:8 napkin [9] - 10:11, 10:14, 10:21, 12:2, 12:3, 12:6, 12:8, 13:5, 13:22 **narrating** [1] - 159:6 narration [2] - 158:14, 159.5 narrowly [1] - 41:14 nasheeds [1] - 105:20 Nathan [1] - 101:19 nation [1] - 82:12 National [3] - 2:6, 4:10, 95:8 **national** [10] - 12:23, 20:5, 21:4, 22:17, 25:22, 26:25, 29:16, 30:3, 30:9, 37:24 nationality [1] - 71:9 nationals [1] - 29:19 native [1] - 102:25 Native [1] - 129:9 natural [2] - 18:19, 36:21 nature [5] - 16:20, 26:17, 27:13, 27:24, 37:1 **NBC** [2] - 94:2, 105:23 near [2] - 17:19, 152:14 necessarily [5] - 16:21, 17:4, 33:10, 156:25, 170:9 necessary [19] - 5:24, 8:2, 10:18, 10:25, 12:11, 14:20, 15:12, 16:5, 16:12, 16:24, 17:11, 22:8, 28:4, 32:2, 111:4, 150:18, 172:18, 172:22, 174:1 **necessity** [1] - 67:19 neck [1] - 92:2 need [17] - 8:10, 20:25, 22:5, 23:3, 24:14, 25:10, 29:12, 36:23, 36:25, 76:2, 77:2, 85:21, 90:24, 95:15, 134:14, 146:1, 152:3 needed [7] - 78:14, 92:11, 95:11, 97:13, 115:15, 121:15, 130:18 NEFA [1] - 135:17 neighbors [1] - 104:25 never [52] - 5:15, 5:16, 5:17, 45:12, 45:13, 45:22, 47:13, 47:20, 48:3, 48:4, 51:8, 51:18, 55:1, 57:15, 60:8, 60:16, 61:13, 72:3, 72:9, 81:12, 81:13, 88:22,

88:23, 92:22, 94:14, 95:11, 96:13, 97:7, 97:8, 97:21, 98:1, 98:14, 98:16, 102:23, 105:11, 106:20, 121:2, 124:21, 124:24, 124:25, 125:5, 127:24, 129:25, 133:15, 144:1, 144:2, 150:1. 151:1. 151:4. 166:15, 166:16 new [6] - 65:18, 65:23, 67:23, 75:21, 89:4, 155:20 New [7] - 66:11, 73:2, 94:2, 94:9, 105:4, 120:3, 124:9 news [1] - 48:8 **next** [6] - 72:23, 110:8, 110:11, 128:7, 135:16 Next [1] - 135:16 nice [4] - 118:20, 125:21, 129:10, 133:7 night [2] - 6:18, 142:24 nights [1] - 110:5 nine [1] - 175:12 Nine/Eleven [1] - 103:7 noble [1] - 87:9 **nobody** [2] - 63:4, 64:2 non [7] - 12:25, 25:21, 97:21, 98:2, 100:2, 100:6, 101:2 non-combatant [1] - 25:21 non-federal [1] - 12:25 non-Muslim [1] - 97:21 non-Muslims [4] - 98:2, 100:2, 100:6, 101:2 **none** [2] - 82:10, 128:9 normal [1] - 142:21 notable [1] - 124:5 note [6] - 18:21, 45:4, 56:18, 88:8, 174:15, 175:25 note-taking [1] - 174:15 noted [2] - 25:14, 169:25 notes [5] - 42:23, 157:3, 174:13, 174:14, 177:7 **nothing** [14] - 7:18, 51:15, 52:8, 52:23, 66:4, 101:18, 106:7, 106:13, 106:17, 125:21, 149:11, 158:4, 170:6, 173:8 notice [2] - 96:5, 132:16 November [3] - 94:22, 116:13, 116:17 nowhere [1] - 152:14

number [11] - 7:12, 27:16,

59:5, 73:14, 85:22, 86:3, 104:7, 129:4, 131:21, 155:10 numbers [4] - 7:2, 7:6, 7:8, 135:18 nutty [1] - 130:1 NW [1] - 2:7

0

o'clock [1] - 175:13 **O'Toole** [5] - 4:2, 52:16, 113:5, 113:6, 137:13 O'TOOLE [1] - 1:11 oath [4] - 121:19, 121:21, 122:1, 168:3 Oath [1] - 67:4 obeyed [1] - 171:22 obituaries [1] - 95:1 object [12] - 14:6, 14:16. 21:20, 29:18, 168:18, 168:22, 168:24, 169:2, 169:5, 169:8, 169:14, 169.18 **objection** [1] - 168:21 objective [25] - 14:3, 14:21, 15:15, 16:11, 17:7, 21:15, 27:8, 28:12, 28:22, 29:2, 29:5, 29:11, 29:12, 29:21, 30:1, 30:15, 35:1, 35:7, 35:25, 36:1, 36:3, 54:13, 105:16, 145:5, 148:21 **objectively** [1] - 81:23 objectives [4] - 24:5, 24:10, 41:13, 43:7 obligated [2] - 100:18, 169:25 obligation [10] - 55:7, 57:22, 67:19, 82:9, 101:13, 133:10, 133:17, 149:6, 151:11, 166:10 obligations [2] - 87:2, 97:20 observations [1] - 158:15 **observe** [1] - 157:4 observed [1] - 158:17 observer [1] - 16:9 obsessed [1] - 93:21 obtain [3] - 75:20, 77:6, 162:8 obtained [3] - 13:3,

144:20, 162:18 obvious [1] - 76:1 Obviously [1] - 67:11 obviously [13] - 18:12, 45:21, 54:12, 58:16, 58:25, 59:8, 59:20, 76:4, 85:17, 112:22, 131:5, 132:17, 154.14 occasion [3] - 16:6, 156:20, 159:13 occasional [1] - 5:22 occasions [1] - 159:23 occur [1] - 13:10 occurred [11] - 10:3, 10:5, 17:17. 17:19. 28:23. 35:8. 38:4, 110:15, 110:16, 156:19 occurs [3] - 11:20, 13:19, 37:22 October [5] - 23:17, 73:2, 73:4, 74:23, 75:6 **OF** [6] - 1:1, 1:4, 2:2, 2:5, 2:13, 172:6 offense [47] - 11:1, 11:25, 12:11, 13:5, 13:15, 14:1, 14:2, 20:12, 21:21, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:6, 27:7, 27:10, 27:11, 27:19, 29:14, 30:13, 30:18, 30:19, 31:7, 31:9, 31:11, 31:12, 31:16, 31:17, 32:25, 33:7, 33:15, 33:21, 33:23, 34:7, 34:14, 34:20, 34:25, 36:10, 37:7, 37:11, 37:13, 37:14, 38:3, 38:10, 39:9, 41:25, 168:1 offenses [12] - 9:9, 9:21, 11:3, 21:1, 30:25, 33:17, 33:19, 39:10, 41:21, 56:14, 152:8, 153:1 offer [1] - 120:9 offered [1] - 141:3 **OFFICE** [2] - 2:2, 2:13 office [4] - 23:6, 65:17, 131:15, 131:19 official [5] - 36:24, 66:1, 74:14, 74:21, 75:9 Official [4] - 1:21, 177:4, 177:12, 177:14 officials [4] - 43:4, 47:5, often [4] - 18:14, 74:7, 153:5, 163:22 $\textbf{old} \; [6] \; \textbf{-} \; 4\text{:}20, \; 47\text{:}18,$

86:20, 86:22, 115:15, 118:8 older [1] - 92:14 Omar [10] - 43:9, 45:16, 45:18, 46:8, 48:12, 49:1, 49:3, 54:16, 143:1, 149:21 once [11] - 39:14, 61:23, 69:25, 89:24, 114:5, 129:11, 132:18, 144:1, 144:2, 147:21, 171:13 one [122] - 6:14, 10:23, 12:12, 13:7, 13:13, 13:24, 17:2, 17:4, 20:25, 21:10, 21:16, 22:9, 22:15, 23:24, 25:7, 25:13, 25:16, 28:7, 29:1, 29:10, 29:15, 29:23, 29:25, 30:16, 31:12, 31:17, 33:14, 34:22, 35:10, 35:15, 39:14, 42:3, 46:9, 48:9, 50:24, 51:23, 52:3, 52:9, 52:22, 56:22, 60:2, 66:7, 67:15, 68:14, 69:23, 70:2, 73:15, 76:24, 78:3, 81:8, 81:18, 82:17, 83:14, 84:5, 86:21, 88:11, 89:2, 91:6, 92:21, 96:12, 97:25, 100:15, 101:20, 102:7, 103:10, 104:2, 107:20, 108:9, 110:23, 112:5, 113:22, 114:3, 118:16, 119:16, 119:22, 119:24, 126:22, 127:17, 128:14, 129:13, 129:22, 130:23, 132:9, 134:22, 134:25, 135:24, 138:1, 138:19, 139:25, 140:11, 141:8, 143:17, 143:20, 144:3, 144:19, 145:18, 148:12, 152:21, 156:5, 159:24, 159:25, 160:6, 160:9, 160:10, 160:11, 160:13, 160:18, 165:10, 166:24, 167:10, 168:10, 170:7, 170:18, 173:16, 176:8 One [3] - 1:17, 1:22, 4:5 one-on-one [2] - 66:7, 68:14 ones [2] - 8:20, 9:2 oneself [1] - 73:12 online [5] - 43:22, 68:20, 74:8, 74:21, 144:7 open [5] - 4:1, 16:22, 32:14, 58:2, 119:7 opened [3] - 73:10, 94:10, 94.14

opening [6] - 51:24, 52:1, 57:5, 69:19, 109:15, 132:23 openings [1] - 154:10 openly [2] - 58:9, 98:3 operate [2] - 38:1, 144:15 operation [1] - 83:17 operations [2] - 24:2, 77:13 Operations [2] - 67:6, 76:19 opine [1] - 108:7 opinion [3] - 83:8, 95:10, 97:16 opinions [1] - 103:1 **opportunity** [7] - 6:14, 9:14, 39:22, 64:23, 78:9, 168:16, 168:17 opposed [3] - 18:25, 23:11, 156:12 opposite [2] - 117:11, 163:12 oppression [1] - 110:15 option [1] - 147:13 oral [1] - 39:14 order [20] - 8:11, 9:11, 12:24, 14:19, 17:24, 32:25, 36:25, 39:20, 52:16, 52:19, 52:21, 69:5, 117:14, 119:3, 120:22, 126:24, 151:15, 162:3, 162:4, 162:8 ordered [1] - 52:15 orderly [2] - 159:4, 172:15 orders [1] - 162:8 ordinarily [1] - 18:19 ordinary [4] - 11:17, 23:21, 100:16, 162:12 organization [20] - 19:21, 21:7. 21:10. 21:23. 22:8. 22:11, 22:13, 23:6, 24:2, 24:4, 24:8, 24:12, 24:19, 24:20, 24:25, 25:3, 25:18, 65:14, 65:20, 135:9 organization's [3] - 24:1, 24:6, 24:9 organizations [3] - 75:18, 76:1, 76:3 organize [1] - 24:1 origin [1] - 30:23 original [2] - 75:1, 106:8 originally [1] - 163:9 Osama [15] - 83:5, 83:15, 83:19, 84:21, 93:6, 93:20, 96:10, 96:11, 96:12, 99:6,

99:15, 100:16, 134:17, 135:13, 135:21 Osama' [1] - 67:12 otherwise [4] - 23:6, 24:1, 65:2 161:6 ought [4] - 157:20, 157:24, 160:14, 162:25 outcome [1] - 160:7 outlandish [1] - 124:18 outlined [1] - 10:18 outraged [1] - 129:20 outset [1] - 165:17 outside [10] - 20:16, 28:20, 29:3, 29:22, 35:5, 37:22, 98:20, 101:19, 164:1, 174:5 outsiders [1] - 71:11 overall [1] - 63:9 overarching [1] - 41:11 overcomes [1] - 167:22 overlap [1] - 41:24 overlaps [1] - 40:21 overseas [3] - 42:18, 43:25, 72:13 oversimplified [1] - 13:8 overt [10] - 28:25, 29:5, 29:7, 29:8, 29:9, 29:11, 29:25, 30:2, 35:10, 35:25 owing [1] - 30:10 own [16] - 17:23, 44:3, 48:21, 53:25, 56:5, 60:18, 101:14, 111:15, 127:22, 128:2, 141:6, 145:17, 151:16, 162:14, 166:8 owned [1] - 45:4 ownership [3] - 26:18, 27:14, 27:24 Oxford [1] - 97:19

Ρ

P-Town [3] - 59:19, 59:25, 85:17
p.m [6] - 139:13, 139:14, 174:24, 175:1, 175:22, 176:13
pace [1] - 159:4
pacifist [1] - 96:17
pact [3] - 98:8, 114:17, 148:3
pacts [1] - 101:3
PAGE [4] - 3:2, 3:3, 3:4,

3:5 page [3] - 94:3, 94:10, 137:25 **PAGES** [1] - 3:6 pages [1] - 84:7 paid [3] - 116:21, 127:10, 144.8 Paine [3] - 112:5, 142:6 painted [1] - 84:1 Pakistan [16] - 44:2, 44:3, 44:7, 60:1, 60:2, 75:10, 85:15, 85:18, 86:1, 86:2, 95:3, 102:23, 115:11, 118:4, 123:21, 136:15 pan [1] - 149:21 paper [4] - 156:8, 156:11, 156:14, 174:11 paradise [1] - 74:3 paramilitary [2] - 43:2, 43:23 parent [1] - 111:23 parents [12] - 57:6, 57:17, 61:12, 63:1, 63:5, 63:13, 63:16, 64:5, 80:14, 84:2, 111:7, 130:15 Park [1] - 2:11 parked [1] - 95:23 part [30] - 18:2, 21:25, 23:4, 33:4, 33:13, 33:19, 34:24, 39:16, 45:5, 46:4, 52:19, 56:4, 56:15, 67:20, 68:14, 76:9, 76:18, 82:24, 109:11, 152:13, 152:14, 153:2, 154:12, 157:11, 161:13, 161:25, 171:2, 171:3, 171:5 partial [1] - 11:8 partiality [2] - 160:5, 160:20 participants [3] - 16:25, 17:1, 17:2 participate [7] - 37:6, 60:25, 78:9, 106:4, 133:11, 133:22, 150:15 Participate [1] - 74:16 participated [4] - 22:18, 35:6, 144:21, 158:17 participating [4] - 142:11, 142:17, 143:4, 148:19 participation [11] - 14:24, 15:8, 18:8, 27:5, 28:21, 28:23, 29:20, 29:22, 29:24,

30:15, 35:8

71:22 particular [23] - 9:9, 10:2, 11:7, 12:24, 13:12, 15:16, 15:22, 16:6, 22:10, 26:15, 30:8, 35:23, 38:11, 58:9, 77:19, 110:9, 156:19, 156:20. 158:2. 160:3. 167:10. 172:24 particularly [6] - 11:21, 30:2, 58:11, 84:9, 156:17, 175:23 parties [2] - 5:2, 155:22 parts [2] - 17:6, 141:4 pass [6] - 111:20, 118:4, 120:9, 122:4, 125:3, 141:18 passes [1] - 164:3 passionately [1] - 98:3 passivity [1] - 34:10 passport [1] - 55:19 password [2] - 101:23, 134:12 past [1] - 169:25 paste [1] - 104:2 PATEL [1] - 2:13 Patel [2] - 2:13, 99:17 Path [1] - 84:16 path [1] - 96:6 patient [1] - 152:11 Patrick [2] - 43:9, 46:16 patriot [1] - 112:9 patriotic [1] - 97:23 patriots [1] - 112:6 PATRISSO [1] - 177:11 Patrisso [3] - 1:20, 177:3, 177:11 pattern [2] - 10:7, 164:14 patterns [1] - 13:9 Paul [4] - 70:11, 71:20, 72:8, 148:4 pause [3] - 46:3, 119:12, 119.16 pay [3] - 100:13, 100:25, 127:9 paying [1] - 99:25 PB&J [1] - 80:24 peace [1] - 122:9 peaceful [1] - 85:3 peanut [1] - 79:24 peek [2] - 130:14, 131:13 peep [1] - 121:25

peer [1] - 97:1

peer-reviewed [1] - 97:1

Peninsula [2] - 71:12,

peninsula [2] - 70:7, 71:13

Pennsylvania [1] - 2:7 people [121] - 15:5, 15:19, 15:20. 15:24. 16:9. 16:22. 26:7, 46:23, 52:4, 52:6, 52:10, 57:3, 58:1, 58:3, 58:19, 58:22, 59:1, 59:2, 59:5, 59:7, 59:9, 60:5, 60:16, 60:23, 62:4, 62:7, 64:6, 64:7, 67:10, 69:10, 71:14, 78:2, 89:25, 92:6, 92:9, 95:1, 95:3, 95:6, 95:10, 95:19, 95:22, 95:25, 96:4, 96:12, 98:23, 98:24, 100:16, 102:25, 103:1, 103:8, 104:22, 105:18, 106:21, 106:25, 107:3, 107:22, 109:1, 110:8, 110:9, 110:16, 111:11, 111:13, 112:10, 113:18, 114:2, 114:18, 114:22, 116:10, 120:19, 120:21, 125:10, 125:22, 125:23, 126:7, 126:10, 127:17, 128:2, 128:4, 128:5, 128:6, 128:14, 129:5, 129:24, 130:2, 130:20, 131:24, 132:6, 132:25, 133:2, 133:11, 133:14, 135:5, 135:6, 135:23, 137:20, 138:22, 140:14, 143:2, 143:3, 143:12, 145:19, 145:25, 146:3, 146:20, 147:23, 147:24, 148:20, 148:21, 149:13, 150:19, 157:25, 158:22, 159:1, 160:18, 160:19, 164:20, 171:13, 173:15, 173:19 People [1] - 75:7 perceived [1] - 159:2 percent [2] - 103:25, 134:2 perception [2] - 158:14, 158:15 perceptions [1] - 158:16 perfect [1] - 115:22 performs [1] - 18:23 perfume [2] - 45:4, 48:21 perhaps [8] - 69:24, 71:1, 109:1, 126:5, 126:6, 158:3, 158:4, 161:6 period [8] - 17:13, 82:14, 91:13, 95:2, 102:8, 109:10, 116:17, 139:7

periods [1] - 17:10 perjury [1] - 52:25 permit [3] - 16:9, 114:20, 167.9 permitted [3] - 162:7, 162:21, 162:24 perpetrated [1] - 25:21 perpetrators [1] - 38:1 Persian [1] - 95:5 person [84] - 10:17, 10:20, 12:5, 12:7, 13:19, 13:22, 17:22, 18:7, 18:9, 18:10, 18:11, 18:15, 18:19, 18:23, 18:25. 20:16. 21:16. 22:9. 22:20, 24:6, 24:9, 24:10, 24:11, 26:22, 27:20, 28:2, 28:23, 29:4, 30:8, 30:10, 31:9, 31:12, 31:14, 31:16, 32:7, 32:12, 32:15, 32:18, 32:19, 32:21, 32:24, 33:1, 33:7, 33:23, 34:9, 34:11, 34:13, 36:11, 36:13, 36:14, 36:15, 36:20, 46:23, 53:8, 53:14, 53:15, 59:21, 61:14, 70:19, 78:3, 83:10, 103:10, 105:7, 113:22, 114:25, 115:5, 120:17, 122:3, 128:8, 128:18, 134:16, 147:17, 148:12, 150:7, 152:2, 156:22, 156:24, 159:22, 161:12, 163:2, 163:25, 172:10, 172:14 person's [9] - 18:9, 18:21, 18:22, 18:25, 28:20, 28:22, 29:20, 29:22, 33:3 personal [5] - 8:18, 8:25, 30:10, 153:15, 174:14 personally [2] - 32:19, 145:4 personnel [10] - 23:1, 23:24, 41:1, 68:15, 76:9, 76:11, 113:7, 113:10, 127:23, 150:17 persons [8] - 13:25, 14:12, 20:16, 21:10, 23:24, 24:3, 32:22, 37:25 **perspective** [1] - 108:16 persuaded [1] - 165:10 pertain [3] - 8:5, 12:21, 39.11 pertaining [1] - 172:19 pertinent [2] - 18:16, 22:21

Peshawar [1] - 44:6 petty [1] - 103:16 **Ph.D** [4] - 99:3, 102:21, 114:6, 128:10 pharmacy [2] - 57:13, 57:16 **phone** [12] - 5:18, 79:16, 80:1, 81:9, 81:12, 81:13, 81:15, 115:6, 121:3, 131:6, 131:7, 141:7 phones [1] - 130:16 photo [1] - 45:18 photograph [1] - 92:20 photographs [1] - 42:11 photos [5] - 51:25, 52:2, 74:10. 74:11. 111:11 phrase [5] - 5:22, 17:16, 37:3, 137:12, 168:25 physical [1] - 87:8 physically [1] - 172:3 pick [2] - 149:14, 165:10 picked [1] - 92:19 picture [7] - 42:14, 45:16, 80:16, 84:1, 93:19, 96:3 pictures [17] - 92:6, 93:17, 93:18, 93:22, 94:10, 97:12, 104:9, 105:23, 109:16, 111:9, 149:25, 150:2, 150:5, 155:12 **piece** [5] - 92:19, 92:21, 104:2, 163:8, 163:21 pieces [5] - 92:19, 163:3, 163:5, 163:6, 163:14 piggybacked [1] - 69:11 pink [1] - 131:19 **Pippin** [37] - 43:9, 43:17, 43:21, 43:22, 44:1, 44:3, 44:5, 44:9, 44:11, 44:18, 44:19, 45:1, 45:3, 45:4, 45:18, 45:25, 46:8, 46:13, 46:16, 46:18, 46:21, 48:22, 49:1, 50:19, 51:13, 56:23, 57:1, 68:24, 69:1, 96:14, 116:1, 116:6, 123:13, 123:19, 123:20 Pippin's [1] - 51:6 pizza [1] - 76:19 place [20] - 25:24, 47:17, 47:19, 49:10, 61:17, 61:18, 61:19, 61:22, 61:23, 64:14, 69:4, 71:10, 80:5, 84:9, 92:12, 122:13, 127:21, 138:3, 158:16, 161:9

placed [2] - 72:10, 166:22 places [2] - 51:3, 102:23 plain [1] - 98:18 plainly [1] - 150:24 Plaintiff [1] - 1:5 plan [6] - 16:3, 48:18, 60:25, 128:19, 128:20, 128:23 plane [5] - 42:24, 52:11, 87:14, 143:5, 145:4 planes [4] - 49:25, 50:8, 143:15, 150:6 planet [1] - 78:2 planned [1] - 127:16 planning [1] - 142:25 plans [1] - 87:24 plausible [1] - 118:22 play [5] - 5:20, 17:3, 109:19, 147:13, 151:12 played [5] - 5:15, 5:16, 68:12, 157:6 playing [2] - 109:20, 125:21 Plaza [1] - 2:11 plea [3] - 160:25, 161:4, 161:10 pleaded [1] - 121:14 pleading [1] - 160:25 pleasant [1] - 175:18 plot [1] - 104:25 plus [1] - 133:20 point [20] - 12:14, 17:15, 50:1, 51:23, 81:18, 90:1, 97:2, 100:9, 109:13, 117:11, 117:22, 149:22, 150:21, 153:18, 160:3, 164:19, 170:13, 173:11, 175:16, 175:17 pointed [1] - 144:21 **points** [1] - 97:25 police [1] - 43:4 Police [1] - 105:4 policemen [1] - 105:1 policies [1] - 140:18 policy [1] - 37:19 political [3] - 97:16, 140:4, 141.11 politically [1] - 25:20 **politics** [1] - 151:12 poor [1] - 125:12 popular [1] - 76:22 population [1] - 37:19

pose [1] - 172:18

possible [7] - 85:7, 85:12, 87:11, 162:9, 167:1, 167:23, 170:15 possibly [1] - 23:24 post [4] - 50:12, 73:5, 85:4, 92:21 posted [3] - 83:7, 97:5, 102:6 posting [1] - 74:15 posts [3] - 69:23, 70:15, potential [5] - 86:17, 86:19, 88:14, 88:19, 160:20 power [8] - 12:18, 12:20, 13:6, 37:6, 85:25, 121:16, 138:15, 138:22 powers [1] - 12:16 practice [5] - 57:25, 114:10, 124:16, 133:6, 171:20 practiced [1] - 118:15 pray [3] - 104:10, 106:6, 117:3 prayer [2] - 84:4, 106:6 precept [1] - 114:24 precisely [5] - 48:5, 54:14, 69:11, 71:6, 147:5 predicted [1] - 154:17 predicting [1] - 154:10 prefer [1] - 171:13 prehistoric [1] - 49:10 prejudice [1] - 153:15 preliminaries [1] - 7:17 preliminary [1] - 40:13 premeditated [1] - 25:20 preparation [7] - 20:2, 20:13, 26:20, 27:15, 30:24, 32:1, 87:8 prepare [4] - 13:11, 74:6, 74:7 prepared [1] - 175:14 prepped [2] - 118:25, 124:6 prescribe [1] - 13:1 presence [4] - 33:7, 44:17, 71:13, 124:22 present [7] - 4:7, 4:9, 9:14, 14:4, 18:6, 125:2, 166:7 presentable [1] - 155:17 presentation [1] - 39:20 $\boldsymbol{presented}~\texttt{[11]}-110:22,$ 112:12, 112:25, 116:19, 117:23, 140:4, 151:24,

152:19, 153:19, 153:24, 162:24 presenting [1] - 166:9 presents [1] - 39:21 preside [2] - 7:25, 172:14 presided [1] - 41:15 President [2] - 105:6, 134:4 presiding [1] - 154:23 pressed [2] - 112:24, pressure [5] - 125:6, 125:16, 126:4, 126:6, 131:25 presumed [1] - 165:18 presumption [2] - 91:24, 166:2 **pretty** [9] - 45:15, 76:22, 91:8, 91:9, 118:14, 131:18, 137:13, 163:13 prevent [4] - 33:10, 98:18, 140:23, 151:21 preventing [1] - 135:6 previous [1] - 53:18 previously [6] - 7:10, 34:25, 71:25, 85:2, 119:25, 123:18 primarily [3] - 5:5, 37:22, 110.6 principle [2] - 158:12, 172:24 principles [12] - 8:5, 8:15, 8:17, 8:19, 8:24, 9:1, 9:3, 9:8, 9:16, 153:1, 153:3, 173:5 **print** [1] - 99:19 priority [1] - 87:5 prison [1] - 94:23 prisoners [1] - 111:10 private [4] - 8:18, 8:25, 69:23, 74:23 **probability** [1] - 167:25 probable [2] - 18:19, 167:24 **problem** [9] - 61:1, 61:11, 70:19, 81:4, 81:5, 81:9, 81:15, 118:19, 120:5 problems [1] - 58:15 procedural [1] - 8:1 procedures [1] - 162:22 proceedings [4] - 4:1, 8:4, 90:21, 176:12 process [1] - 67:21

produce [1] - 145:10 produced [2] - 145:7, 167.8 **producing** [1] - 69:5 product [2] - 65:16, 65:19 production [2] - 69:16, professed [1] - 87:5 professor [3] - 91:25, 96:25, 99:3 Professor [2] - 115:2, 132:16 profits [1] - 84:20 program [1] - 128:10 progression [1] - 67:25 prohibited [1] - 27:11 prohibits [1] - 147:5 project [1] - 77:10 projects [2] - 73:16, 74:14 promise [1] - 101:2 promises [1] - 141:3 promote [2] - 69:5, 169:12 prompt [1] - 156:1 proof [25] - 9:17, 15:20, 17:18, 18:13, 28:18, 29:17, 32:16, 32:17, 34:21, 35:4, 47:15, 72:16, 93:11, 93:13, 97:14, 103:11, 105:18, 137:4, 137:8, 165:6, 165:21, 166:15, 167:22, 168:1 propaganda [2] - 69:6, propelled [1] - 85:6 proper [4] - 12:19, 72:6, 108:5, 108:16 properly [1] - 164:22 property [1] - 111:21 proposed [5] - 87:3, 147:8, 155:7, 169:15, 169:19 **Proposed** [4] - 169:3, 169:5, 169:8, 169:9 proposing [1] - 19:14 proposition [3] - 153:13, 163:22, 164:6 propositions [2] - 15:2, 21:13 prosecute [2] - 53:2, 151:21 prosecuted [2] - 52:25, 121:12

prosecution [7] - 93:8,

102:20, 117:25, 120:13, 129:17, 162:6, 162:9 prosecutor [11] - 110:20, 112:12, 112:25, 120:10, 124:6, 126:15, 126:20, 128:1, 130:7, 131:22, 138:11 prosecutor's [1] - 124:7 prosecutors [8] - 91:14, 92:3, 92:18, 105:1, 119:1, 121:13, 121:25, 123:17 protect [3] - 72:10, 73:12, 140:21 protected [3] - 25:1, 101:2, 101:23 protection [3] - 52:19, 98:2, 98:13 prove [48] - 9:11, 14:19, 14:20, 14:25, 15:1, 15:12, 15:17, 16:13, 17:12, 17:14, 17:17, 17:25, 18:1, 19:13, 21:1, 21:13, 22:6, 22:8, 22:17, 25:14, 26:4, 26:5, 28:6, 31:14, 31:19, 31:20, 32:18, 32:25, 33:1, 34:20, 35:21, 36:12, 38:21, 41:3, 42:9, 91:20, 91:21, 145:11, 146:11, 156:25, 163:22, 164:21, 164:22, 165:22, 166:10, 166:22, 166:25 proved [16] - 10:22, 12:5, 12:7, 14:22, 21:24, 31:11, 31:12, 35:13, 79:19, 79:20, 152:23, 163:18, 165:19, 165:20, 167:3, 167:17 proven [16] - 10:24, 11:1, 12:9, 12:12, 14:1, 21:1, 24:23, 27:9, 28:9, 28:14, 33:15, 37:10, 107:21, 117:8, 138:20, 145:13 proves [4] - 35:12, 42:21, 92:4, 136:7 provide [42] - 11:15, 11:24, 19:20, 19:25, 21:5, 21:8, 22:23, 23:15, 23:22, 25:9, 25:15, 26:7, 26:13, 26:16, 27:21, 39:15, 40:25, 41:7, 41:16, 41:19, 56:2, 56:11, 56:17, 66:14, 72:14, 72:15, 75:12, 76:8, 76:10, 76:11, 79:9, 82:5, 82:19, 82:21, 82:25, 83:1, 83:11, 90:11, 113:10, 130:6, 144:4, 148:8

provided [16] - 12:7, 22:10, 23:8, 23:17, 23:23, 25:11, 30:21, 50:19, 66:8, 83:2, 83:18, 97:14, 97:17, 107:21, 108:12, 113:6 provides [4] - 11:13, 12:2, 27:12, 162:4 providing [22] - 12:3, 12:8, 20:12, 21:22, 22:6, 25:15, 28:3, 31:4, 34:15, 40:25, 41:18, 56:24, 68:20, 107:8, 113:7, 117:18, 134:14, 135:8, 147:4, 148:7, 150:16, 150:17 **province** [1] - 173:7 **proving** [7] - 31:3, 32:19, 32:20, 40:20, 79:8, 164:6, 169:16 provision [2] - 25:6, 144:4 prudence [2] - 8:19, 9:1 prudent [1] - 30:7 psychological [4] - 48:11, 49:2, 54:8, 54:16 **Publication** [1] - 75:16 **Publications** [5] - 68:18, 140:13, 146:3, 148:12, 149:3 **publicly** [1] - 112:22 publish [1] - 102:21 published [4] - 5:11, 5:12, 146:8, 149:12 publishing [1] - 148:11 puff [1] - 126:23 punished [3] - 10:8, 10:11, 12:4 punishing [1] - 24:17 punishment [1] - 151:22 purify [1] - 144:23 purpose [26] - 14:13, 14:18, 15:7, 15:14, 16:15, 16:21, 18:3, 21:18, 26:11, 27:23, 35:24, 38:17, 42:25, 50:22, 53:20, 53:24, 56:1, 56:5, 60:4, 61:25, 62:24, 65:3, 65:8, 80:20, 143:6 purposeful [2] - 18:24, 31.22 purposefully [1] - 22:21 purposely [2] - 17:2, 47:12 purposes [7] - 11:14, 28:16, 51:3, 51:21, 54:13, 151:4, 155:1 pursuant [1] - 160:25

pursue [1] - 16:21 pursuing [1] - 16:23 pursuits [1] - 16:23 put [25] - 24:23, 87:15, 92:13, 94:7, 99:18, 105:23, 107:10, 107:19, 108:16, 109:22, 111:11, 113:20, 116:5, 125:7, 125:14, 125:15, 125:16, 126:4, 131:25, 147:21, 155:22, 157:20, 164:25, 166:11

Q

Qa'ida [126] - 19:22, 21:6, 22:11, 22:12, 22:14, 24:13, 25:16, 25:17, 26:8, 41:8, 42:16, 45:2, 45:7, 47:25, 48:4, 48:5, 49:20, 51:6, 52:6, 52:11, 52:13, 65:13, 65:19, 65:25, 66:2, 66:8, 66:14, 67:24, 68:15, 69:3, 69:6, 69:8, 69:9, 69:11, 69:16, 69:18, 71:21, 72:15, 73:5, 73:14, 73:15, 73:21, 74:12, 74:17, 74:19, 75:4, 75:10, 75:12, 75:18, 75:22, 76:4, 76:5, 77:6, 82:19, 82:21, 82:23, 82:24, 83:4, 83:10, 83:11, 84:20, 88:1, 88:18, 90:11, 93:6, 94:13, 94:22, 94:24, 95:1, 96:18, 96:20, 97:8, 99:6, 99:21, 100:1, 101:12, 103:5, 103:11, 105:19, 106:1, 106:8, 106:9, 106:12, 106:21, 106:25, 107:4, 107:9, 118:4, 122:18, 122:20, 124:21, 124:22, 124:23, 124:24, 124:25, 128:4, 132:3, 132:9, 132:11, 133:9, 134:14, 134:18, 135:14, 135:19, 135:23, 136:21, 136:22, 136:23, 143:9, 144:12, 144:14, 145:8, 145:9, 145:10, 145:25, 146:6, 146:9, 146:14, 146:15, 146:16, 146:18, 146:23, 147:4, 149:9 Qa'ida's [1] - 74:21 qualified [1] - 104:6 quarter [1] - 139:12

quarters [1] - 131:13 questioned [2] - 43:3, 55:22 questions [13] - 52:18, 53:17, 78:12, 81:18, 81:22, 88:17, 109:3, 124:20, 154:23, 157:19, 172:22, 173:3, 173:11 queue [1] - 96:23 quickly [4] - 19:7, 66:21, 99:20, 176:8 quit [1] - 118:6 quits [1] - 175:5 quote [7] - 46:21, 48:17, 48:21, 49:9, 49:16, 111:19, 125:13 quoted [1] - 142:6 quotes [3] - 70:6, 106:3, 133:18 quoting [1] - 61:22 Qur'an [6] - 102:13, 106:3, 110:7, 113:24, 132:13, 133:19

R

Rabbi [1] - 121:10 radical [1] - 84:14 radicalism [1] - 68:1 rain [1] - 164:14 raincoat [1] - 164:9 raincoats [1] - 164:13 raining [3] - 163:25, 164:5, 164:16 raise [2] - 122:13, 147:25 $\pmb{\text{raised}}\ [2]\ \textbf{--}\ 80\text{:}9,\ 96\text{:}24$ raising [1] - 87:6 Ramadan [1] - 144:19 random [1] - 148:20 rank [1] - 112:3 rape [2] - 111:4, 129:18 raped [1] - 111:6 Rashad [7] - 60:13, 60:14, 60:15, 60:17, 84:15, 85:9, 85:11 rather [13] - 8:21, 9:22, 10:13, 24:10, 86:12, 108:11, 141:12, 156:14, 157:1, 162:14, 166:17, 169:12, 170:15 reach [3] - 12:24, 66:18, 127:7

reached [1] - 172:16 reaches [2] - 146:25 reacted [2] - 48:8, 148:12 reaction [1] - 142:21 read [23] - 42:13, 49:5, 66:11, 67:1, 67:4, 69:25, 70:15, 76:17, 76:18, 83:4, 94:5, 94:25, 110:8, 111:19, 113:25, 117:3, 125:15, 134:21, 134:24, 135:7, 143:10, 144:18, 152:7 reading [2] - 39:14, 86:16 reads [1] - 147:12 ready [2] - 128:11, 128:22 reaffirm [1] - 169:22 Reagan [1] - 133:24 real [6] - 11:22, 62:23, 73:24, 78:16, 102:3, 105:3 realize [1] - 133:4 really [12] - 7:3, 46:25, 57:25, 62:3, 91:6, 116:7, 120:13, 124:11, 133:8, 159:25, 170:24, 172:14 reason [21] - 5:16, 18:24, 46:4, 49:16, 56:1, 56:3, 56:12, 62:7, 88:6, 88:20, 88:21, 115:18, 116:2, 117:9, 127:22, 129:7, 143:17, 143:24, 144:3, 160:7, 167:14 reasonable [32] - 12:13, 15:2. 21:13. 26:6. 36:12. 37:11. 42:9. 64:4. 65:2. 90:8, 91:22, 92:4, 93:11, 97:14, 107:22, 117:8, 117:17, 136:8, 137:4, 137:9, 138:20, 165:16, 165:20, 166:18, 166:23, 167:6, 167:7, 167:13, 167:17, 167:19, 168:2, 168.6 reasonably [4] - 17:19, 30:7, 34:5, 167:9 reasons [5] - 43:10, 129:3, 140:9, 148:25, 165:25 reassert [1] - 101:15 rebelled [1] - 101:16 **REBUTTAL** [1] - 3:5 rebuttal [3] - 39:23, 139:4,

recap [2] - 34:13, 35:3

receive [9] - 43:23, 52:11,

56:9, 56:25, 98:13, 113:12,

126:10, 155:15, 157:22 received [6] - 38:19, 44:1, 48:8, 53:6, 74:23, 85:25 receiving [3] - 55:5, 79:18, 126:11 recently [1] - 91:18 Recess [1] - 174:24 recess [7] - 90:15, 90:17, 90:19, 90:21, 139:13, 174:23, 176:11 recognized [1] - 56:23 recognizes [1] - 13:17 recollection [1] - 158:22 recommends [1] - 116:10 record [7] - 120:20, 130:17, 170:10, 171:21, 175:14, 176:9 recorded [12] - 6:19, 45:9, 47:14, 48:6, 51:12, 53:5, 53:13, 78:20, 79:21, 88:25, 90:5, 106:10 **Recording** [1] - 155:22 recordings [14] - 6:16, 6:22, 42:8, 141:7, 143:11, 143:25, 151:6, 155:11, 157:5, 157:8, 157:9, 157:12, 157:18, 162:20 records [5] - 55:19, 57:8, 77:25, 85:25, 86:2 recruit [5] - 41:9, 66:4, 67:23. 103:10. 128:2 recruited [1] - 128:14 recruiter [2] - 66:6, 66:7 recruiting [3] - 66:15, 105:21, 128:23 recruitment [3] - 68:14, 68:16, 128:19 recruits [2] - 75:21, 75:24 rediscovered [1] - 110:1 reduce [1] - 173:21 reduced [1] - 161:18 reexamine [1] - 163:10 refer [3] - 34:8, 42:12, 42:20 reference [11] - 19:11, 19:17, 49:20, 58:24, 59:5, 59:24, 59:25, 85:18, 88:1, 88:18, 106:17 referenced [2] - 87:25, 130:7 references [1] - 87:22 referred [1] - 73:22 referring [7] - 45:17, 58:4,

71:2, 71:11, 76:14, 83:19, refers [3] - 18:22, 18:24, 29:15 refinement [1] - 14:10 reflections [1] - 70:21 refocus [1] - 140:1 refuse [1] - 87:1 refuses [1] - 134:11 regard [5] - 8:18, 8:24, 87:18, 169:5, 169:8 regarding [5] - 73:12, 132:2, 153:1, 169:6, 169:9 regardless [4] - 11:11, 56:5, 72:17, 148:2 regards [2] - 6:15, 6:16 regret [4] - 89:2, 89:19, 89:21, 101:20 regretfully [1] - 118:12 regrets [1] - 89:13 regretted [2] - 88:23, 112:16 regular [2] - 108:9, 129:15 regulate [1] - 12:20 regulated [1] - 162:22 regulation [1] - 12:22 reiterate [1] - 108:10 reject [5] - 105:14, 158:9, 158:11, 161:23, 162:16 rejected [6] - 87:21, 96:10, 96:11, 96:18, 127:1, 127:4 relate [5] - 9:8, 9:17, 77:18, 77:19, 159:25 related [5] - 19:23, 20:10, 20:21, 23:4, 40:19 relates [3] - 13:14, 38:8, 163:6 relationship [1] - 140:5 relative [4] - 44:23, 44:24, 53:14, 70:3 relatively [2] - 86:13, 160:1 release [2] - 74:18, 77:10 released [5] - 69:14, 74:12, 75:4, 75:15, 146:9 releases [1] - 74:14 relevant [6] - 15:3, 79:7, 79:8, 82:10, 82:23, 150:5 reliability [2] - 160:14, 164.4 reliable [9] - 158:2, 158:6, 158:10, 158:21, 159:5, 159:19, 161:23, 161:24,

164:19 reliably [2] - 158:19, 159:1 relied [1] - 103:18 religion [17] - 50:10, 60:20, 63:11, 82:9, 84:4, 110:1, 110:7, 114:2, 114:10, 114:18, 114:23, 124:3, 124:16, 126:3, 132:12, 138:7, 147:22 religious [11] - 23:2, 46:18, 50:17, 50:21, 54:10, 95:16, 106:4, 107:12, 133:17, 133:18, 151:11 reluctantly [1] - 67:17 rely [6] - 42:22, 103:1, 136:3, 161:11, 164:23, remark [1] - 108:14 remarkable [1] - 118:14 Remember [1] - 84:25 remember [38] - 53:16, 53:17, 62:15, 62:16, 66:9, 68:11, 72:2, 81:14, 96:3, 101:18, 102:24, 107:1, 107:9, 109:17, 114:18, 115:2, 116:1, 116:25, 117:3, 119:10, 119:12, 119:14, 119:16, 119:21, 120:1, 121:8, 121:9, 121:12, 122:24, 123:16, 124:20, 130:22, 133:23, 135:15, 135:18, 141:4, 158:23, 159:1 remembered [1] - 159:3 remembers [1] - 124:13 remind [6] - 31:5, 154:7, 154:25, 156:16, 157:2, 157:7 reminded [4] - 46:21, 71:23, 155:5, 165:17 remove [1] - 92:3 removed [1] - 102:1 renounce [3] - 98:15, 115:3, 126:3 renounced [1] - 126:1 renouncing [1] - 115:8 renowned [3] - 50:10, 88:12, 116:9 repair [1] - 70:11 repeat [2] - 28:11, 109:23 repetitively [1] - 112:25 report [2] - 104:2, 119:21 Report [1] - 67:2

reported [1] - 86:3 Reporter [2] - 177:12, 177:14 reporters [1] - 99:10 Reporters [2] - 1:21, 177:4 representation [1] - 36:10 request [4] - 74:19, 146:5, 162:3, 170:12 requesting [1] - 108:8 require [5] - 29:17, 72:16, 166:25, 167:3, 167:22 required [4] - 9:11, 17:17, 18:18. 29:23 requirement [1] - 29:8 requires [2] - 28:18, 35:4 research [1] - 144:10 researching [1] - 104:23 reside [1] - 72:17 resist [2] - 85:11, 112:11 resisted [1] - 126:5 resolve [1] - 153:22 resolved [1] - 6:5 resolving [1] - 173:9 resort [3] - 8:12, 101:5, 156:13 resources [32] - 19:21, 20:1, 20:13, 21:6, 21:9, 21:23, 22:7, 22:10, 22:24, 23:2, 23:3, 23:15, 23:20, 24:24, 25:7, 25:10, 25:11, 25:16, 26:8, 26:13, 26:16, 26:19, 26:20, 27:13, 27:14, 27:22, 27:25, 28:10, 30:22, 65:17, 116:15 respect [11] - 8:13, 8:22, 11:22, 39:5, 83:15, 99:15, 157:23, 160:15, 161:9, 167:10, 170:2 respected [3] - 95:10, 97:1, 104:14 respectful [1] - 174:15 respective [1] - 17:6 respects [2] - 129:22 responded [5] - 49:1, 64:21, 74:5, 74:7, 145:12 responds [1] - 87:13 response [5] - 53:17, 70:16, 83:2, 88:16, 129:18 responsibilities [1] - 7:24 responsibility [4] - 8:3, 152:18, 153:22, 172:17 rest [4] - 69:20, 136:7,

170:4, 174:6

rests [1] - 165:21 result [1] - 164:4 resume [3] - 90:16, 116:24, 175:16 resumed [1] - 57:9 resurged [1] - 94:22 return [11] - 12:1, 41:6, 44:14, 55:16, 57:15, 138:19, 152:10, 161:4, 161:17, 168:5, 174:20 returned [8] - 40:12, 55:15, 57:10, 57:11, 62:23, 65:11, 171:21, 175:14 reversed [1] - 111:14 review [2] - 39:13, 161:2 reviewed [2] - 6:9, 97:1 revolution [1] - 112:6 Revolutionary [1] - 112:5 reward [1] - 125:8 Rice [1] - 119:23 rights [1] - 97:20 Rights [1] - 165:24 rise [4] - 4:11, 7:19, 90:18, 174:25 Rivers [1] - 146:9 RMR [6] - 1:20, 1:21, 177:3, 177:4, 177:11, 177:13 road [2] - 121:7, 126:18 rob [3] - 127:20, 142:3, 142:20 rocket [1] - 85:6 rocket-propelled [1] - 85:6 roque [1] - 111:6 role [3] - 40:7, 65:23, 72:21 roles [1] - 17:4 Ronald [1] - 133:24 room [5] - 5:4, 139:1, 155:19, 157:11, 175:12 Room [1] - 1:22 round [1] - 98:17 routinely [1] - 164:23 RPGs [1] - 85:5 rubber [1] - 121:6 ruined [1] - 111:24 rule [5] - 5:20, 11:16, 98:18, 133:7, 158:8 rules [1] - 99:6 Ruling [1] - 76:19 ruling [2] - 73:12, 154:24 rulings [4] - 8:2, 155:1, 155:4, 169:23

run [3] - 48:15, 65:20, 122:17 running [1] - 104:17 Russian [1] - 97:19

S

Sab [2] - 59:16, 59:20 Sab's [1] - 60:8 Sabaayaa [3] - 44:10, 59:20, 145:18 sabotage [1] - 26:2 **sacrifice** [1] - 109:8 sacrifices [1] - 109:1 Saddam [1] - 72:11 Sadequee [4] - 73:16, 73:18, 74:10, 77:4 **Sadequee's** [1] - 73:8 sadness [1] - 73:24 safe [3] - 32:12, 32:14 safety [3] - 60:18, 60:19 Sageman [20] - 66:3, 69:9, 69:17, 94:25, 96:16, 99:10, 101:6, 102:18, 104:13, 105:9, 105:17, 106:8, 106:14, 106:17, 106:21, 107:5, 108:3, 144:11, 145:24, 149:8 Sahab [2] - 65:25, 75:9 Salafi [5] - 44:10, 44:23, 45:6, 67:10, 96:10 Salafi-Jihadi [4] - 44:10, 44:23, 45:6, 67:10 Sanaa [2] - 47:2 Sanaan [1] - 74:21 Sanam [1] - 106:20 sandwiches [1] - 79:25 Santa's [1] - 109:19 Sarayri [1] - 73:22 sat [7] - 49:15, 49:23, 61:16, 61:20, 61:21, 97:7, 104:7 satellites [1] - 116:15 satisfies [2] - 32:7, 168:3 Saudi [1] - 72:8 saw [7] - 68:7, 78:24, 92:13, 125:6, 133:22, 133:23, 145:18 **Sbualy** [1] - 74:25 scare [2] - 92:25, 150:2 scared [4] - 93:7, 93:8, 107:16, 126:2

schedule [1] - 57:16 scheme [1] - 36:8 scholar [8] - 71:25, 72:1, 84:5, 84:19, 88:13, 99:3, 126:18, 151:11 Scholar [1] - 95:4 scholars [4] - 67:25, 84:4, 84:14, 87:6 scholarship [1] - 102:11 school [17] - 4:21, 44:22, 47:8, 47:19, 48:18, 49:7, 51:7, 57:8, 57:13, 57:16, 91:24, 96:8, 109:25, 114:4, 123:7, 128:11 schooling [1] - 143:16 schools [22] - 43:6, 50:9, 50:17, 50:21, 51:5, 51:14, 53:19, 60:20, 88:12, 95:14, 113:19, 114:5, 115:25, 116:2, 116:5, 116:9, 116:23, 117:1, 117:6, 123:10, 123:11, 126:16 science [1] - 104:24 scientific [2] - 23:13, 105:12 **scope** [2] - 24:14, 34:2 Scotland [2] - 66:12, 73:2 screen [5] - 18:13, 99:18, 99:20, 155:18, 156:1 screens [1] - 90:25 screwed [2] - 45:15, 45:16 scroll [1] - 156:6 **scrutinize** [1] - 162:12 **scrutiny** [1] - 166:14 **seamless** [1] - 141:8 search [1] - 105:13 searched [2] - 51:6, 149:19 searches [2] - 141:16, 162:19 seat [1] - 89:15 Seat [3] - 174:2, 174:3 seated [3] - 4:14, 7:21, 175:2 second [24] - 15:5, 21:16, 26:9, 27:23, 28:20, 29:15, 29:19, 31:21, 35:23, 37:18, 40:16, 41:5, 43:16, 45:5, 56:16, 89:19, 121:5, 129:7, 135:21, 153:18, 155:21, 171:3, 171:5, 176:8 secondly [1] - 12:6 secrecy [2] - 58:17, 141:21

Secret [2] - 103:19, 105:4 secret [2] - 17:1, 109:3 Secretary [1] - 22:12 secretive [1] - 16:19 Section [13] - 21:8, 26:14, 26:23, 26:25, 27:11, 28:13, 28:14, 28:18, 29:15, 30:25, 34:25, 36:4 section [1] - 21:8 sections [1] - 40:23 Security [2] - 2:6, 4:10 security [4] - 98:8, 98:14, 101:2. 103:20 see [49] - 6:5, 11:20, 13:7, 13:9, 18:13, 19:18, 20:8, 27:8, 39:11, 43:14, 61:1, 61:2, 61:11, 64:2, 67:2, 68:3, 70:13, 71:9, 86:25, 102:7, 103:18, 106:16, 113:21, 120:3, 123:9, 123:14, 123:16, 124:7, 129:21, 134:17, 135:5, 135:7, 136:7, 136:9, 143:21, 144:1, 144:2, 147:12, 152:25, 153:3, 155:6, 156:1, 156:15, 163:7, 164:24, 168:14, 172:25, 175:18, 176:5 seeing [1] - 131:13 seek [4] - 38:1, 67:9, 86:21, 162:7 seeking [3] - 10:15, 33:4, 65:18 seem [1] - 53:16 **SEJAL** [1] - 2:13 Sejal [1] - 2:13 selection [1] - 172:10 **self** [1] - 100:22 self-defense [1] - 100:22 selling [1] - 77:10 semester [3] - 57:7, 57:8, send [6] - 120:24, 120:25, 129:24, 171:5, 171:6, 175:15 senior[1] - 110:1 **sense** [6] - 57:13, 66:6, 131:23, 142:10, 163:4, 167:15 Sense [1] - 142:7 sensibly [3] - 8:24, 9:4, 163.16 sent [15] - 6:17, 67:6, 74:9,

75:7, 84:13, 84:15, 84:16, 87:21, 94:14, 96:4, 102:6, 120:21, 130:17, 146:5 sentence [4] - 52:14, 128:13, 161:6, 161:18 separate [8] - 14:2, 14:15, 38:6, 38:7, 64:23, 66:2, 155:2, 173:14 **separated** [1] - 51:19 separating [1] - 173:22 **September** [3] - 49:12, 88:3, 104:21 series [1] - 124:20 serious [3] - 80:18, 87:18, seriously [2] - 80:17, 174:17 Serve [1] - 74:16 serve [2] - 40:6, 133:11 served [2] - 52:15, 140:15 server [1] - 73:6 **Service** [2] - 103:19, 105:4 service [9] - 40:6, 76:10, 113:8, 122:16, 132:3, 133:15, 134:14, 145:25, 174:1 servicemen [10] - 42:18, 43:3, 44:5, 57:1, 65:5, 71:6, 72:5, 72:10, 72:13, 90:10 services [8] - 22:25, 23:19, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 68:19, 68:21, 150:18 serving [1] - 52:14 sessions [1] - 124:22 set [7] - 8:17, 19:10, 42:13, 56:11, 69:10, 77:18, 111:7 sets [1] - 17:9 settle [2] - 153:2, 172:10 settled [3] - 44:13, 167:15, seven [7] - 14:3, 19:8, 40:11, 65:7, 65:10, 73:3, 126:22 several [7] - 14:9, 19:5, 19:6, 43:10, 68:17, 68:24, 87:3 **shack** [1] - 119:19 shake [1] - 112:1 **shall** [5] - 10:8, 10:11, 11:10, 11:12, 12:3 shalt [2] - 147:23, 147:24 share [1] - 152:4 shared [2] - 33:2, 44:3

shaykh [2] - 73:20, 73:23 Shaykh [4] - 58:24, 67:12, 96:3. 96:8 shifts [1] - 166:15 Shihir [3] - 46:25, 47:1 shirt [1] - 67:12 shook [1] - 164:10 shoot [3] - 119:13, 119:23, **shooting** [1] - 142:13 **shop** [2] - 45:4, 48:21 shopping [6] - 119:10, 119:11, 119:13, 124:13, 124:14, 124:17 **short** [6] - 8:10, 77:16, 87:20, 102:8, 103:4, 103:15 **shortened** [1] - 19:9 **shorter** [1] - 91:13 shorthand [1] - 52:21 shortly [1] - 46:2 **show** [18] - 15:18, 15:21, 15:24, 16:24, 27:4, 55:20, 77:25, 83:3, 86:1, 93:19, 99:17, 105:23, 109:17, 119:12, 119:20, 130:22, 150:2, 167:24 showed [12] - 45:16, 45:17, 96:21, 106:19, 109:16, 111:9, 128:6, 129:17, 137:18, 144:19, 149:25, 150:3 shown [4] - 10:3, 10:20, 16:16, 153:22 **shows** [2] - 106:11, 152:22 **shut** [1] - 135:4 shy [1] - 57:9 sic [1] - 125:14 sic][1] - 86:4 sick [1] - 74:5 side [9] - 129:11, 160:6, 160:9, 160:10, 160:11, 160:13, 160:18, 166:16, 168:14 side's [1] - 129:4 sidebar [1] - 107:24 SIDEBAR [3] - 108:1, 168:15, 172:6 sight [1] - 70:13 sightings [2] - 94:25, 95:1 sign [2] - 133:15, 172:15 signature [1] - 115:15 significance [5] - 94:11, 154:25, 155:4, 155:14,

157:21 significant [2] - 46:4, 159:24 silly [2] - 10:8, 10:12 similar [8] - 8:23, 30:18, 53:4, 53:6, 60:13, 60:22, 62:9, 83:18 **similarly** [5] - 15:19, 16:12, 66:17, 68:24, 70:6 **simple** [5] - 93:15, 96:5, 98:18, 156:3, 163:24 **simply** [17] - 19:14, 33:7, 51:5, 52:22, 70:1, 70:10, 70:19, 78:9, 83:11, 97:15, 98:20, 151:23, 153:16, 155:6, 162:14, 163:22, 164:17 single [12] - 61:14, 69:23, 83:16, 106:16, 115:5, 115:6, 116:16, 128:7, 128:14, 128:18 singular [1] - 42:25 sisters [2] - 111:13, 111:18 sit [5] - 62:4, 63:7, 64:16, 104:20, 131:17 site [1] - 73:6 sites [1] - 69:11 sits [2] - 118:19, 152:2 sitting [6] - 89:24, 109:19, 126:6, 126:16, 131:18, 134:3 situation [6] - 37:5. 64:15. 111:14, 114:25, 122:23, 133:16 six [13] - 28:6, 28:7, 65:9, 97:7, 110:20, 110:22, 112:13, 117:22, 125:2, 126:22, 136:7, 141:1, 141:2 size [2] - 93:18, 94:11 **skeptical** [2] - 158:7, 163:9 **skill** [3] - 23:11, 145:22, 177:6 sky [4] - 64:2, 143:20, 143:21, 143:22 slant [1] - 68:23 slaughterer [1] - 73:23 slaughterers [1] - 73:20 slightest [1] - 134:21 **slip** [5] - 6:8, 6:13, 147:9, 169:19, 172:15 slips [1] - 173:1

slowly [1] - 67:13 small [6] - 57:21, 58:12, 97:2, 99:19, 125:1, 163:3 smart [1] - 107:17 smiled [1] - 97:12 smiley [2] - 72:4, 74:8 **snap** [2] - 50:4, 50:13 sneak [2] - 130:14, 133:2 so-called [2] - 51:24, 52.14 soldiers [15] - 46:20, 84:9, 94:20. 98:13. 98:21. 111:6. 114:13, 114:21, 117:11, 148:8, 148:20, 148:22, 149:14, 151:18 sole [1] - 153:6 solely [2] - 153:12, 166:5 solid [2] - 92:4, 93:12 Somali [1] - 80:5 Somalia [17] - 78:1, 78:8, 78:13, 78:22, 78:24, 79:15, 79:17, 81:7, 81:13, 115:21, 115:22, 122:8, 122:9, 122:12, 131:5, 136:16 someone [45] - 10:15, 12:7, 32:25, 43:21, 46:19, 48:19, 48:20, 48:25, 53:9, 53:20, 64:19, 70:5, 78:6, 84:23, 85:9, 96:24, 97:15, 101:8, 116:7, 118:2, 121:3, 122:1, 122:2, 122:6, 123:20, 124:2, 125:15, 125:18, 125:20, 127:2, 127:3, 127:13, 127:22, 128:16, 130:17, 132:17, 132:20, 133:3, 133:9, 133:10, 138:11, 156:19, 172:13 **sometimes** [15] - 11:6, 11:18, 11:24, 12:14, 13:6, 16:8, 18:10, 18:11, 127:5, 129:14, 158:24, 159:11, 164:19, 172:23, 173:8 songs [1] - 105:21 soon [4] - 139:10, 152:15, 174:8, 175:13 Sorrento [1] - 174:3 **sorry** [3] - 55:3, 86:18, 136:11 sort [3] - 8:10, 13:8,

152:19

sought [2] - 44:6, 124:2

sound [3] - 114:24, 117:8,

163:16 sounds [2] - 65:24, 163:13 source [4] - 26:18, 27:14, 27:24. 30:23 sources [3] - 8:12, 42:8, 141.15 Soviet [1] - 101:7 Soviet-Afghanistan [1] -101.7 **space** [5] - 23:6, 73:7, 94:1, 104:10, 150:10 **SPAULDING** [3] - 58:4, 59:18, 60:11 **Spaulding** [39] - 53:16, 53:22, 54:1, 54:3, 54:4, 54:11, 57:21, 57:24, 58:10, 58:20, 59:12, 59:14, 60:8, 67:11, 67:17, 67:20, 68:2, 68:4, 68:6, 68:8, 68:9, 68:18, 68:22, 77:8, 77:9, 98:5, 114:14, 114:16, 123:24, 124:1, 124:5, 124:8, 124:11, 124:19, 125:2, 125:12, 125:25, 145:19, 151:2 **Spaulding's** [1] - 59:24 speaking [6] - 61:12, 61:13, 71:12, 81:23, 112:6 **speaks** [3] - 95:5 Special [1] - 81:19 special [1] - 169:19 **specialized** [1] - 23:14 specific [16] - 11:13. 11:15, 16:13, 20:23, 23:11, 30:6, 41:10, 54:1, 54:4, 79:6, 81:1, 113:13, 117:9, 143:1, 170:12 **specifically** [13] - 16:14, 20:20, 30:1, 39:1, 51:14, 55:6, 59:4, 61:18, 64:8, 64:20, 71:15, 81:12, 140:22 specified [5] - 15:3, 20:2, 20:3, 21:14, 26:6 **specifies** [1] - 10:1 speculating [2] - 153:20, **speculation** [2] - 140:9, **speculative** [1] - 167:1 speech [3] - 24:15, 137:21, 138:8 **speeches** [3] - 134:18, 135:13, 135:20

spell [2] - 78:14, 151:7 spelled [1] - 96:23 Spencer [3] - 93:24, 94:12, **spend** [5] - 50:16, 77:16, 86:16, 119:4, 152:3 spends [1] - 110:6 spent [6] - 92:24, 95:6, 104:16, 104:23, 107:16, 172:12 **spies** [1] - 116:15 spirit [1] - 112:4 **split**[1] - 91:12 **spoken** [3] - 60:3, 79:13, 79:15 **spout** [1] - 104:3 **spread** [1] - 69:18 spreads [2] - 144:12, 146:1 spring [3] - 30:20, 170:20 **spur** [1] - 130:25 spurred [1] - 107:3 **square** [1] - 93:20 squeeze [1] - 125:7 stab [1] - 133:3 stage [2] - 8:4, 92:8 stand [7] - 50:20, 67:17, 91:19, 100:14, 118:11, 145:23 standard [1] - 106:5 standards [1] - 166:14 standing [1] - 140:25 start [4] - 43:8, 67:18, 93:14, 175:16 **started** [5] - 57:11, 57:14, 66:13, 74:15, 173:16 starting [1] - 68:2 starts [1] - 107:24 State [4] - 22:13, 66:9, 66:12, 116:10 state [11] - 7:8, 18:22, 37:16, 37:18, 43:4, 48:11, 49:3, 53:24, 54:8, 54:16, statement [24] - 20:23. 36:9, 36:11, 36:13, 36:14, 36:15, 36:19, 36:21, 36:23, 37:1, 38:24, 39:4, 39:7, 39:21, 40:17, 40:20, 86:8, 109:15, 126:21, 130:9, 131:16, 169:9 statements [28] - 9:14,

9:16, 9:18, 20:18, 20:22,

35:16, 36:4, 37:8, 37:12, 38:4, 38:9, 38:11, 38:13, 38:16, 38:22, 39:17, 40:22, 42:6, 72:1, 79:20, 79:21, 90:5, 112:20, 145:17, 160:24, 169:10, 169:11 states [2] - 36:5, 71:12 **STATES** [5] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:12, 2:2, 2:5 **States** [74] - 1:16, 4:2, 4:3, 4:4, 20:17, 21:4, 21:7, 22:17, 25:25, 26:1, 27:2, 28:20, 28:24, 29:1, 29:3, 29:5, 29:6, 29:23, 29:24, 30:9, 30:10, 30:11, 35:5, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 36:7, 37:16, 37:17, 37:23, 42:17, 52:17, 53:2, 55:19, 72:9, 96:24, 98:10, 98:12, 98:14, 98:16, 98:20, 107:1, 107:2, 109:18, 110:24, 111:5, 111:10, 115:3, 115:5, 115:8, 116:24, 122:7, 122:19, 123:15, 123:19, 124:16, 125:5, 125:7, 125:17, 125:19, 129:6, 134:2, 134:4, 134:16, 135:3, 137:13, 138:5, 138:7, 138:9, 140:15, 140:19, 150:14, 177:4, 177:8 **statue** [1] - 101:19 statute [33] - 10:1, 10:6, 10:10, 10:18, 11:7, 11:9, 11:14, 11:15, 11:19, 11:24, 12:1, 12:4, 12:14, 12:18, 13:2, 13:21, 15:16, 22:25, 23:8, 23:10, 23:20, 24:7, 24:16, 24:22, 24:25, 25:4, 25:8, 26:9, 27:12, 27:20, 28:2, 36:12, 147:5 statutes [14] - 10:10, 11:5, 11:22, 13:3, 13:7, 13:10, 13:12, 14:5, 27:17, 30:17, 82:17, 137:16, 147:13, 151:21 stay [2] - 6:2, 118:22 stayed [1] - 44:21 staying [1] - 44:24 steal [2] - 32:12, 104:12 steaming [1] - 164:25 Steno [1] - 1:24 stenotype [1] - 177:7

step [11] - 15:14, 28:25, 29:9, 29:10, 29:12, 31:23, 31:25, 32:9, 36:1, 87:14, 174.4 steps [2] - 33:10, 115:4 stick [1] - 13:8 stick-figure [1] - 13:8 still [17] - 17:6, 22:4, 50:11, 55:24, 56:13, 81:6, 86:14, 89:23, 111:20, 112:1, 121:24, 122:12, 123:8, 131:14, 147:24, 165:15, 173:24 stolen [1] - 106:15 stop [2] - 57:18, 121:17 stopped [1] - 47:3 store [1] - 127:18 stored [1] - 90:5 stories [3] - 141:4, 150:23, 150:24 **story** [11] - 43:5, 51:13, 51:16, 60:15, 69:20, 70:14, 92:22, 95:14, 118:20, 118:22, 141:8 **storyteller** [1] - 102:18 stove [1] - 164:25 straightforward [1] -77:22 street [4] - 87:23, 127:17, 127:20, 142:1 Street [1] - 2:14 strict [2] - 162:22, 166:23 strip [1] - 90:7 striving [1] - 87:10 strong [1] - 167:25 strongest [1] - 129:18 strongly [1] - 114:17 strove [1] - 144:21 struck [1] - 71:17 **structure** [1] - 10:13 **structures** [1] - 11:5 struggle [1] - 144:22 stuck [2] - 43:4, 89:9 student [2] - 68:8, 96:8 studied [2] - 88:11, 104:25 studies [3] - 54:10, 103:12, 105:17 **study** [21] - 54:9, 55:2, 57:12, 59:2, 59:3, 59:8, 59:12, 61:15, 63:11, 86:7, 88:9, 88:10, 95:16, 102:10, 103:6, 104:4, 107:12, 114:5, 116:3, 116:11, 117:2

studying [4] - 58:13, 60:6, 102:12, 104:24 stuff [8] - 49:24, 50:8, 76:17, 80:1, 80:3, 123:21, 143:14 stupid [1] - 60:10 sub [1] - 25:22 **sub-national** [1] - 25:22 subconsciously [1] -76:18 subject [7] - 6:7, 14:9, 72:19, 80:7, 80:9, 126:14, 166:13 subjects [1] - 69:5 submit [12] - 117:15, 117:16, 117:20, 130:10, 130:13, 131:22, 138:16, 138:18, 141:17, 148:23, 150:25, 152:1 subpoena [2] - 52:15, 85:25 subsequently [1] - 162:5 substance [2] - 10:13, 139:8 **substantial** [6] - 31:23, 31:25, 32:3, 32:9, 36:1, 161:13 substantive [29] - 13:15, 13:18, 13:19, 13:22, 13:25, 14:2, 14:7, 14:22, 20:11, 21:22, 22:2, 22:3, 22:4, 22:6, 27:7, 27:11, 30:18, 31:1, 31:7, 31:9, 31:12, 31:16, 33:20, 33:22, 34:1, 34:7, 34:14, 38:10, 41:25 subtitled [1] - 75:3 subtitles [1] - 96:22 subtract [1] - 154:19 **suburbs** [1] - 96:24 succeed [1] - 33:5 succeeded [1] - 14:21 succeeds [1] - 31:17 successful [4] - 42:16, 75:23, 86:15, 140:11 sudden [2] - 99:11, 159:2 **Sudequee** [2] - 72:25, 77:3 suffice [2] - 12:8, 23:7 **sufficient** [9] - 6:6, 16:16, 17:14, 17:18, 19:10, 25:12, 34:10, 41:3, 108:16 sugar [1] - 11:11 suggest [9] - 5:15, 53:24, 90:6, 103:15, 124:11,

131:3, 142:11, 150:22, 158:12 suggested [4] - 51:21, 84:3, 85:15, 115:7 suggesting [4] - 45:24, 56:8, 147:17, 170:14 suggestion [3] - 51:2, 117:20, 134:20 suicide [7] - 66:5, 67:7, 100:9, 101:4, 101:6, 129:2, **Suite** [3] - 2:4, 2:11, 2:14 sum [1] - 26:4 summaries [2] - 154:9, summarize [2] - 19:7, 147:16 summary [4] - 8:15, 20:24, 34:16, 87:23 summer [1] - 80:12 Sunday [1] - 142:24 Super [1] - 142:24 superior [1] - 165:11 supervise [1] - 24:2 supplemental [1] - 169:14 supplication [1] - 87:8 supply [1] - 22:23 supplying [1] - 127:23 support [86] - 19:20, 19:25, 20:1, 20:12, 21:5, 21:9, 21:22, 22:7, 22:10, 22:23, 22:24, 23:1, 23:3, 23:7, 23:15, 23:19, 24:24, 25:7, 25:10, 25:11, 25:16, 26:8, 26:13, 26:16, 26:18, 26:19, 27:12, 27:14, 27:21, 27:25, 28:3, 28:4, 28:10, 30:22, 31:4, 34:15, 40:25, 41:8, 41:15, 41:19, 49:6, 56:3, 56:11, 56:17, 65:12, 66:5, 68:21, 71:15, 72:14, 72:15, 73:15, 75:12, 76:9, 79:9, 82:6, 82:19, 82:22, 82:25, 83:1, 83:11, 83:15, 83:16, 90:11, 96:20, 97:15, 99:21, 107:8, 108:12, 113:6, 113:11, 117:18, 130:6, 132:3, 133:20, 137:16, 140:8, 144:4, 146:14, 146:15, 146:16, 148:7, 148:8, 149:6, 151:17, 151:20 supported [7] - 71:18,

72:5, 72:6, 105:10, 113:21, 124:21, 165:5 **supporters** [1] - 69:4 supporting [3] - 127:23, 140:20. 149:13 **supports** [1] - 154:5 **suppose** [4] - 129:9, 163:24, 164:7 **supposed** [1] - 53:9 **supposition** [1] - 167:20 Supreme [2] - 24:16, 137:13 surgeon [1] - 67:5 surprised [1] - 111:12 surreptitious [1] - 17:1 surrounded [2] - 92:10, 133:24 **surrounding** [3] - 58:17, 113:21, 158:25 surveillance [1] - 125:17 survivor [1] - 111:24 susceptible [1] - 162:9 suspect [2] - 108:25, 120:21 **suspicion** [1] - 167:20 **suspicious** [2] - 68:4, 85:10 swayed [1] - 153:15 **sweeping** [1] - 103:3 sweet [2] - 127:2, 127:3 swim [1] - 133:6 switch [1] - 67:14 sycophant [1] - 112:4 sympathy [2] - 153:15, 167:21 system [2] - 40:7, 155:20 System [1] - 155:22

Т

table [1] - 131:18

Tablets [1] - 156:4

taboo [1] - 58:10

tacit [1] - 16:8

tactic [1] - 80:10

tag [1] - 51:10

tagged [1] - 51:5

Taimur [2] - 83:18, 85:5

takfir [1] - 100:15

talks [2] - 132:14, 142:13

tally [1] - 6:21

tanks [1] - 95:23

120:24 tapestry [1] - 141:4 tapping [1] - 131:6 TAREK [1] - 1:7 Tarek [122] - 4:7, 40:10, 41:22, 65:6, 82:14, 83:3, 84:3, 88:22, 91:21, 92:9, 92:22, 93:21, 94:14, 94:19, 94:23, 95:18, 95:25, 96:4, 96:9, 96:19, 97:5, 97:11, 98:2, 98:7, 98:15, 98:19, 99:13, 99:20, 99:24, 100:3, 100:11, 100:14, 100:19, 101:12, 101:22, 102:9, 103:8, 105:10, 106:12, 107:7, 107:10, 109:7, 109:15, 109:22, 110:14, 110:25, 111:16, 112:14, 112:15, 112:19, 113:5, 113:11, 113:14, 113:17, 113:22, 114:3, 114:16, 114:17, 114:19, 115:7, 115:11, 115:22, 115:23, 116:3, 116:21, 117:1, 117:9, 117:14, 117:18, 119:23, 119:24, 119:25, 120:22, 122:11, 123:3, 123:7, 123:9, 123:10, 123:25, 124:14, 124:18, 124:21, 124:25, 125:13, 126:5, 126:7, 126:14, 126:15, 126:21, 127:7, 127:9, 127:11, 127:14, 127:24, 128:2, 128:4, 128:6, 128:15, 128:17, 130:5, 130:12, 130:19, 131:4, 131:7, 131:9, 131:25. 132:8. 132:11. 132:12, 132:16, 132:19, 135:24, 136:15, 136:20, 136:24, 138:24, 177:9 Tarek's [10] - 95:13, 100:21, 106:16, 113:1, 115:17, 117:4, 126:24, 129:17, 134:11, 137:22 target [1] - 119:15 targeting [2] - 70:9, 83:5 targets [2] - 25:21, 100:3 Task [2] - 77:17, 78:25 task [1] - 108:10 taught [2] - 66:24, 95:7 taxes [3] - 99:25, 100:24,

tape [3] - 5:25, 120:23,

100:25 taxpayers [1] - 70:2 **Tayyiba** [2] - 44:2, 86:11 tea [1] - 164:24 teacher [2] - 45:5, 68:9 teaches [2] - 132:13, 156:3 teaching [3] - 23:11, 116:10, 128:11 team [3] - 122:4, 123:12, technical [2] - 23:13, 120:11 techniques [3] - 162:19, 162:21, 162:23 telephone [2] - 5:6, 6:17 tempted [1] - 173:8 ten [6] - 49:18, 52:14, 104:16, 104:23, 119:1, 141:23 ten-year [1] - 52:14 tendencies [1] - 160:13 tendency [3] - 36:21, 37:1, 160:10 tends [1] - 163:21 tenet [1] - 114:8 term [7] - 11:7, 11:9, 11:10, 11:22, 22:24, 23:20, 70.4 terms [9] - 11:15, 25:20, 26:17, 37:24, 53:23, 63:2, 64:25, 77:4, 87:7 territorial [1] - 37:23 terror [1] - 103:22 **Terror** [3] - 70:5, 70:15, **Terrorism** [2] - 77:17, terrorism [16] - 22:15, 25:19, 25:20, 34:15, 37:12, 37:13, 38:5, 39:6, 39:9, 40:16, 41:21, 97:15, 105:10, 105:19, 144:9, 169.13 terrorist [26] - 19:21, 21:6, 21:9, 21:23, 22:7, 22:13, 22:14, 23:4, 23:6, 23:25, 24:4, 24:8, 24:12, 24:19, 24:20, 24:25, 25:18, 25:19, 25:22, 76:1, 105:8, 107:8, 108:4, 108:11, 135:8,

146:25

terrorists [11] - 26:13,

40:25, 41:8, 41:19, 68:15, 82:25, 90:11, 104:17, 108:13, 144:5, 169:1 test [1] - 155:7 tested [1] - 73:19 testified [33] - 50:12, 53:5, 53:18, 55:1, 55:6, 55:16, 57:19, 57:21, 58:8, 58:14, 59:12, 66:3, 66:20, 67:20, 68:17, 68:18, 68:22, 68:25, 69:1, 69:7, 69:10, 69:17, 75:25, 78:7, 80:12, 81:19, 95:11, 97:21, 105:10, 105:11, 108:3, 113:18, 121:18 testifies [3] - 102:19, 159:11, 161:12 testify [7] - 52:1, 91:19, 128:5, 145:22, 163:2, 165:25, 166:4 testifying [1] - 103:24 testimonies [1] - 175:25 testimony [43] - 42:5, 43:9, 46:15, 61:8, 68:12, 90:4, 90:7, 97:7, 104:1, 117:19, 119:1, 119:13, 120:12, 136:6, 157:18, $157{:}20,\,157{:}24,\,158{:}8,$ 158:10, 158:13, 159:7, 159:9, 159:10, 159:15, 159:18, 159:20, 160:3, 160:4, 160:9, 160:14, 160:16, 160:23, 161:12, 161:14, 162:1, 162:2, 162:3, 162:4, 162:8, 162:10, 162:12, 163:7, 166:8 tests [1] - 164:3 text [4] - 102:6, 113:25, 114:1, 129:23 texting [3] - 129:24, 129:25, 130:2 Tharwat [2] - 74:21, 106:19 **THE** [61] - 1:1, 1:11, 2:2. 3:6, 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 4:18, 4:20, 4:25, 5:19, 6:11, 6:25, 7:2, 7:4, 7:7, 7:8, 7:14,

7:17, 7:19, 7:21, 7:22, 7:23,

7:24, 40:1, 83:23, 90:15,

90:18, 90:23, 91:1, 92:7,

107:25, 108:14, 108:18,

108:20, 134:6, 134:8,

136:13, 138:25, 139:15, 152:11, 168:16, 169:21, 170:1, 170:3, 170:13, 170:23, 171:1, 171:12, 171:16, 171:20, 172:1, 172:4, 172:7, 174:23, 174:25, 175:2, 175:3, 175:23. 176:10. 176:11 theme [1] - 170:11 themselves [12] - 16:1, 16:9, 23:3, 40:25, 87:10, 101:13, 107:14, 133:12, 141:6, 143:8, 157:8, 162:9 then-coconspirator [1] -34:18 then-current [1] - 38:13 theology [1] - 99:7 theories [2] - 28:5, 168:12 theory [3] - 31:5, 115:9, 168:13 thereafter [2] - 69:8, 117:10 therefore [4] - 18:14, 41:20, 60:5, 160:20 they've [5] - 76:18, 106:15, 116:16, 154:24, 171:21 thinking [4] - 85:16, 86:6, 146:11, 154:1 thinks [2] - 161:19, 171:14 third [8] - 15:12, 28:22, 35:25, 37:22, 41:10, 59:21, 87:18, 155:21 **THIRTY** [1] - 1:13 **THIRTY-FIVE** [1] - 1:13 **Thomas** [3] - 112:5, 142:6 thoroughly [1] - 169:21 Thou [1] - 147:23 thou [1] - 147:24 thoughtful [2] - 93:12, 105:16 thoughts [1] - 152:4 thousand [1] - 110:17 Threat [2] - 70:6, 70:16 Threat's [1] - 70:15 threats [2] - 105:5, 105:6 three [23] - 10:19, 10:21, 22:16, 35:21, 40:16, 42:24, 49:12, 57:9, 73:11, 78:24, 79:15, 122:7, 122:24, 126:22, 129:3, 131:6, 131:9, 131:13, 142:18, 142:19, 158:13, 173:14, 174:4

three-quarters [1] -131:13 threshold [1] - 108:6 threw [3] - 92:19, 92:21, 95:18 thrilled [1] - 126:18 throughout [1] - 42:5 thrown [1] - 98:25 thumbnail [1] - 93:17 thumbnails [2] - 93:25, 149:25 Tibyan [28] - 68:18, 68:20, 68:22. 69:7. 69:13. 69:14. 69:20, 69:23, 72:21, 72:24, 73:7, 74:12, 74:14, 74:20, 75:14, 75:15, 76:25, 92:21, 98:24, 101:23, 102:1, 134:12, 136:24, 140:13, 146:3, 148:11, 149:2 ticket [1] - 98:16 tied [1] - 92:2 timing [1] - 57:5 tiny [3] - 93:17, 99:11 tip [1] - 127:12 title [1] - 112:3 Title [9] - 21:7, 26:14, 26:23, 27:1, 27:11, 28:18, 34:25, 36:5 today [1] - 175:4 together [18] - 13:25, 14:12, 16:7, 16:10, 55:10, 55:11, 55:12, 55:13, 66:16, 69:5, 86:22, 90:4, 110:6, 125:1, 127:4, 129:14, 171:7 toll [2] - 77:25, 85:25 tolls [1] - 86:4 took [7] - 15:13, 32:9, 33:4, 62:15, 65:23, 74:2 tool [1] - 105:22 tools [2] - 66:8, 67:24 top [1] - 116:5 topic [1] - 67:18 topically [1] - 171:12 **Toronto** [1] - 99:4 total [3] - 118:21, 158:9 totally [1] - 70:14 touch [2] - 113:9, 156:1 touched [2] - 114:8, 132:4 tourism [1] - 143:17 toward [7] - 17:7, 29:10, 29:12, 31:23, 32:9, 153:16, 160.6

174:16 Towers [3] - 49:25, 50:9, towers [1] - 143:15 **Town** [3] - 59:19, 59:25, 85:17 town [2] - 46:24, 121:9 towns [1] - 47:12 toy [1] - 89:4 **TP** [5] - 68:23, 69:4, 73:9, 77:10, 85:4 **Trade** [2] - 93:19, 97:12 traditions [1] - 84:6 trained [1] - 104:22 training [58] - 22:25, 23:10, 43:2, 43:23, 44:2, 44:4, 44:8, 45:2, 48:9, 50:24, 52:4, 52:11, 53:10, 53:21, 54:2, 54:14, 54:24, 54:25, 55:5, 56:9, 56:25, 58:16, 59:10, 60:24, 61:10, 64:9, 64:20, 65:1, 65:4, 79:18, 85:20, 89:1, 90:9, 94:19, 94:21, 95:2, 104:5, 106:2, 106:14, 113:8, 113:12, 113:13, 116:13, 116:16, 116:20, 117:10, 119:18, 123:20, 130:7, 132:24, 133:4, 133:17, 136:19, 136:24, 142:12, 143:10 transcends [1] - 37:23 transcribed [1] - 171:3 Transcript [1] - 1:24 transcript [3] - 39:12, 172:21, 177:5 transcription [1] - 177:7 transcripts [12] - 5:2, 5:14, 5:23, 6:15, 6:19, 6:22, 7:14, 157:6, 157:11, 157:14, 157:16, 176:1 translate [7] - 5:24, 52:11, 75:8, 106:13, 114:1, 132:16, 146:6 translated [15] - 69:1, 69:15, 96:19, 97:5, 106:20, 128:5, 135:20, 135:21, 135:22, 145:8, 145:17, 145:18, 146:8, 149:12, 157:13 translates [1] - 145:7 Translating [2] - 103:4,

135:12

towards [3] - 15:14, 36:1,

translating [8] - 74:21, 76:8, 106:18, 134:15, 135:7, 135:9, 135:13 translation [9] - 5:17, 68:19, 73:17, 75:15, 75:16, 144:6, 145:20, 145:21, 147:3 translations [6] - 5:5, 69:6, 95:17, 145:3, 145:23, 149.1 translator [4] - 69:12, 72:21, 72:24, 73:10 translators [1] - 145:22 trash [3] - 102:5, 102:12, traveled [4] - 13:4, 53:7, 55:11, 57:3 traveling [2] - 55:23, 104:24 treaty [2] - 98:8, 98:11 Tremont [1] - 2:14 TRIAL [1] - 1:13 **Trial** [2] - 2:6, 4:9 trial [24] - 4:13, 7:24, 8:2, 10:2, 40:5, 40:14, 91:10, 94:6, 108:24, 110:10, 112:19, 112:23, 123:25, 138:2, 138:9, 139:19, 139:21, 141:14, 152:21, 159:12, 159:14, 162:4, 165:17, 173:18 tribal [3] - 47:19, 47:25, 95:22 tribes [2] - 47:4, 47:6 tribute [1] - 67:8 trick [1] - 36:8 tried [7] - 44:4, 66:7, 79:9, 80:15, 88:18, 90:3, 147:25 tries [1] - 32:12 trip [32] - 38:17, 38:20, 40:23, 40:24, 41:2, 46:10, 51:17, 57:6, 58:9, 58:11, 58:14, 58:15, 58:18, 58:19, 59:14, 59:17, 60:20, 60:22, 60:24, 62:24, 77:18, 79:1, 79:5, 81:20, 82:3, 89:1, 98:17, 116:21, 127:10, 127:14, 143:22 tripods [1] - 90:23 trips [6] - 59:19, 59:24, 59:25, 60:2, 60:6 trouble [4] - 51:8, 52:24,

129:9, 131:1

true [21] - 24:9, 33:25, 49:16, 60:9, 72:25, 80:20, 93:18, 94:11, 127:2, 136:15, 136:16, 136:17, 136:22, 136:24, 137:7, 153:17, 153:21, 167:16, 167:19. 177:6 truly [1] - 124:11 trust [10] - 58:1, 58:3, 58:4, 120:17, 121:4, 121:23, 123:5, 123:22, 125:9, 163:12 trusted [2] - 95:10, 164:2 trusting [1] - 89:4 trustworthy [1] - 136:5 truth [19] - 58:16, 64:25, 76:2, 94:18, 113:17, 119:4, 119:5, 124:12, 127:6, 138:18, 141:9, 141:10, 143:12, 143:23, 156:21, 157:2, 158:1, 162:15 truthful [4] - 118:24, 131:4, 136:5, 159:7 try [7] - 76:11, 80:17, 85:15, 147:16, 153:9, 154:11, 173:2 **trying** [10] - 53:7, 86:20, 104:16, 113:1, 113:24, 126:13, 142:12, 143:16, 151:17 **TSA** [1] - 43:4 Tsouli [5] - 69:10, 73:1, 73:4, 73:6, 74:17 Tsouli's [1] - 73:2 tune [1] - 87:24 turban [1] - 49:23 turbans [1] - 133:24 turn [10] - 19:6, 39:8, 39:17, 80:3, 80:9, 114:23, 115:13, 123:4, 128:23, 175:9 turned [4] - 44:7, 90:25, 112:8, 115:16 tutorial [2] - 156:2 TV [1] - 130:22 twice [1] - 115:11 Twin [2] - 49:25, 50:9 Two [1] - 146:9 **two** [52] - 4:23, 5:25, 6:18, 6:23, 7:24, 9:6, 12:4, 14:11, 15:1, 15:5, 15:9, 20:2, 21:13, 22:9, 26:7, 26:21, 27:4, 27:17, 27:20, 30:16,

40:14, 47:18, 50:17, 57:10, 58:25, 59:25, 60:2, 69:2, 69:3, 73:13, 73:14, 74:10, 89:23, 104:14, 115:15, 116:23, 118:8, 124:8, 126:22, 127:16, 128:23, 130:2, 132:2, 152:19, 153:13, 163:18, 167:9, 170:8, 171:1, 174:13 two-month-old [2] - 115:15, 118:8 tying [1] - 13:5 type [2] - 70:7, 85:5 typical [1] - 109:18 typically [1] - 10:6

U **U.S** [31] - 1:22, 2:3, 4:8, 4:10, 20:4, 26:14, 26:25, 27:1, 29:16, 29:19, 30:3, 43:3, 44:5, 55:8, 71:6, 71:16, 72:10, 72:13, 77:13, 82:12, 82:17, 84:8, 90:10, 94:20, 95:9, 106:15, 114:21, 117:11, 148:20, 148:22 **UAE** [4] - 55:10, 55:12, 55:14. 55:15 ultimately [2] - 54:18, 85:20 Umar [8] - 69:15, 75:2. 75:14, 84:17, 96:19, 132:14, 145:15 umbrella [2] - 164:9, 164:10 umbrellas [1] - 164:13 **Ummah** [3] - 66:9, 66:12, 87:3 Ummah' [1] - 68:6 unable [1] - 150:19 unacceptable [1] - 87:11 unanimous [7] - 12:10, 12:13, 28:8, 34:21, 168:10, 168:13, 172:16 unanimously [3] - 25:8, 28:6, 31:6 uncertain [2] - 159:9, 172:24 uncle [2] - 86:9, 86:10 unconscious [2] - 160:12, 160:21

under [32] - 14:5, 23:25,

24:5, 24:7, 24:10, 24:25, 25:8, 25:23, 25:25, 26:4, 28:13, 28:14, 28:17, 29:15, 30:25, 31:22, 32:17, 35:4, 36:11, 39:6, 82:12, 121:19, 121:21, 122:1, 126:4, 148:5, 148:6, 156:17, 156:23, 158:17, 166:2, 168:12 underground [2] - 48:2, 143:9 underlying [5] - 18:3, 21:21, 22:2, 27:7, 27:10 understood [8] - 9:16, 11:7, 11:16, 20:8, 66:17, 81:2, 81:3, 86:12 undoubtedly [1] - 112:7 undrawn [1] - 154:4 unexpected [1] - 159:2 unexpectedly [1] - 118:18 unfinished [1] - 138:1 unfolded [1] - 159:3 unfortunately [3] - 85:24, 86:3, 173:16 unhappy [4] - 98:24, 156:23, 156:25, 157:1 uniform [1] - 71:19 unimportant [1] - 160:1 Union [1] - 122:18 **UNITED** [5] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:12, 2:2, 2:5 **United** [77] - 1:16, 4:2, 4:3, 4:4, 20:17, 21:4, 21:7, 22:17, 25:25, 26:1, 27:2, 28:20, 28:24, 29:1, 29:3, 29:5, 29:6, 29:23, 29:24, 30:9, 30:10, 30:11, 35:5, 35:9, 35:11, 35:16, 36:7, 37:15, 37:17, 37:23, 42:16, 52:17, 53:2, 55:18, 72:9, 96:22, 96:24, 98:10, 98:12, 98:14, 98:16, 98:19, 106:25, 107:2, 109:18, 110:24, 111:5, 111:10, 115:3, 115:5, 115:8, 116:24, 122:6, 122:19, 123:15, 123:19, 124:16, 125:5, 125:7, 125:17, 125:19, 129:6, 134:1, 134:4, 134:16, 135:3, 137:13, 138:5, 138:7, 138:8, 140:15, 140:19, 146:4, 149:2, 150:14,

177:4, 177:8 University [1] - 99:4 unlawful [14] - 14:12, 14:13, 14:18, 15:7, 16:3, 21:18, 21:20, 25:23, 25:25, 26:11, 30:4, 36:4, 72:3 unless [3] - 86:13, 86:14, 165:19 unlike [2] - 85:24, 138:10 unlikely [1] - 159:21 unnecessary [1] - 127:9 unpleasant [1] - 167:21 unpopular [1] - 98:25 unrelated [1] - 148:21 unreleased [1] - 75:1 unsaid [1] - 62:7 unspoken [1] - 16:8 unsuccessful [1] - 32:4 untrue [2] - 36:19, 36:20 unworthy [1] - 112:2 unzipped [1] - 94:14 up [57] - 36:8, 48:12, 49:3, 54:16, 55:18, 61:14, 65:12, 66:20, 69:10, 77:24, 78:23, 85:14, 86:22, 87:6, 89:14, 91:13, 92:19, 92:21, 93:18, 95:18, 99:11, 99:18, 103:15, 105:23, 109:9, 109:13, 109:16, 109:19, 110:11, 110:25, 111:8, 112:15, 114:23, 116:1, 119:13, 119:22, 126:23, 127:17, 128:13, 130:23, 132:18, 133:2, 133:15, 136:6, 136:11, 140:20, 142:13, 142:16, 142:18, 143:3, 149:14, 151:9, 151:18, 164:9, 171:8, 172:4 uphill [1] - 144:22 upset [2] - 111:14 urge [1] - 88:10 urgency [1] - 57:14 urging [1] - 89:14 useful [1] - 96:20 useless [1] - 103:17 uses [4] - 17:16, 20:7, 64:22, 103:6 usual [2] - 11:17, 23:21 utilized [1] - 66:8

V

vacation [2] - 57:15, 104:11 vague [1] - 44:11 value [5] - 147:4, 153:7, 155:14, 157:21, 157:24 valued [1] - 68:19 vanishing [1] - 107:5 variance [1] - 160:2 variation [1] - 170:11 varies [1] - 159:20 variety [2] - 148:25, 155:10 various [9] - 14:5, 17:3, 17:9, 17:10, 47:11, 82:8, 162:19, 172:16 vary [2] - 15:15, 159:10 varying [4] - 51:17, 73:5, 144:2, 158:22 vehicle [1] - 26:3 verdict [18] - 6:4, 6:6, 6:8, 12:10, 138:19, 141:9, 141:11, 147:9, 152:10, 166:20, 168:4, 168:5, 168:10, 168:13, 169:19, 172:15, 172:16, 174:21 Verizon [2] - 85:23, 86:1 **version** [7] - 12:12, 19:10, 31:1, 75:1, 96:21, 147:7, 169:19 versions [1] - 73:5 versus [2] - 150:13, 151:13 vessel [1] - 26:2 vest [2] - 129:2, 133:1 vestiges [1] - 150:10 veteran [3] - 44:25, 45:1, 85.9 veterans [3] - 44:6, 44:12, 44:17 Video [1] - 150:9 video [21] - 66:13, 67:8, 68:11, 74:11, 74:18, 75:2, 77:7, 77:11, 118:6, 118:8, 128:6, 132:14, 132:19, 133:23, 135:24, 144:20, 145:15, 145:16, 147:3, videos [33] - 42:12, 66:9,

66:16, 66:18, 67:20, 67:22,

69:17, 102:12, 102:17,

102:19, 103:5, 103:7, 103:10, 105:18, 106:22, 107:4, 128:25, 129:1, 129:15, 129:16, 134:18, 134:19, 134:21, 135:12, 135:17, 135:19, 137:19, 144:10, 144:11, 144:16, 144:24, 155:11, 156:12 view [8] - 89:7, 90:1, 111:12, 113:21, 129:4, 132:9, 136:12, 154:14 viewed [2] - 84:19, 146:10 views [10] - 8:18, 8:25, 83:10, 98:6, 99:21, 100:21, 134:11, 135:2, 171:4 violate [6] - 16:18, 24:21, 27:20, 28:2, 114:24, 124:14 violated [2] - 12:5, 82:17 violating [1] - 124:17 violation [9] - 21:7, 25:4, 26:8, 26:14, 26:23, 26:25, 27:16, 37:15, 37:16 **violations** [1] - 111:20 violence [1] - 25:21 violent [3] - 37:14, 129:14, 129:16 virgins [1] - 74:3 virtually [1] - 138:10 visa [1] - 78:15 visit [2] - 43:21, 60:20 visited [1] - 47:12 visiting [1] - 53:19 vital [1] - 142:7 vocal [1] - 75:23 voice [3] - 117:4, 124:7, 138:19 volatile [1] - 122:12 volition [1] - 17:24 voluntarily [3] - 17:23, 22:21, 36:14

W

voting [1] - 146:23

vouch [1] - 87:22

Wa [1] - 132:18 wait [2] - 171:5, 171:6 waiting [1] - 4:19 walk [5] - 122:5, 127:18, 127:21, 142:1, 164:24 walking [2] - 127:17, 132:5

walks [1] - 49:22 wall [2] - 118:7, 155:19 wanna [1] - 47:1 wants [6] - 94:18, 105:14, 120:10, 142:20, 161:19, 172:25 war [17] - 44:6, 70:8, 70:20, 70:25, 71:20, 72:6, 84:12, 98:10, 100:1, 100:6, 101:7, 104:17, 112:8, 122:16, 133:14, 140:18, 150:12 warned [2] - 84:25, 85:10 warrants [1] - 161:15 Waseem [1] - 74:18 Washington [1] - 2:7 waste [1] - 54:5 watch [5] - 129:15, 133:14, 134:18, 134:20 watched [5] - 66:16, 85:1, 129:1, 129:16, 137:19 watches [1] - 102:19 watching [5] - 80:2, 102:12, 105:18, 129:7, 129:10 wavered [1] - 151:2 **Ways** [11] - 74:16, 75:15, 76:13, 76:14, 106:2, 106:8, 132:22, 132:24, 136:24, 150:9 ways [15] - 11:4, 11:25, 12:4, 25:11, 27:21, 31:3, 31:8, 31:11, 34:13, 34:19, 99:9, 101:9, 106:4, 113:7, 141:16 weapons [1] - 120:4 wear [2] - 120:18, 129:2 wearing [2] - 130:17, 164:8 weather [5] - 164:1, 164:3, 164:8, 164:11, 164:14 weave [1] - 90:4 web [2] - 125:14, 125:15 website [10] - 73:5, 73:7, 77:9, 79:17, 94:2, 103:6, 135:17, 135:19, 135:25, 150:11 websites [3] - 69:2, 69:3, 69:10 week [4] - 50:16, 75:6,

78:17, 110:8

weekend [4] - 175:5,

175:10, 175:18, 176:5

weeks [8] - 57:9, 57:10, 79:14, 89:23, 97:7, 116:23, 128:23, 152:21 weighed [1] - 167:14 weight [3] - 153:7, 155:14, 157.21 well-renowned [1] - 88:12 Westerner [1] - 70:7 wet [2] - 164:9, 164:13 whatsoever [2] - 136:21, whereabouts [1] - 38:13 wherein [2] - 71:10, 71:24 White [3] - 105:5, 133:23, 134:4 **whole** [9] - 9:4, 92:22, 114:22, 123:25, 128:8, 161:24, 163:16, 171:13 wholeheartedly [1] - 71:14 wholly [2] - 29:13, 155:2 wider [2] - 65:22, 75:25 widow [1] - 122:24 widows [1] - 133:7 wife [11] - 61:11, 63:6, 80:5, 80:8, 80:20, 111:22, 118:7, 122:7, 122:10, 122:22, 143:16 wild [2] - 47:24, 48:4 willful [3] - 28:21, 30:14, 35.23 willfully [8] - 21:17, 26:9, 29:4, 32:21, 35:6, 36:7, 36:13, 38:23 willing [2] - 118:13, 121:21 win [1] - 129:7 wing [5] - 76:6, 76:10, 77:2, 77:5, 146:9 wink [1] - 74:8 winning [1] - 129:11 wiping [1] - 110:18 wire [4] - 7:15, 7:16, 120:18, 130:17 wiretapped [1] - 130:16 wisdom [3] - 8:19, 8:25, wisely [1] - 67:13 withdraw [2] - 34:9, 174:20 withdrawal [1] - 34:11 withdrawn [2] - 34:4, 34:8 witness [43] - 50:20, 52:15, 57:19, 58:8, 67:17,

95:12, 97:1, 117:16, 119:6, 121:5, 123:25, 125:23, 135:12, 157:21, 157:23, 158:2, 158:3, 158:4, 158:5, 158:6, 158:17, 159:5, 159:7, 159:8, 159:11, 159:12, 159:13, 159:15, 159:19. 160:4. 160:6. 160:7, 160:8, 160:21, 160:24, 161:3, 161:7, 161:16, 162:5, 162:10, 162:25, 163:1 witness' [8] - 158:8, 158:15, 158:19, 158:21, 159:9, 159:18, 159:19, 162:14 witness's [1] - 90:7 witnesses [41] - 42:6, 51:16, 51:23, 51:24, 53:22, 54:22, 68:17, 68:24, 79:20, 90:4, 92:10, 93:14, 97:6, 109:23, 110:20, 110:21, 111:1, 112:13, 113:23, 117:22, 117:24, 125:2, 125:6, 125:9, 126:4, 128:25, 129:3, 129:13, 134:25, 136:3, 136:4, 136:7, 141:1, 141:2, 150:21, 150:22, 157:18, 162:1, 162:8, 162:12, 176:1 witnesses' [2] - 158:13, 160:2 woman [2] - 87:16, 126:21 women [2] - 71:19, 126:24 Wong [1] - 174:3 word [10] - 20:7, 64:23, 81:14, 91:14, 110:11, 110:21, 119:9, 130:7, 130:9, 135:14 words [25] - 11:16, 32:6, 46:17, 49:2, 54:19, 85:6, 90:7, 93:4, 93:5, 93:7, 93:9, 96:22, 96:23, 110:24, 112:13, 112:17, 129:18, 141:6, 143:19, 148:14, 149:18, 151:6 wore [1] - 95:25 works [1] - 86:6 world [15] - 50:10, 83:17, 84:24, 86:23, 96:12, 112:16, 128:3, 128:16, 128:17, 135:3, 138:10, 140:19, 143:5, 144:13, 150:13

World [2] - 93:19, 97:12 worried [2] - 62:11, 76:16 worry [4] - 24:14, 39:11, 93:2, 93:3 worth [2] - 86:13, 96:2 would-be [1] - 144:17 wow [1] - 86:25 wretched [1] - 111:24 write [2] - 100:21, 173:1 writer [1] - 106:9 written [8] - 73:13, 75:23, 97:20, 104:3, 104:14, 132:17, 133:9, 147:9 wrongful [1] - 19:2 wrote [5] - 97:5, 98:3, 99:10, 100:4, 101:23 Υ

Yakoon [1] - 132:18 Yard [2] - 66:12, 73:2 yard [1] - 95:23 year [3] - 52:14, 82:13, 122:8 years [18] - 47:18, 49:12, 83:8, 86:21, 87:17, 89:14, 95:6, 99:8, 99:13, 103:24, 104:8, 104:16, 104:23, 110:17, 110:18, 112:14, 123:2, 141:23 Yemen [127] - 38:18, 40:23, 41:6, 43:1, 43:5, 43:8, 43:18, 43:22, 44:12, 44:13, 44:17, 44:20, 45:5, 45:11, 45:12, 46:2, 46:17, 47:12, 48:8, 49:7, 49:21, 50:11, 50:24, 51:2, 51:4, 51:17, 51:20, 53:7, 54:6, 54:9, 54:14, 55:2, 55:5, 55:10, 55:11, 55:12, 55:21, 55:24, 56:1, 56:6, 56:7, 56:9, 56:13, 57:2, 57:3, 57:10, 57:12, 58:9, 58:14, 58:18, 58:24, 59:14, 59:23, 60:3, 60:22, 60:24, 61:9, 61:24, 62:24, 64:9, 64:20, 65:1, 65:3, 65:11, 75:19, 75:23, 77:18, 79:1, 79:2, 80:19, 81:21, 82:3, 88:3, 88:9, 88:10, 88:12, 89:1, 90:9, 94:19, 94:21, 95:2, 95:6, 95:10, 95:13, 95:19, 95:21, 98:17, 113:12,

113:19, 114:4, 115:12, 115:18, 115:25, 116:2, 116:12, 116:17, 116:21, 116:22, 117:2, 117:5, 117:9, 119:8, 120:22, 123:10, 126:8, 126:9, 126:12, 126:13, 126:14, 126:15. 127:14. 127:15. 130:19, 136:17, 136:19, 142:1, 143:3, 143:18, 143:23, 147:2, 148:10, 149:17, 149:18, 151:3 YMCA [2] - 59:17, 59:22 yogurt [1] - 11:12 York [3] - 94:2, 94:9, 105:4 **You-Bomb-It** [1] - 73:4 young [8] - 52:10, 77:14, 92:14, 118:7, 122:7, 122:24, 123:2, 128:21 younger [1] - 58:6 Younis [1] - 73:1 yourself [4] - 111:24, 113:10, 133:2, 159:24 yourselves [5] - 105:9, 141:2, 153:5, 157:25, 172:10 yup [1] - 76:24

Ζ

Zarqawi [6] - 73:20, 73:22, 74:1, 74:2, 74:10, 84:22
Zawahiri [3] - 73:13, 75:11, 84:21
zone [1] - 128:20
zoom [1] - 156:6